# Connections of Colliders to Astrophysics





Roni Harnik, Fermilab

### Before we begin

- \* Who am I?
- \* Who are you?
- User instructions for students who've gone thru 10 days (!) of lectures: ask questions!

### The Goal

\* The big questions our field tries to answer can be summarized as

$$\mathcal{L}=?$$

What are the degrees of freedom?

What are their interactions? symmetries?

What are the rules?!



### The Tools

Colliding Stuff:



Looking Around Us:

# We have a whole Universe to look through for clues!



# Example:



- What Power's the sun?
  - I860's Kelvin and Helmholtz:
     "SM" physics of those days gravitational contraction. Age estimate : 20 million years.
  - I 904 Rutherford:
     An internal source of heat.
  - I 920's (post relativity) Eddington proposed nuclear fusion.
  - o 1930's -

Bethe calculated main nuclear reactions.

Ο.



#### The observation of the Sun's energy problem could lead people to new forces of nature (and relativity).



### Our Universe

Our Universe is big, homogeneous, isotropic.
 Contains the following (by mass/energy):



We have a Universal energy problem: "whats all this stuff?"

### Outline

- \* Evidence for Dark Matter (Dark Energy too, if we have time):
  - Rotation curves, CMB, BBN, lensing, supernovae.
- Properties of Dark Matter:
  - Lifetime, hot/cold,
  - Abundance & interaction w/ matter.
- Candidates for Dark Matter:
  - o SUSY, WIMPs, axions,.....
- Searches for Dark Matter:
  - o Direct
  - o Indirect
  - Colliders

### Evidence for Dark Matter



Take Home massage will be: dark matter exists!

# Coma Cluster (1932)

\* Zwicky "measured" the mass of the coma cluster using velocities of individual galaxies:

$$2\langle K \rangle = -\langle V \rangle$$
$$mv^2 = G_N \frac{mM}{R}$$

(virial theorem)

$$M = \frac{v^2 R}{G_N}$$

This yielded a factor of 400 b/w the luminous and "gravitational" mass.

Called the missing stuff "dark matter".



Vera Rubin measured galactic rotation curves (60's):





\* Vera Rubin measured galactic rotation curves (60's):











### Dark Baryons...?

\* At this point you might argue:

So a Bunch of Baryons are unaccounted for. Not all Baryons shine light. (Hey, maybe this "dark matter" is a Bunch of used sneakers floating in space.) What's the Big deal?!

### Dark Baryons...?

\* At this point you might argue:

So a Bunch of Baryons are unaccounted for. Not all Baryons shine light. (Hey, maybe this "dark matter" is a Bunch of used sneakers floating in space.) What's the Big deal?!

- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



- \* The CMB is a relic of the hot early Universe.
- Emitted when atoms (re)combined and the Universe became transparent.
- In the era before recombination the density of the plasma was oscillating on all scales.



CMB

Hu 0802.3688

# \* Modes that reonate with the Universe at recombination have more power.



\* The precise dynamics depends, among many things, on the *matter density* and *baryon density*.

CMB

Hu 0802.3688

\* Modes that reonate with the Universe at recombination have more power.



\* The precise dynamics depends, among many things, on the *matter density* and *baryon density*.

# Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

- \* The theory of BBN describes how D, He, Li, were fused during the early universe.
- \* Disney version:
  - Put a bunch of protons and neutrons into a hot soup.
  - Let the soup cool and expand.

Include nuclear reactions and apply thermodynamics.
 <u>nuclear abundances for H, D, He, Li</u>

\* One of the key parameters that will determine the outcome is the **density of baryons**.

### Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Baryons amount to 4% of the Universe.

From other sources: Total matter is 22%.

#### DM is non-Baryonic.

(there went my theory of "sneaker dark matter")











The baryonic mass is mostly gas. Gas is hot due to the collision. Emits x-rays (red):



\* The distribution of the total mass is determined by gravitational lensing (blue):



\* The total mass and the dominant baryonic mass are not in the same place:





### But is there DM here?

\* A recent analysis of the velocities of near by stars supports the hypothesis that there is DM in our neighborhood of the milky way (1205.4033).

$$\rho_{\rm DM} \sim 0.3 \ \frac{{\rm GeV}}{{\rm cm}^3}$$

(give or take a factor of two).

This is the canonical value that was used Before.



dark matter exists!

Food for thought for this evening:

THE BEER

GUINNESS

So, what the @\$# is it ???

#### Every pint of Beer comes with a single dark matter particle. (assuming it's mass is ~150 GeV)

# In Parenthesis: Dark Energy

<u>note</u>: the reason I'm note discussing much of DE is not because its not interesting or mysterious. Its because the connections to colliders is weak.

### Supernovae:

Hubble's discovery of the expanding Universe.



 Version 2.0:
 Done to higher precision and to earlier times with type IA supernovae.

\* The expansion of the Universe is *accelerating*!



This is most simply explained with a cosmological constant. (Einstein's biggest blunder, remember?)

This is a **huge** theoretical problem... but thats for another time.

# **DM** Properties

#### **\* cold**:

Simulations of the formation of large scale structure seems to favors cold (a.k.a non-relativistic) DM.

#### **\*** long lived:

DM is still around today. It should not decay faster than the age of the Universe. If it decays to SM particles the limits are *much* stronger:

Decay Channel	$\tau$ Lower Limit	Experiment
$q\overline{q}$	$10^{27} { m s}$	PAMELA antiprotons
$e^+e^- \text{ or } \mu^+\mu^-$	$2 \times 10^{25} \mathrm{s} \left(\frac{\mathrm{TeV}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}\right)$	PAMELA positrons
$\tau^+\tau^-$	$10^{25} \mathrm{s} \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{TeV}}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}\right)$	EGRET + PAMELA
WW	$3 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~s}$	PAMELA antiprotons
$\gamma\gamma$	$2 \times 10^{25} \mathrm{s}$	PAMELA antiprotons
$\nu\overline{\nu}$	$10^{25} \mathrm{s} \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{DM}}}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)$	AMANDA, Super-K

### **DM** Properties

#### **\* does not interact much**:

Obviously. Its dark. But due to halo shapes we know-

- it does not interact strongly with itself, otherwise halos would be too spherical (e.g. Fox and Buckley 2009).
- it does not interact with massless particles, otherwise those could be radiated, and the halo would collapse to a disk.

# Does it have any non-gravitational interactions?

### Relic abundance: WIMPS

- What sets the amount of DM?
- \* Lets assume that DM has a weak interaction with matter:



What happens if we add such a particle to the primordial hot soup?

### Relic abundance: WIMPS

#### **Disney Version:**

\* Initially DM is in thermal equilibrium.  $\chi \chi \leftrightarrow \overline{f} f$ 

As the T drops below the mass it is "energetically favorable" for DM pair to convert to SM particles.
 DM abundance Begins to drop.

\* At some point, DM particles will not find friend to annihilate with. The abundance is set. *Freeze-out*.

### Relic abundance: WIMPS

\* When is it that two WIMPs can't find each other?

annihilation rate ~ Expansion rate of the Universe

or

Particle Physics

 $n_{\rm DM} \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \sim \frac{T^2}{M_{\rm pl}}$ 

Cosmology

(in practice we solve a Boltzman equation)

This gives an intriguing result...



#### EW cross-sections! what a coincidence!

eezes out as usual, but then decays to a superWIMP,

# WIMPs :-)

#### **\*** Experiment:

A new particle with weak scale mass and cross section around I pb. sounds good! Could lead to:



Just keep turning the diagram on its side .... (more later)

# WIMPs :-)

#### **\*** Theory:

Dark matter needs to annihilate with **weak-scale** cross-sections.

New physics at the weak or TeV scale.

We have plenty of those lying around!

For examples, see Lian Tao's Talk: SUSY, Extra dimensions, compsiteness...

#### \* Experiment (again):

Many of these theories have new colored particles. Produced strongly. Decay to DM.

High rates for NP signals with MET!!!

### WIMPs in BSM e.g. SUSY

In many theories a new parity was needed to, say, prevent proton decay (in SUSY): (ripped from Lian Tao's talk)





But it can annihilate via sparticle exchange. sparticle mass is set to solve other problems!

### SUSY WIMPs

#### \* In fact, neutralinos can annihilate in many many ways:



Jungman, Kamionkowski, Griest (1995)

# SUSY WIMPs

- \* A variety of possibilities: interesting phenomenology, but also...
- \* Connections between experiments are highly model dependent.

No longer turning a single diagram on its side...

For example:



Jungman, Kamionkowski, Griest (1995)



Jungman, Kamionkowski, Griest (1995)

### SUSY & Colliders

SUSY particles are produced via colored squarks or gluinos.



Thursday, 2 August 2012

Thursday, 2 August 2012

\* This is great for discovering New Physics, but hard to make the connections to dark matter. (nature can certainly be this way).

Indeed, I wish we had this problem ....

### SUSY Limits

#### \* Limits on SUSY also are model dependent:



Which means there are ways to evade them! :-)

### SUSY Limits

#### \* Limits on SUSY also are model dependent:



Which means there are ways to evade them! :-)

### Other DM Candidates

#### \* Other Wimps-

 KK-photons (extra dimensions), LTP (little Higgs), Inert doublet,

#### \* Axions- (not a WIMP!)

- Originally proposed to for the strong CP problem.
- it is a very weakly coupled and very light particle.
- Searches are far fewer (opportunity!), and non-collider.

#### \* Asymmetric DM- (also not a WIMP)

- Exploit the fact that  $\rho_{\rm DM} \sim {\rm few} \times \rho_{\rm matter}$ .
- Invoked an asymmetry b/w DM and anti-DM (like us).
- Signals are model dependent, but possible everywhere.

### Many More...



# Enjoy

Interim summary: today was about getting you curious about what's in your pint. Dark Matter!

#### **\* Tomorrow**:

More on how to detect it.





### Direct, indirect, collider

### Direct detection

### **Current Anomalies**

### Indirect

### Colliders