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# M4 BEAMLINE RADIATION PARAMETERS DUE TO NORMAL BEAM LOSS ON US COLLIMATOR 907 

Abstract
A description of work to estimate radiation parameters for normal beam loss on the 907 collimator at the M4 shielding berm, downstream of the M5 shield wall, and other points of interest is the subject of this document.
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## Introduction

The M4 beam line has been constructed with a nominal earth equivalent shielding thickness of 16 feet. At the time the Preliminary Shielding Assessment [2] was prepared, it was believed that significant beam losses throughout the M4 beam line would be minimal. The 16 foot earth equivalent shield was (and is) intended to be supplemented by active radiation detectors, in particular, Total Loss Monitoring systems (TLMs).

At the time of the Preliminary Shielding Assessment preparation, the mu2e experiment extinction system design requirements were under development. Subsequently, the extinction system has been advanced and now includes a collimator which has been positioned in the M4 beam line at 907 near the upstream end of the M4 beam line. The fraction of nominal beam loss at the 907 collimator is estimated to be about $0.3 \%$ of the $8 \mathrm{~kW}, 8 \mathrm{GeV}$ proton beam, or about 24 watts [16]. The introduction of intentional beam loss at 8 GeV creates a significant challenge to the 16 ' shielding. In addition, the dynamic range of the TLMs planned for use in the M4 beamline tunnel could be exceeded by such a beam loss at the 907 collimator. Beam losses at the 907 collimator could result in production and release of significant air activation at the M4 beam line air exhaust duct located at the upstream end of the M4 beam line tunnel. Finally, beam losses in the upstream M4 line have the potential to present a beam-on radiation exposure situation downstream of the shield wall separating the M5 tunnel from the muon g-2 storage ring room.

The purpose of this document is to describe results of MARS [1] simulations is to:

- obtain prompt radiation dose rate estimates:
- on the M4 shielding berm above the 907 collimator and downstream quadrupoles Q908 and Q909
- at the nearby exit stairway door
- downstream of the M5 shield wall at the muon g-2 storage ring room
- obtain residual radiation dose rate estimate for the 907 collimator
- estimate TLM response due to beam loss at the 907 collimator and Q908/Q909 quadrupoles
- provide an estimate of hadron flux in the tunnel air for subsequent determination of air activation potential
- provide estimates of star density in the surrounding tunnel backfill materials and the base soil for determination of surface water and ground water activation
- determine if in-tunnel shielding will be required to mitigate any of the aforementioned concerns

A recent update to the MARS code system [4] features a particle splitting technique at user defined surfaces as a method to obtain statistically significant radiation dose and fluence estimates in deep shielding problems without resorting to the multistage MARS runs. The particle splitting technique has been adopted in this work in two directions of interest, first, in the horizontal plane throughout the shielding berm above the 907 collimator, Q908 and Q909; and second, in vertical planes through the M5 enclosure and the M5 shield wall.

## The M4 Beamline Model

The model of the upstream M4 beamline was developed from the Project 6-10-22 as-built drawings [3], principally, the structural concrete drawings. Site coordinates provided in the as-built drawings were used to establish the precise positioning of the various tunnel sections through the curvature of the tunnel.

The enclosure air volumes are modeled in accordance with the as-built drawings. The nominal concrete density applied to concrete structures is $2.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$. A GUI image of the entire model at the beam elevation of the 907 collimator is shown on the cover page of this document.

The concrete enclosure structures are typically backfilled with CA-7 to ensure rapid water removal through an underdrain system. The enclosures are modeled with a $3^{\prime}$ CA-7 backfill outside of walls and a $1^{\prime}$ CA-7 backfill over ceilings. The density of CA-7 used in the model is $1.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ and is based upon field measurements made on actual material during construction [5]. Disturbed soil, shown in green is modeled with a nominal density of $1.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$. Glacial till, shown in yellow, is assumed to be undisturbed and is modeled with a nominal density of $2.24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$.

There are two general model regions of interest described in the following subsections: the 907 collimator region and the M5 shield wall region.

## 907 Collimator region model

The 907 collimator region model includes the following features:

- 907 collimator and downstream quads Q908 and Q909
- Upstream exit stairway with tissue equivalent detector at the termination of exit door
- Shielding berm
- Tissue equivalent detector array on surfaces of shielding berm
- TLM detector volumes
- Glacial till volumes near beam loss point
- Tunnel air volumes

Site coordinates for the 907 collimator were provided in Reference 12. Initially, the collimator was placed in the model according to the provided site coordinates as indicated in Figure 1. It was observed in the team center 3D view, also provided in Reference 12, that the collimator was installed closer to the step in the M4 enclosure floor. It was determined from Reference 13 that the collimator was actually installed about 2 meters downstream of the site coordinate position; the model coordinates of the collimator were adjusted accordingly.


Figure 1: At left, the site coordinates provided in Reference 12 are plotted in this elevation view of the M 4 beam line enclosure. From left to right, the pairs of white circles are the US/DS positions of the 907 collimator, Q908, and Q909, respectively. When compared with the team center drawing of the same devices shown at right, the collimator was installed nearer to the step in the enclosure.

Figure 2 shows a plan view through the horizontal plane of the 907 collimator and the downstream quads Q908 and Q909. These elements are the principal massive elements in which the proton beam [10] halo would be scattered, eventually lost, and which would contribute to all radiological issues identified earlier. The plan of the nearby exit stairway is also shown. Figure 2 includes an elevation view through beam line trajectory showing the tunnel floor step and the shielding berm.


Figure 2: The plan view of the 907 collimator, Q908, and Q909 are shown in the left image near the exit stairway. At right, is a rotated elevation view of the same three devices. Colors indicate air - cyan; glacial till - yellow; concrete - grey; soil backfill green; CA-7 backfill - rose; stairway backfill (quartz, $1.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ )- red. Arrows at the berm surface show the location of tissue equivalent radiation detector arrays over the loss points. The collimator position shown in the right image is comparable to the team center image shown in Figure 1.

A GUI image of the 907 collimator model plan view is show in Figure 3. The collimator assembly, less its stand, is modeled based upon drawings provided in Reference 11. The collimator is designed with an adjustable horizontal aperture. The horizontal aperture is set in the MARS model to 1.2 cm at its minimum based upon Reference 12. The 40 inch long collimator jaws are chamfered at each end as shown in Figure 3; the physical length of the collimator jaws at the minimum aperture is 32 inches.


Figure 3: The plan view of the collimator model is shown in the left image. Stainless steel jaws and vacuum vessel are yellow while vacuum space is white. The collimator horizontal aperture is set to 1.2 cm in the model. At center, the leading chamfer detail of a collimator jaw is shown; the trailing edge is similar. The MARS model of the collimator jaws includes this chamfer detail. At right, a typical cross section of the Q908/Q909 quadrupoles is shown.

Figure 4 shows the exit stairway and the associated shielding berm, modeled in accordance with the asbuilt construction drawings [3]. The second riser of the stairway is located orthogonally from the beam axis of the 907 collimator. A tissue equivalent detector is included in the model at the stairway exit door to estimate the effective radiation dose rate due to normal beam loss on the collimator.


Figure 4: A midline elevation view of the first riser of the exit stairway is shown at left and of the second riser is shown at right. The collimator position is indicated by the red arrow. A tissue equivalent mass positioned at the exit stairway door is indicated by the blue arrow.

One of the difficulties in preparing this model is due to the non-linear arrangement of the various tunnel sections. The normal $Z$ axis of the model is parallel with the M5 enclosure straight section while the M4
tunnel sections are not. At this time [14], xyz histograms can only be aligned with the MARS xyz axes. Histograms for prompt effective dose rate due to normal beam loss on the 907 collimator and Q908/Q909 quadrupoles are provided at the $Z=150 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 560 cm positions shown in Figure 5; at the z=655 cm and 750 cm positions in Figure 6; and at the $\mathrm{z}=790 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 970 cm positions in Figure 7.


Figure 5: Elevation view of the M4 beam line and exit stairway riser 2 at $z=150 \mathrm{~cm}$ (left) and exit stairway riser 1 at $z=560 \mathrm{~cm}$ (right). The lift platform pit is also visible in the right image. Tissue equivalent detectors are indicated by heavy black lines on the surface of the shielding berm. The red arrow in the right image indicates the upstream end of the 907 collimator.
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Figure 6: Elevation view of the $M 4$ beam line and exit stairway riser 1 at $z=655 \mathrm{~cm}$ (left) is shown; the red arrow indicates the downstream end of the 907 collimator. At right, the upstream end of the Q908 quadrupole position is shown relative to exit stairway riser 1 at $z=750 \mathrm{~cm}$ (right). Tissue equivalent detectors are indicated by heavy black lines on the surface of the shielding berm.


Figure 7: Elevation view of the M4 beam line and exit stairway riser 2 at $\mathrm{z}=790 \mathrm{~cm}$ is shown at left; the red arrow indicates the downstream end of Q908. At right, the upstream end of Q909 is indicated by the red arrow and its position relative to the exit stairway entrance at $z=970 \mathrm{~cm}$. Tissue equivalent detectors are indicated by heavy black lines on the surface of the shielding berm.

To estimate the TLMs response due to beam loss on the 907 collimator and Q908/Q909, a 61.6 meter long TLM detector has been modeled as shown in Figure 8. The TLM detector model [7], is positioned near the ceiling at the centerline of each tunnel section as shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10. The TLM cable model consists of 8 major sections. The first 4 sections are subdivided into approximate 1 meter lengths while the last four sections are continuous lengths. The purpose of the subdivisions is to estimate the regions of peak response. A summary of the detector cable lengths is shown in Table 1.

| Detector | Total length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Number of subdivisions | Length per subdivision $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12.4 | 12 | 1.03 |
| 2 | 3.38 | 3 | 1.12 |
| 3 | 7.18 | 7 | 1.05 |
| 4 | 5.56 | 6 | 0.93 |
| 5 | 8.77 | 1 | 8.77 |
| 6 | 6.8 | 1 | 6.8 |
| 7 | 6.31 | 1 | 6.31 |
| 8 | 11.22 | 1 | 11.22 |

Table 1: A breakdown of the TLM detector cable sections is given in the table.


Figure 8: A cross section of TLM detector cable is shown in the image; detector material starting from the center circle and progressing radially outward are: air, copper, detector gas, polyethylene standoff insulator, detector gas, copper, and polyethylene jacket.


Figure 9: The TLM location in the first two sections of tunnel are indicated in the image (red arrows).


Figure 10: TLM sections beyond the first sloped ceiling tunnel section are shown in this image (red arrows). The TLMs are placed near the tunnel ceiling $(x=179 \mathrm{~cm})$ at the centerline of each section.

## M5 shield wall region

The M5 tunnel has been modeled in some detail to estimate radiation effective dose rates downstream of the M 5 shield wall, adjacent to the g-2 storage ring room. The tunnel section is modeled based upon as-built drawings [3]. The M5 shield wall is modeled as shown in Figure 14 and based upon information provided in Reference 15 . Figure 11 shows MARS GUI images of the elevation cross sections through the M5 shield wall and the tissue equivalent detector modeled just downstream of the shield wall. Figure 12 shows longitudinal elevation views through the M5 shield wall and includes some details of the cable penetrations which are routed between the upstream and downstream sides of the shield wall. Figure 13 shows additional penetrations through the shield wall and a plan view of the shield wall footprint. Since the radiation shower originates upstream at the 907 collimator, the shielding berm above the M5 line and downstream sections of the M4 line have not been modeled.
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Figure 11: The left image shows an elevation view of the $M 5$ tunnel which includes the beam pipe and water header penetrations and cable penetrations which pass longitudinally beneath the upper floor of the M5 tunnel. At right, an elevation view of the M 4 (left) and M 5 tunnels is shown through the plane of the modeled tissue equivalent detector just downstream of the M5 shield wall. The shield berm above this section of tunnel is absent since it has no bearing on the radiological issues under study in this report.


Figure 12: At left, a partial elevation view of the M5 tunnel is shown through the section where the cable penetrations emerge from the floor downstream of the shield wall. A tissue equivalent mass is located at the exit of each penetration. At right, a similar elevation view is shown through the section in which the beam pipe passes through the shield wall. The brown volume is the single volume, tissue equivalent detector. The shielding berm above the M 5 tunnel has not been modeled.


Figure 13: At left, a partial elevation view of the M5 tunnel is shown through the section where the water headers pass through the M5 shield wall. At right, a plan view of the M5 shield wall is shown through the elevation at which the beam pipe passes. The beam left portion of the wall (upper gray rectangle) is constructed of hand-stacked concrete blocks with a density of 2.13 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cc}$. The beam right portion of the wall (lower gray rectangle) is composed primarily of concrete blocks modeled with a density of $2.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$.


Figure 14: Stacking plan view of the M5 shield wall provided from Reference 15. The hand stacked block density is modeled at $2.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ while the larger concrete blocks are modeled with a density of $2.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$.

## Particle splitting

A relatively new technique [4] is used in this simulation work to address the deep penetration, thick shielding situations presented by the problem. The particle splitting technique is designed as a singlerun replacement to a multi-step, intermediate source approach. The rationale for using this technique is to eliminate the creation and subsequent reading of huge source files which had become a bottleneck in distributed computing environment.

To implement particle splitting, arbitrary particle crossing surfaces were established in a user input file (BRANCH.INP). Shower propagation through the model was set up for the two thick shields interest: 1. the shielding berm above the 907 collimator and Q908/Q909 and, 2. the M5 shield wall upstream of the muon g-2 storage ring room. For the 907 collimator region, 5 horizontal planes set at 1 meter intervals were used as shown in Figure 15; particle splitting was applied to neutrons and high energy photons. Due to the ~70-meter distance through the M4/M5 tunnel from the loss point at the 907 collimator to the upstream face of the M5 shield wall, two vertical planes orthogonal to the MARS $z$ axis were added to amplify the source. Upon reaching the M5 shield wall, five vertical planes were positioned across the M5 shield wall, to achieve statistically meaningful results at the tissue equivalent detectors located downstream of the M5 shield wall. Since the M5 shield wall is off the central axis of the proton beam at the collimator, only neutron particle splitting was applied for these surfaces.


Figure 15: At left, 5 horizontal crossing surfaces were placed in the model for particle splitting including neutrons and photons. At right, 7 vertical crossing surfaces were placed for neutron particle splitting.

With the particle splitting parameters set, the number of events and number of jobs were set to develop dose estimates in radiation sensitive volumes with sufficiently low variance. The effective dose rate results provided in subsequent sections are the result of the choices made in the branching parameters. The total CPU time required to achieve the normal condition result for each run was approximately 5 years.

## MARS Simulation

A beam source file consisting of 452,931 protons transported from the extraction system at MI30 was provided in Reference 10. The beam initial position is arbitrarily located at US end of collimator. The source file provides the proton energy, horizontal and vertical position with respect to the central axis of the collimator, and the angular kick in the horizontal and vertical planes. The proton beam energy ranges from the nominal 8 GeV beam energy to 7.929 GeV . The source file was cycled for 3 passes for each of the 2,000 jobs submitted. Only about 1530 jobs of those submitted for each attempt was completed successfully. Several subsequent sets of jobs were supplied with a new random number seed; selected runs were combined to reduce results variances. All histogram results shown below are in units of $\mathrm{mSv} / \mathrm{hr}$ normalized to a beam intensity of 6.25E12 protons/second; only about $0.28 \%$ of the beam is lost on the collimator. The remaining beam is stopped in a black hole volume positioned at the end of the vacuum tube and does not contribute to indicated radiation dose rates.

## Simulation results

Results from the MARS simulation includes histograms, tissue equivalent detectors, air activation, surface water activation, groundwater activation, TLM detector results, and residual activation results. These are covered individually in the following sections.

## Prompt Effective Dose Rate Histograms

Figure 16 through Figure 25 shows effective dose rate results for various shield locations described previously. All color histogram results are displayed in units of $\mathrm{mSv} / \mathrm{hr}$. Where peak dose rates are reported (units of mrem/hr or urem/hr), they were arrived at by active inspection of the MARS GUI image.


Figure 16: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a plan view of the collimator region at the beam elevation $x=-65.13$. The beam is being lost in the collimator and the shower extends to and is suppressed by quadrupole Q908.


Figure 17: Prompt effective dose rates here are at $x=390 \mathrm{~cm}$, the elevation midpoint through the nearby exit stair doorway.
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Figure 18: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $z=150 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes about 4 meters upstream of the 907 collimator and through the exit stair doorway. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately 25 urem/hr.


Figure 19: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $z=560 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes the upstream end of the 907 collimator and through the first stairway riser. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately 0.3 mrem/hr.
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Figure 20: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $\mathrm{z}=655 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes through the downstream end of the 907 collimator and through the first stairway riser. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately $0.4 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$.
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Figure 21: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $z=750 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes through the upstream end of the Q908 quadrupole and through the exit stair tunnel alcove. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately 0.4 mrem $/ \mathrm{hr}$.
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Figure 22: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $z=790 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes through the downstream end of the Q908 quadrupole and through the exit stair tunnel alcove. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately 0.4 mrem/hr.
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Figure 23: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a skewed view of the berm cross section at $z=970 \mathrm{~cm}$. The cross section passes through the upstream end of the Q909 quadrupole and through the exit stair tunnel alcove. The peak prompt dose rate at the berm surface is approximately 0.2 mrem $/ \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 24: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a longitudinal elevation view at the M5 tunnel centerline. The prompt dose rate at the step is approximately $20 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ due to normal losses at the 907 collimator.


Figure 25: This prompt dose rate histogram shows a longitudinal elevation view at upper the M5 tunnel centerline. The prompt dose rate at the tissue equivalent detector downstream of the M5 shield wall is < 50 urem/hr due to normal losses at the 907 collimator.

## Tissue Equivalent Detectors

Results for tissue equivalent detectors are reported in this section. These detector results are taken from the MTUPLE.EXG output files and are provided in units of $\mathrm{mSv} /$ proton along with a statistical error. The results for the exit stairway, M5 shield wall detector, and the M5 shield wall penetrations are summarized in Table 2 along with the reported error. It is advised [1] that errors less than $20 \%$ are required for result to have physical validity. Results obtained which have an error of $>20 \%$ have been included (grey highlight) but should not be relied upon. The results for similar nearby detectors could be considered representative in those cases.

| volume | urem/hr | \%error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exit stair doorway | 32.09 | $12.7 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall | 0.35 | $13.9 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 1 | 0.08 | $36.1 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 2 | 0.07 | $58.6 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 3 | 0.15 | $46.2 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 4 | 0.14 | $73.7 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 5 | 0.19 | $50.9 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 6 | 0.08 | $37.3 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 7 | 0.11 | $29.7 \%$ |
| M5 shield wall Pen 8 | 0.56 | $68.7 \%$ |

Table 2: Individual tissue equivalent radiation detectors placed at some positions of interest are reported in the table. Results with an error exceeding $20 \%$ are not considered to have physical validity; they are reported here for completeness. The effective dose rate reported for radiation leakage through the M5 shield wall detector volume is both physically valid and is the dominant source in the space adjacent to the mu2e storage ring room.

Additional sets of tissue equivalent detectors were used on the surface of the berm as shown in Figure 26. Values reported in the MARS output file are shown in Figure 27. Blank cells indicate that reported variance was $>20 \%$. The result for detector array 1 is in reasonable agreement with results shown in Figure 16 through Figure 23.


Figure 26: A set of tissue equivalent detectors was placed on the berm surfaces. The sloping berm surface indicated by " 1 " is a 6 $x 12$ array of detectors. The surface indicated by " 2 " is a $6 \times 13$ array of detectors. The detector areas are approximately $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1$ m.

| brm1det | mrem/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.35 | 0.29 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |  | 0.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.47 |  |  | 0.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.19 |  |  |  |  | 0.25 |  | 0.13 |
|  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.16 |  | 0.12 | 0.09 |
| brm2det |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  | 0.04 | 0.04 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |
| 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Figure 27: The effective dose rates (mrem/hr) reported for the tissue equivalent detectors shown on the first two sections of shielding berm are shown in the figure. Blank cells indicate variance exceeded $20 \%$ and are not reported.

## Residual dose rate on collimator

The effective dose rate for various irradiation and cooling times is provided in tabular form in the MARS.OUT output files and is reproduced here in Figure 28 for convenience. The results are also summarized in graphic form in Figure 29. The dose rate at 1 cm falls into the range of 10 to $100 \mathrm{mSv} / \mathrm{hr}$ (1 to $10 \mathrm{rem} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) for medium term irradiation and cooling times.

| IRRADIATIONTIME(day) $=$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 365.0 | 7300.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tcool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 sec | $5.386 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.857 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.509 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.155 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.355 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.498 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 1 min | $4.831 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.301 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.929 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.443 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.099 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.299 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.442 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 10 min | $3.686 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.152 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.720 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.291 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.821 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.184 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.327 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 0.5 hr | $2.790 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.248 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.748 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.377 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.893 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.093 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.235 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 1 hr | $2.128 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.573 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.004 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.689 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.192 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.024 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.166 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 2 hr | $1.508 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.933 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.270 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.022 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.510 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.570 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.099 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 4 hr | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.391 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.619 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.437 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.910 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.985 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.041 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 6 hr | $7.761 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.140 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.294 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.130 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.590 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.671 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.009 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 12 hr | $4.706 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.816 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.794 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.639 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.073 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.167 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.587 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1 day | $3.110 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.616 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.437 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.194 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.595 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.699 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.117 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 days | $2.122 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.972 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.131 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.681 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.038 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.141 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.555 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 7 days | $9.283 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.811 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.259 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.706 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.153 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 30 d | $2.540 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.036 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.098 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.031 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.875 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.653 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.971 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 0.5 yr | $3.905 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.800 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.761 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.168 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.355 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.443 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.373 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1 yr | $1.760 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.516 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.717 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.004 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.696 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.862 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.418 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 yr | $6.506 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.301 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.401 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.774 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.064 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.247 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.349 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 yr | $6.548 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.309 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.461 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.821 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.107 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.482 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.941 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 10 yr | $7.220 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.444 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.193 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.299 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.379 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.830 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.163 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 20 yr | $2.711 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.423 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.708 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.623 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.332 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.917 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.571 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 30 yr | $1.643 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.285 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.641 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.840 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.254 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.178 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.074 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Figure 28: The contact dose rate in $\mathrm{mSv} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the collimator for various irradiation and cooling times is reproduced from a MARS.OUT file. The irradiation intensity is 6.25 E 12 protons per second. The "cooling time in seconds" column has been omitted.

Collimator 907

## Effective Dose Rate at Contact for various

 irradiation and cooling times

Figure 29: Tabular data from Figure 28 is repeated here is graphic form.

## Air Activation

The major air volumes of interest occur in the vicinity of the 907 collimator are shown in Figure 30. This information should be useful to determine if shielding the 907 collimator is necessary to reduce activated air emissions from the nearby monitored exhaust stack. The major contributor for airborne emissions at the M4 beam line exhaust stack will come from the Production Solenoind Room [21]. The contribution of air activation at the 907 collimator region will need to be included with that from other souces including the Production Solenoid Room.
$x(m)$

$\stackrel{x}{4_{i}^{x}}$
$\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{z}=1: \quad 1.8824 ; \mathrm{y} 0=-120.0000 \mathrm{~cm}$

Figure 30: This elevation view of the upstream tunnel region shows air volumes indicated with region numbers. As can be seen

| Volume <br> name | region <br> number | Volume <br> (cc) | hadron <br> flux $>30$ <br> MeV | error (based <br> upon star <br> density) | hadron <br> flux * <br> volume | percent of <br> contributing <br> flux |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APentair | 370 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| pitair | 372 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.0 \%$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| sect1air | 374 | $7.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.1 \%$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| uswalair | 483 | $9.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.1 \%$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| stairent | 398 | $6.12 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| sect3air | 397 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.3 \%$ | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| curve1a | 364 | $8.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.07 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.4 \%$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| curve2a | 363 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.8 \%$ | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| curve3a | 362 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.1 \%$ | $4.07 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| curve4a | 361 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $11.2 \%$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| curve5a | 360 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $10.3 \%$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| curve6a | 359 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $7.5 \%$ | $5.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| longsecta | 358 | $3.86 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-11$ |  | $1.7 \%$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| m5uperai | 357 | $8.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-13$ |  | $0.1 \%$ |  |
| Totals: |  | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+09$ |  |  |  |  |
| weighted average hadron flux $($ hadron/cm2/p): | $8.31 \mathrm{E}-09$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| in |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3, about 95\% of the air activation occurs within regions 364,374, 397, and 483.

| Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | region <br> number | Volume <br> $(c c)$ | hadron <br> flux $>30$ <br> MeV | error (based <br> upon star <br> density) | hadron <br> flux * <br> volume | percent of <br> contributing <br> flux |
| APentair | 370 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| pitair | 372 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.0 \%$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| sect1air | 374 | $7.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.1 \%$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| uswalair | 483 | $9.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.1 \%$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| stairent | 398 | $6.12 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| sect3air | 397 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.3 \%$ | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| curve1a | 364 | $8.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.07 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.4 \%$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| curve2a | 363 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.8 \%$ | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| curve3a | 362 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.1 \%$ | $4.07 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| curve4a | 361 | $4.68 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $11.2 \%$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| curve5a | 360 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $10.3 \%$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| curve6a | 359 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $7.5 \%$ | $5.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| longsecta | 358 | $3.86 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-11$ |  | $1.7 \%$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| m5uperai | 357 | $8.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-13$ |  | $0.1 \%$ |  |
| Totals: |  | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+09$ |  | $15.8 \%$ | $7.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| weighted average hadron flux (hadron/cm2/p): | $8.31 \mathrm{E}-09$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Air activation results for each of the model air volumes is listed in the table. $95 \%$ of the airborne activity is produced in 4 regions containing and just downstream of the 907 collimator.

## Groundwater activation

The distribution of groundwater activation in the glacial till beneath the 907 collimator and Q908/Q909 region is provided from the MTUPLE.EXG output file and is shown in Figure 31. The volumes containing about $99 \%$ of total stars include those volumes indicated in yellow/orange/red. The average star density obtained from the $99 \%$ volume is $1.28 \mathrm{E}-11$ stars/cc/proton.


$\stackrel{\sim}{4} y$
$x: y=1: \quad 1.2059 ; 70=800.0000 \mathrm{~cm}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sum | 7.7\% | 25.2\% | 20.8\% | 13.2\% | 5.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.2\% | 76.2\% |
| 1.2\% | 3.8\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.3\% | 8.7\% | 1.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 10.3\% |
| 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |

Figure 31: At top left is a cross section of tunnel showing the 21 ground water activation volumes below the floor in the vicinity of the platform lift pit. Region numbers are bottom left, 376; top left, 378; bottom right, 394; and top right, 396. At top right is a cross section of the tunnel showing 28 groundwater activation volumes beginning just after the step following the platform lift. Region numbers are bottom left, 455; top left, 458; bottom right, 479; and top right, 482. The longitudinal position of these two regions is illustrated in Figure 30. At bottom left, the total of groundwater activation is shown for the upstream region. At bottom right, the total is shown for the downstream region.

Groundwater activation is calculated from the method provided in Reference 17. As shown in Figure 32, using a 20 year irradiation time at 6.25 E12 protons/second, 2000 hours/year operation, ground water activation eventually reaches just over 1 ppm of the groundwater activation limit.

|  | Mu2e Groundwater Calculations without Flushing (assuming no underdrains or sump pumps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Na}$ | $\mathrm{Smax}_{\text {max }} \mathrm{S}_{\text {ave }}=$ | 0.019 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}($ atom $/$ star $)=$ | 0.075 | 0.02 | dps-to-pCiPlus= | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Na}$ |
| L= | 0.9 | 0.135 |  |  |  | Buildup | $6.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.95E-01 |
| $\rho($ soil $)=$ | 2.25 | 2.25 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{yr})=$ | 12.33 | 2.602 |
| $\mathrm{w}=$ | 0.27 | 0.52 | *Save(star/cc-p)= | 1.28E-11 |  | $\lambda=$ | 5.62E-02 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Factor-ave= | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 2.51E-20 |  |  |  | Decay $=$ | $3.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
|  |  |  | H-3_Hydro-xport R(Tili) $=$ | 1.00E-09 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Na22_Hydro-xport R(Till) $=$ | 1.00E-09 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tirr (yr) = | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tbeam-off (yr) = | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tritiu |  |  | Sod |  |  |  |  |
| Protons/year | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C01} \\ \text { (pCi/cc-y) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t}) f 1 \\ (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{y}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} 01 \\ (\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{y}) \end{gathered}$ | C(t) fi (pCi/cc-y) | After Year |  | quifer |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 1 |  | E-08 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 3 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 4 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 5 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 6 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 7 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 8 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 9 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 10 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 11 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 12 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 13 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 14 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 15 |  | E-07 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 16 |  | E-06 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 17 |  | E-06 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-08$ |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 18 |  | E-06 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.13E-09 | 19 |  | E-06 |
| $4.50 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.69E-08 |  | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 20 |  | E-06 |

Figure 32: Using the average star density for the 99\% activation volume, and assuming 4.5 E 19 protons per year, the ground water activation level eventually reaches just over 1 ppm of the groundwater activation limit.

## Surface water activation

Surface water produced near the 907 collimator is collected by a collection system which is routed to the Main Ring Pond and on-site collection/storage system. There is no observable unique surface water discharge point near the 907 collimator. The surface water activation is calculated from Reference 17. As shown in Figure 33, applying the average star density from the groundwater result for the surface water activation calculation results in surface water activation well below surface discharge limits for any conceivable sump pumping frequency.


Figure 33: Surface water discharge activation is well before the surface water discharge limits for any conceivable pumping frequency.

## TLM detector system response

The calculated energy deposition in the argon/ $/ \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas is converted to TLM response as shown in Figure 34. As expected, most of the TLM response occurs in the detector cable just downstream of the 907 collimator. The total TLM response for the 8 detector sections is about $1800 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{min}$. Based upon the $0.28 \%$ beam loss, the TLM response is about $15.7 \mathrm{nC} / E 10$ protons.

It has been shown that TLM response should vary widely depending upon the mass of the object struck by the beam [18]. The nominal response for 8 GeV beam loss in a massive object was repeatedly shown to be about $3 \mathrm{nC} / E 10$ protons [19]. The calculated TLM response is roughly a factor of 5 greater than that expected for a massive magnet. If the 907 collimator is eventually shielded significantly with steel shielding, the TLM response can be expected to decrease by a factor of about 5 .

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL TLM Response |  | 1836.2664 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | reg \# | volume | energy dep | error | \%error | ev/IP | e pernC | protons/s | s/m | e perIP | ev/GeV | $\mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{min}$ | nC/E10 p |
| 1detgas | 99 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 6.82E-15 | 6.84E-16 | 10.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 4.1 | 0.0390 |
| 1detgas | 100 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 9.4\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 7.2 | 0.0683 |
| 1detgas | 101 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 3.73E-15 | 14.9\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 15.0 | 0.1431 |
| 1detgas | 102 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 4.83E-14 | 5.37E-15 | 11.1\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 29.0 | 0.2766 |
| 1detgas | 103 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 8.91E-15 | 7.4\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 72.7 | 0.6926 |
| 1detgas | 104 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3.02E-13 | 9.47E-15 | 3.1\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 181.5 | 1.7285 |
| 1detgas | 105 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.38E-14 | 2.8\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 293.0 | 2.7908 |
| 1detgas | 106 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.14 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.34E-14 | 3.2\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 248.6 | 2.3679 |
| 1detgas | 107 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3.95E-13 | 6.45E-14 | 16.3\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 237.6 | 2.2631 |
| 1detgas | 108 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 8.95E-15 | 3.8\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 141.8 | 1.3508 |
| 1detgas | 109 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 9.72E-15 | 5.2\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 113.1 | 1.0772 |
| 1detgas | 110 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.16E-14 | 7.2\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 97.0 | 0.9238 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total | 1440.8 | 13.7216 |
| 2detgas | 144 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 5.78E-15 | 5.1\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 75.1 | 0.7150 |
| 2detgas | 145 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1.01E-13 | 3.65E-15 | 3.6\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 66.3 | 0.6318 |
| 2detgas | 146 | $3.28 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 8.66E-14 | 2.75E-15 | 3.2\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 56.9 | 0.5416 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total | 198.3 | 1.8885 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3detgas | 174 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 8.50E-14 | 3.87E-15 | 4.6\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 50.7 | 0.4829 |
| 3detgas | 175 | 2.98E+02 | 7.17E-14 | 4.67E-15 | 6.5\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 42.8 | 0.4074 |
| 3detgas | 176 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 5.08E-14 | 2.23E-15 | 4.4\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 30.3 | 0.2885 |
| 3detgas | 177 | 2.98E+02 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 2.54E-15 | 6.7\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 22.5 | 0.2147 |
| 3detgas | 178 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 8.87E-16 | 4.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 13.2 | 0.1254 |
| 3detgas | 179 | 2.98E+02 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 7.13E-16 | 4.8\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 8.9 | 0.0848 |
| 3detgas | 180 | $2.98 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.91E-15 | 6.92E-16 | 7.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 5.9 | 0.0563 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total | 174.3 | 1.6602 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4detgas | 213 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 7.90E-15 | 46.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 9.2 | 0.0881 |
| 4detgas | 214 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.29 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 4.16E-16 | 6.6\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3.4 | 0.0323 |
| 4detgas | 215 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.78 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 2.85E-16 | 6.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 2.6 | 0.0245 |
| 4detgas | 216 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.29 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 4.65E-16 | 10.8\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 2.3 | 0.0220 |
| 4detgas | 217 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3.77E-15 | 2.98E-16 | 7.9\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 2.0 | 0.0193 |
| 4detgas | 218 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 2.20E-16 | 8.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.5 | 0.0140 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total | 21.0 | 0.2004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5detgas | 234 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.26E-16 | 7.1\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 9.1 | 0.0864 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6detgas | 240 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2.70E-16 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 12.0\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.1 | 0.0102 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7detgas | 246 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 6.88E-17 | 1.11E-17 | 16.2\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.3 | 0.0024 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8detgas | 252 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 8.16E-17 | 6.18E-17 | 75.7\% | 30 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 60 | 1 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.5 | 0.0051 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tot | nC/E10 p | 15.7141 |

Figure 34: The TLM response is calculated from the energy deposition in the argon/ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ detector gas for the 8 lengths of TLM detector cable. Most of the total detector response results from the downstream half of the first detector. The total calculated detector response is about $1800 \mathrm{nC} /$ minute.

## Analysis and Discussion

The prompt effective dose rate on the M4 beam line shielding berm resulting from the $0.28 \%$ normal beam loss on the 907 collimator is up to about $0.5 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ (see Figure 19 through Figure 23 and Figure 27). The FRCM [20] requirements for posting radiological areas are reproduced in Figure 35 and Figure

36 for convenience. For the normal case, the requirement is to post the shielding berm with Controlled Area signs and to limit occupancy to one hour. To fulfill these requirements, installation of a fence would be a practical method to attach the necessary signs and to permit enforcement of the 1 hour occupancy limit.

The effective dose rate at the exit stairway given in Table 2 is 32 urem/hr. The only additional requirement would be to post the exterior of the exit door with the Exclusion Area posting.

The effective dose rate downstream of the M5 shield wall given in Table 2 is $<1$ urem/hr. No posting of the region would be required due to normal beam loss on the 907 collimator. However, other more severe beam loss potential will exist due to losses downstream of the 907 collimator which may require posting and additional control measures.

| Dose Rate (DR) Under Normal Operating Conditions | Controls |
| :---: | :---: |
| All interlocked doors or gates leading from nonenclosures into an interlocked Exclusion Area | Signs (EXCLUSION AREA - No Access Permitted with Beam Enabled.) |
| DR < $0.05 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ | No precautions needed. |
| $0.05 \leq \mathrm{DR}<0.25 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Signs (CAUTION -- Controlled Area). No occupancy limits imposed. |
| 0.25 < DR < 5 mrem/hr | Signs (CAUTION -- Controlled Area) and minimal occupancy (occupancy duration of less than 1 hr ). |
| $5 \leq \mathrm{DR}<100 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Signs (CAUTION -- Radiation Area) and rigid barriers (at least 4' high) with locked gates. For beam-on radiation, access restricted to authorized personnel. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| $100 \leq \mathrm{DR}$ < $500 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Signs (DANGER -- High Radiation Area) and 8 ft . high rigid barriers with interlocked gates or doors and visible flashing lights warning of the hazard. Rigid barriers with no gates or doors are a permitted alternate. No beam-on access permitted. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| DR $\geq 500 \mathrm{mrem} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Prior approval of SRSO required with control measures specified on a case-by-case basis. |

Figure 35: FRCM Table2-6 Control of Accessible Accelerator/Beamline Areas for Prompt Radiation Under Normal Operating Conditions (refer to Article 236.2(b))

| Maximum Dose (D) Expected in 1 hour | Controls |
| :---: | :---: |
| All interlocked doors or gates leading from nonenclosures into an interlocked Exclusion Area | Signs (EXCLUSION AREA - No Access Permitted with Beam Enabled.) |
| D < 1 mrem | No precautions needed. |
| $1<\mathrm{D} \leq 10 \mathrm{mrem}$ | Minimal occupancy only (duration of credible occupancy < 1 hr ) no posting |
| $1 \leq \mathrm{D}<5 \mathrm{mrem}$ | Signs (CAUTION -- Controlled Area). No occupancy limits imposed. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| $5 \leq \mathrm{D}<100$ mrem | Signs (CAUTION -- Radiation Area) and minimal occupancy (duration of occupancy of less than1 hr). The assigned RSO has the option of imposing additional controls in accordance with Article 231 to ensure personnel entry control is maintained. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| $100 \leq$ D $<500$ mrem | Signs (DANGER -- High Radiation Area) and rigid barriers (at least 4' high) with locked gates. For beam-on radiation, access restricted to authorized personnel. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| $500 \leq$ D $<1000$ mrem | Signs (DANGER -- High Radiation Area) and 8 ft . high rigid barriers with interlocked gates or doors and visible flashing lights warning of the hazard. Rigid barriers with no gates or doors are a permitted alternate. No beam-on access permitted. Radiological Worker Training required. |
| $\mathrm{D} \geq 1000$ mrem | Prior approval of SRSO required with control measures specified on a case-by-case basis. |

Figure 36: FRCM Table2-7 Control of Accessible Accelerator/Beamline Areas for Prompt Radiation Under Accident Conditions When It is Likely that the Maximum Dose Can Be Delivered (See Article 236.2 b for more details)

TLM response due to normal losses on the 907 collimator is calculated at about $1,800 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{min}$. A TLM system trip level of $3,000 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{min}$ would permit operation with the $0.28 \%$ beam loss and leave some additional operating space above the trip level. The effective dose rates reported above could increase by $40 \%$, but would remain with the cited posting and access requirements for the normal condition. This trip level would simultaneously limit the accident condition to the upper limit of the normal condition. Figure 36 shows the FRCM requirements for the accident condition; no additional posting or access requirements would be imposed with a TLM system trip level of $3,000 \mathrm{nC} / \mathrm{min}$.

As shown in Figure 32 and Figure 33, ground water and surface water activation remain well within their respective limits due to normal operational beam loss on the 907 collimator.

The air activation estimated for normal beam loss at the 907 collimator will eventually need to be considered as an additional emissions source along with that originating in the Production Solenoid
room [21], the AC dipole, and any additional collimators located further downstream in the M4 beam line.

The residual dose rate on the 907 collimator calculated for nominal operating conditions, e.g., 30 days irradiation, 1 day cooling will be significant. Personnel access in the vicinity of the 907 collimator and aisleway will be limited due to high radiation levels, perhaps several hundred mrem/hr or more.

## Supplemental shielding

While not absolutely necessary, the inclusion of sufficiently thick supplemental steel shielding around the collimator would have significant benefits including:

- Reduction in radiation effective dose rates on the shielding berm. Each foot of steel shielding placed above the 907 collimator could reduce dose rates on the shielding berm by up to a factor of 10 .
- Reduction in fencing and posting requirements on the shielding berm above the 907 collimator
- Reduction in TLM response during normal beam operation which would provide additional operating margin. This could be especially important if other unknown/unspecified beam losses eventually contribute to TLM response.
- Reduction in air activation due to reduction of hadron flux in air
- Reduction of effective dose rate at the exit stairway door.
- Reduction in personnel radiation exposure in the vicinity of the 907 collimator and aisleway during M4 enclosure access

The benefit that supplemental steel sheiding can provide is strongly dependent upon the available space for its installation above, below, and at either side of the 907 collimator.

## Summary

A MARS simulation has been produced which provides estimates of radiation protection parameters due to normal beam loss on the 907 collimator. The inclusion of non-trivial layers of supplemental steel shielding should significantly reduce effective dose rates on the shielding berm, reduce fencing and posting requirement, reduce air activation, and provide additional operating margin by reducing TLM response due to normal losses on the 907 collimator. A subsequent MARS simulation should be made to quantify these improvements if/when a shielding plan for the 907 collimator becomes available.
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