



# **2x2 Calibration Status and Plans**

#### Kevin Wood, <u>kwood@lbl.gov</u> January 19, 2023 2x2 Analysis Workshop, University of Bern





- The "flow framework" for processing and calibrating 2x2 data
  - Focus on charge readout
- Data formats
- What effects do we aim to calibrate out?
- What samples can we use to this end?



#### 2x2 Analysis Workshop - January 2023



#### 2x2 Calibration Overview

- 2x2 calibration routines will be handled by a dedicated "flow" framework
- Based off module0 flow, developed by P. Madigan
- Currently working on branch: ۲ refactor/restructure-for-ndlar-flow that will extend the repo for use on different geometries/detector setups
- 2x2 code is found under proto nd flow subdirectories

**BERKELEY LAB** 





3

### **Flow Overview**



- Built on top of <u>h5flow</u> (also developed by Peter) for efficient handling of data
- Read and writes to HDF5 files
- Various groups for different types of information
- proto\_nd\_flow modules are written to process and calibrate the raw (h5) packets

- In [1]: import h5py
  flow\_out\_h5 = h5py.File('/home/kwood/research/dune/2x2/data/simulation\_ch
- In [2]: flow\_out\_h5.keys()
- In [3]: flow\_out\_h5['charge'].keys()
- In [4]: flow\_out\_h5['/charge/calib\_prompt\_hits'].keys()
- Out[4]: <KeysViewHDF5 ['data', 'ref']>
- In [5]: flow\_out\_h5['/charge/calib\_prompt\_hits/data'].dtype



#### **Flow Overview - references**



- <u>h5flow</u> also has mechanisms in place to establish references between datasets
  - E.g. between charge/calib\_prompt\_hits and charge/events \*
  - E.g. for truth matching in simulation files
  - E.g. for associating hit level information back to the full packet
- (See h5flow README.md for more details)

- In [2]: event\_hits = flow\_out\_h5flow['charge/events','charge/calib\_prompt\_hits']





# **Data Processing - Charge**







#### **Calibrated Dataset Format**



- There will be designated 'calib\_hits' dataset from running low level reconstruction and full the suite of calibration on raw data
- A generic calibration dataset has the same hit-level datatype structure:
  - x [mm] reconstructed/calibrated x position
  - y [mm] reconstructed/calibrated y position
  - z [mm] reconstructed/calibrated z position
  - Q [ke-] reconstructed/calibrated integrated charge
  - E [MeV] reconstructed/calibrated energy
  - t\_drift [ns] reconstructed drift time
  - t\_pps [ticks] when the charge arrived on the pixel



## **Light Information**



- Not currently in the simulation challenge file
- module0\_flow has modules for handling light information that we can use as a starting point
  - Raw waveforms
    - ~90% of the file size from single module operations (?)
  - Hits
    - SiPM level vs. detector level
    - Currently the latter where sipms on the same light detector are summed (after noise filtering, signal deconvolution) before hit finding
    - Keeping the same structure as the charge hits is possible, but x,y,z information has a different interpretation



## **Truth Information (simulation)**



- At the moment there are handles for associating packets and hits to the GEANT truth information
  - Segments information on the energy depositions from final state particles
  - Trajectories description of the final state particles themselves
- Still need to put in the association back to the GENIE event record
  - Trivial to include, but there is a question about how much information we want to carry along in these files
  - Option 1) copy the entire GENIE stack in these files
  - Option 2) use metadata cataloguing to retain the association between these files and the corresponding GENIE files
  - Personal opinion: something in between keep enough information for event reconstruction to benchmark their algorithms, but maybe not enough, e.g., for eventual systematic development



#### What to Calibrate for?

- (Discussion)
- Beyond naive expectation from simulation, data driven
- Channel-to-channel gain variation
- 2. Electric field non-uniformity
- 3. Space charge (?)
- 4. Detector distortion
- 5. Run conditions

**BERKELEY LAB** 

6

·····





#### What samples to use?

(Discussion)

**BERKELEY LAB** 

- Rock muons will be plenty
  - Subsamples from selecting, e.g., anode-cathode crossing tracks
- Fewer cosmics, but will be useful to have vertical oriented tracks in addition to the predominantly horizontal rock muons
- Stopping muons will be fewer still, but Michel spectra would be a good validation, especially if we could bin by position in the detector
- Ar39? Requires very low thresholds

#### Single pixel statistics





P. Madigan

### **Sample Collection**



- How often do we collect these samples?
- Self triggering system
  - If we have activity in the detector, we will read it out and save it
- How long does it take to acquire the requisite statistics?
- Matt Kramer is standing up an efficient spill simulation that will be informative here
- Connections with DQM



## **LAr Calibration Summary**



- Status
  - proto\_nd\_flow for
     processing and calibrating
     low level data from 2x2
  - Naive ADC→MeV calibration
     based on simulation in place
  - Data driven calibration to be developed
  - Sample simulation file with 5
     NuMI spills available

- Plans
  - Finalize light and truth datasets
  - Efficient spill simulation with rock muons included
  - Develop data driven calibration modules
  - Collect data!

