Localization of source for interferometer

Notations

We focus on the 1D case with two antennas:

- 1. the sample rate au ($rac{1}{250~\mathrm{MHz}}=4~\mathrm{ns}$ for Tianlai)
- 2. Total bandwidth $\Delta
 u_B = rac{1}{2 au}$ ($125~\mathrm{MHz}$ for Tianlai)
- 3. Channel width $\Delta
 u_c$ ($125 \ \mathrm{MHz}/1024 pprox 0.122 \ \mathrm{MHz}$)
- 4. FFT length $\tau_{\rm FFT} = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu_c}$
- 5. Baseline length d
- 6. Zenith angle of the source θ
- 7. Zenith angle of the synthesized beam θ_b

Time delay measurement

The time delay is

$$au_d = rac{d}{c} \sin heta$$

Differentiate it and the difference in time delay for two angular position should be **larger** than the sample rate τ to be distinguishable:

$$\Delta au_d = rac{d}{c} \cos heta \Delta heta \geq au$$

Therefore the angular resolution is:

$$\cos heta \Delta heta \geq rac{c au}{d} pprox 0.4' rac{250 ext{ MHz}}{1/ au} rac{d}{10 ext{ km}}$$

Visibility measurement

In visibility

- Time delay \Rightarrow phase
- Short time information \Rightarrow spectrum (by FFT)

The **phase** ϕ of a source will change over the frequency range, the phase change between in $\Delta \nu$ is:

$$\Delta \phi = 2\pi rac{d}{c} \Delta
u \sin heta$$

We require the difference of phase change in the bandwidth $\Delta \nu_B$ to reach π for two angular position to be distinguishable (phase change $\Delta \phi_B$ for θ and $\Delta \phi_B + \pi$ for $\theta + \Delta \theta$):

$$2\pirac{d}{c}\Delta
u_B\cos heta\Delta heta\geq\pi$$

The angular resolution:

$$\cos heta\Delta heta\geqrac{c}{2d\Delta
u_B}=rac{c au}{d}$$

where we have used the fact that $\Delta \nu_B = rac{1}{2 au}$.

But we have **another requirement**, the phase change in the channel width $\Delta \nu_c$ must be smaller than 2π

$$2\pi rac{d}{c} \Delta
u_c \sin heta \leq 2\pi$$

and we get

$$rac{d\sin heta}{c} \leq rac{1}{\Delta
u_c} = au_{ ext{FFT}}$$

where we have use the fact that $\Delta \nu_c = 1/\tau_{\rm FFT}$. Therefore, this requirement is equivalent to that the FFT sequence must overlap.

And we can evaluate it

$$d \leq rac{c}{\Delta
u_c \sin heta} = 4915 \; \mathrm{m} rac{0.122 \; \mathrm{MHz}}{\Delta
u_c} rac{\sin 30^\circ}{\sin heta}$$

Beam-forming measurement

We consider the beam-forming of two antennas:

$$B=A_1+A_2+2\sqrt{A_1A_2}\cos(2\pirac{d}{c}
u(\sin heta-\sin heta_b))$$

If $\theta \neq \theta_b$, B will vary periodically with ν , we assume that when the number of period over the bandwidth $\Delta \nu_B$ of two angular positions is larger than 1/2, then are distinguishable

$$2\pirac{d}{c}\Delta
u_B\cos heta\Delta heta=\pi$$

and again we get

$$\cos heta\Delta heta\geqrac{c}{2d\Delta
u_B}=rac{c au}{d}$$

It is a little bit surprising that the result is independent of $\sin \theta_b$. It is because if you only see e.g. one period in the whole bandwidth, the source must be close to the beam center.

We also require the amplitude variation in one frequency channel less than one period and again we get

$$rac{d\sin heta}{c} \leq rac{1}{\Delta
u_c} = au_{
m FFT}$$

Note:

we only use the cross-correlation part $2\sqrt{A_1A_2}\cos(2\pi \frac{d}{c}\nu(\sin\theta - \sin\theta_b))$ to do the localization. The **auto-correlation part can only add noise** to the result **without**

adding localization power and therefore should be dropped.

The auto-correlation and increase the sensitivity of **event detection**, but is bad for **localization**. Therefore **VLBI never use auto**.

Caveat

The beam-forming approach lost the information of **phase** (and therefore we only have cos part) and the **intrinsic spectrum of the source** may influence the result (e.g. effect of **Rotation Measure** is also periodical for single polarization).

However, the spectrum of source are **the same for all beams** and we may use the data from different beams to break the degeneracy.