Computation of Relativistic Corrections to the Static Potential from Generalized Wilson Loops at Finite Flow Time

Michael Eichberg, eichberg@itp.uni-frankfurt.de in collaboration with Marc Wagner, Nora Brambilla, Julian Mayer-Steudte and Xiangpeng Wang

Institut für theoretische Physik, Goethe Universität Frankfurt

Helmholtz Forschungszentrum Hessen für FAIR

FERMILAB, 03.08.2023







Motivation

Results

Conclusions

Motivation

$$V(r) = V^{(0)}(r) + \frac{1}{m}V^{(1)}(r) + \frac{1}{m^2}(V_{\rm SD}(r) + V_{\rm SI}(r)) + \mathcal{O}(1/m^3)$$

[Eichten, Feinberg, 1981], [Barchielli et al. 1988], [Pineda, Vairo, 2001], [Brambilla, 2022]

- Corrections well understood in perturbation theory, but results from lattice QCD incomplete. [Bali, Schilling, Wachter, 1997], [Koma, Koma, 2007]
- **Problems** are UV-noise and renormalization, both can be solved with gradient flow (cf. parallel talk by Julian Mayer-Steudte, Tue 3:10 PM).
- Analogous expressions recently derived for hybrid potentials [Brambilla et al. 2020].



Potentials are computed using correlators $\langle \langle ... \rangle \rangle = \langle ... \rangle_W / \langle 1 \rangle_W \Rightarrow V_0$ (in particular $V_{self}(\mu)$ does not appear in resulting spectrum).

$$V_{\mathbf{p}^{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathbf{p}^{2}, \left(\mathcal{I}_{2}(E_{z}(t,0)E_{z}(0,0)) + \mathcal{I}_{2}(E_{z}(t,r)E_{z}(0,0)) \right) \right\},$$

$$V_{\mathbf{LS}} = \epsilon_{ijz} \frac{c_{F}(\mu)}{2r} \left(2\mathcal{I}_{1}(B_{i}(t,0)E_{j}(0,0)) + \mathcal{I}_{1}(B_{i}(t,r)E_{j}(0,0)) \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}}\hat{\mathbf{S}},$$

$$V_{\mathbf{S}^{2}} = \frac{2c_{F}^{2}(\mu)}{3} \sum_{i} \left(\mathcal{I}_{0}(B_{i}(t,r)B_{i}(0,0)) \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1}\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{2} \right), \dots$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_n(F_2(t,r_2)F_1(0,r_1)) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^T dt \ t^n \ \langle \langle g^2 F_2(t,r_2)F_1(0,r_1) \rangle \rangle_{(c)}$$

 $r = (0, 0, r); c_F(\mu)$: Matching coefficient for *B*-insertions; n = 0, 1, 2.

Generalized Wilson loop $\langle F_2(t)F_1(0)\rangle_W$:



Conclusions

Results

Conclusions

Gradient Flow

- Lattice- $F_{\mu\nu}$ requires renormalization, e.g. clover definition $F_{\mu\nu} = (\Pi_{\mu\nu} \Pi^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu})/2$ via $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} = (\Pi_{\mu\nu} + \Pi^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu})/2$ (Huntley-Michael).
- Flow equation:

$$\begin{split} \dot{B}_{\mu} = & D_{\nu} G_{\mu\nu}, \qquad B_{\mu}|_{t=0} = A_{\mu}, \\ G_{\mu\nu} = & \partial_{\mu} B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, B_{\nu}], \qquad D_{\mu} = & \partial_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, \cdot] \end{split}$$

- Fields at flow time t_f > 0 are smooth and renormalized [Lüscher, 2010].
- Related flow radius is $r_f = \sqrt{8t_f}$.



Motivation

Results

Conclusions

Differences for gradient flow

- Insertions without gradient flow:
 - Small signal-to-noise ratio due to UV-fluctuations.
 - Renormalization is tricky, poor continuum convergence.
 - B-insertions log-divergent in μ .
- In gradient flow:
 - + UV-fluctuations effectively suppressed.
 - + Additional renormalization of insertions not necessary if flow radius $r_f/a > 1$.
 - + Divergencies regulated by t_f .
 - Unpredictable behaviour of large *r_f*, complicated to handle.



• Find the best compromise: Use flow times *large enough* to make precise measurements, while keeping *r_f small compared to separations*.



Closer look at the Correlator Spectrum

$$\langle \langle F_{2}(t)F_{1}(0)\rangle \rangle = \lim_{\Delta t \to \infty} \frac{\langle F_{2}(t)F_{1}(0)\rangle_{W}}{\langle 1\rangle_{W}} = \sum_{\Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon}} \langle \Sigma_{g}^{+} | \hat{F}_{1} | \Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon} \rangle \langle \Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon} | \hat{F}_{2} | \Sigma_{g}^{+} \rangle e^{-\Delta E_{\Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon}}t},$$

$$\text{with } \Delta E_{\Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon}} = E_{\Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon}} - E_{\Sigma_{g}^{+}}, \text{ where } \Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon} \text{ can be:}$$

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\eta}^{\epsilon}}{\frac{\Sigma_{g,u}^{+}} \langle \langle F_{2}(t)F_{1}(0) \rangle \rangle^{a}}{\langle \langle E_{z}(t)E_{z}(0) \rangle \rangle}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\frac{\Sigma_{g,u}^{+}} \langle \langle E_{z}(t)E_{z}(0) \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle B_{x,y}(t)E_{x,y}(0) \rangle \rangle,}$$

$$\langle \langle B_{x,y}(t)E_{y,x}(0) \rangle \rangle,$$

$$\langle \langle B_{x,y}(t)B_{x,y}(0) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\Delta t$$

$$F_{1}(0,0)$$

Results

 ${}^{a}r = (0, 0, r)$

 \Rightarrow Group correlators for combined fits - Integrate fits analytically.

r

Conclusions

Lattice ensembles

- Simulation: SU(3) heatbath with CL2QCD.
- Wilson flow with adaptive step size.
- Whole Wilson loop, including insertions (clover definition), flowed.
- APE-smearing for ground state enhancement used.
- Statistical errors propagated via pyerrors.

Table: Lattice ensembles used for the computation (black), or planned (grey) - Results will be shown for $\beta = 6.451$.

β	T/a	L/a	<i>a</i> [fm]	# confs.
6.091	36	18	0.08	20000
6.284	48	24	0.06	10000
6.451	60	30	0.048	2000
6.594	72	36	0.04	800
	96	48	0.03	_

Results

Static potential



Figure: Consistency check: Static potential for a = 0.048 fm, normalized with $V_0(r/a = 5)$, at different flow times.

Noise reduction



Figure: Mean values and errors for different $\langle\langle F_2(t)F_1(0)\rangle\rangle$ as function of t_f/a^2 for a = 0.048 fm, r/a = 8 and various t/a.

Motivation

Spin-Potentials and $V_{p^2}^{(1,1)}$

Preliminary

- a = 0.048 fm, $t_f/a^2 = 0.778$.
- Fit ansätze from models, to be replaced with matching to pNRQCD (continuum limit).
- $c_2 \approx c_3 \approx c_4 \approx c =$ 0.3002(33) and $\sigma_1 \approx \sigma = 0.012227(86)$ (Cornell parameters), good agreement with models.
- $\sigma_2 \neq 0$ surprising, possibly overestimation of integrals at large *r*.







Conclusions

Summary

- $\mathcal{O}(1/m^2)$ -corrections to the static potential have been computed at several lattice spacings and finite t_f for distances up to ~ 0.5 fm.
- Significant reduction of noise of correlators.
- Potential results are *very close* to expectations, possibly due to renormalization.
- Difficult to get integral values from fitting.

Outlook

- Try out alternative to fitting, e.g. determine hybrid energies and directly compute respective amplitudes.
- Find continuum and zero-flow-time limit.
- $\bullet\,$ Access smaller min. separations < 0.2 fm and match with perturbation theory.



Thank you for your attention!

Correlators Preliminary



Figure: Correlators where integral is weighted with t or t^2 for a = 0.048 fm and $t_f/a^2 = 0.778$. Grey lines indicate where separation surpasses $2r_f$.