

LATTICE 2023, FermiLab, Chicago, Aug. 1, 2023

Critical Point in heavy-quark region of QCD on fine lattices

Masakiyo Kitazawa (YITP, Kyoto) with R. Ashikawa, S. Ejiri, K. Kanaya

Ashikawa+, in preparation Wakabayashi+, PTEP 2022, 033B05 (2022) [2112.06340] Kiyohara+, Phys. Rev. D104, 114509 (2021) [2108.00118]

Critical Points



Ising Model



CP: Second-order transition point.
 Singularities in thermodynamic quantities.

 \square These CPs belong to the same universality class (Z_2).

Common critical exponents. Ex. $\ C \sim (T-T_c)^{-lpha}$

QCD Phase Diagram



QCD Phase Diagram



QCD Phase Diagram





Critical points on the Columbia plot

Iocation? (existence?)
 universality class?

Binder Cumulant B₄



 $\square \langle M^4 \rangle_{c,h=0}$ changes discontinuously at the CP.

Finite-Volume Effects



Discontinuity of B₄ at the CP is smeared on finite V.
 B₄ obtained at various V have crossing at t = 0.

Finite-Volume Effects



Discontinuity of B₄ at the CP is smeared on finite V.
 B₄ obtained at various V have crossing at t = 0.

Lattice Studies of Binder-Cumulant

Light-quark region

Kuramashi, Nakamura, Ohno, Takeda, '20



Heavy-quark region

Cuteri, Philipsen, Schön, Sciarra, '21



Statistically-significant deviation of the crossing point from the 3d-Ising value.
 V may not be large enough?



Our Strategy

Kiyohara+, PRD104 (2021); Ashikawa+, in prep.

Numerical simulations on large spatial volume up to $LT = N_x/N_t = 15$

To realize it: CP in the heavy-quark region Simulations on coarse lattices ($N_t = 1/aT = 4 \rightarrow 6, 8$) Hopping parameter ($\kappa \sim 1/m_q$) expansion (HPE)

$$\ln \det M(\kappa) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}[B^n] \kappa^n$$

tr[B_n] is given by the closed trajectories of length n.



Hopping Parameter Expansion

Wilson fermion

$$S_{q} = \sum_{x,y} \bar{\psi}_{x} M_{xy} \psi_{y} \qquad \kappa \sim \frac{1}{2m_{q}a}$$

$$M_{xy} = \delta_{xy} - \kappa B_{xy} \qquad B_{xy} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \left[(1 - \gamma_{\mu}) U_{x,\mu} \delta_{y,x+\hat{\mu}} + (1 + \gamma_{\mu}) U_{y,\mu}^{\dagger} \delta_{y,x-\hat{\mu}} \right]$$

$$= \text{ponzero only for neighboring } (x, y)$$



LO Included in action

of Monte-Carlo

Included by reweighting method

NLO

 $S_{\rm LO} \sim \square + \square$

 $S_{\rm NLO} \sim \square + \square + \square + \square + \square$

Kiyohara+ ('21)

effective incorporation Wakabayashi+ ('22)

NNLO+

HPE: LO & NLO

□ Monte Carlo Simulation @ LO

 heat bath & over relaxation with modified staple
 Numerical cost is almost the same as the pure YM!



D NLO by Reweighting

 $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\rm NLO} = \frac{\langle \hat{O} e^{-S_{\rm NLO}} \rangle_{\rm LO}}{\langle e^{-S_{\rm NLO}} \rangle_{\rm LO}}$

 Overlapping problem is well suppressed due to the LO confs.
 Realize high statistical analysis

$$\lambda = 64 N_c N_f \kappa^4$$



Polyakov-Types: Yet Higher Order



L_n: Winding loops of length *n*



Wakabayashi+ ('22)

Strong correlations of L_n between different n $L_n \simeq c_n {\rm Re} \Omega$

Effects of winding terms: shift of HP: $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_{eff}$

 $\sum L_n \kappa_{\rm eff}^n = {\rm Re} \Omega \kappa_{\rm LO}^{N_t}$

Numerical Simulations

 Coarse lattice: $N_t = 4, 6, 8$ But large spatial volume: $LT = N_s / N_t \le 15$ High statistics (~10⁶ measurements) $N_t = 4$ Kiyohara+, PRD104 ('21) $N_t = 6, 8$ Ashikawa+, in prep.

Hopping-param. (~1/m_q) expansion
 Monte-Calro with LO action
 4~6 simulation points for reweighting
 Lattice size:

 $N_t = 4$ $LT = N_x/N_t = 6,8,9,10,12$ $N_t = 6$ $LT = N_x/N_t = 6,7,8,9,10,12,15$ $N_t = 8$ $LT = N_x/N_t = 6,8,10,12$ (in prog.)

Binder-Cumulant (a) $N_t = 4$



Fitting function $B_4(\lambda, LT) = b_4 + c(\lambda - \lambda_c)(LT)^{1/\nu}$ params: b_4 , c, λ_c , ν

Binder-Cumulant (a) $N_t = 4$



 $LT \ge 9 \quad B_4 = 1.630(24)(2), \ \nu = 0.614(48)(3)$ $LT \ge 8 \quad B_4 = 1.643(15)(2), \ \nu = 0.614(29)(3)$ $Z_2 \qquad B_4 = 1.604 \qquad \nu = 0.630$

 $\square B_4 \text{ and } \nu \text{ are consistent with } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ universality class} \\ \text{only when } LT \ge 9 \text{ data are used for the analysis.} \\ \blacksquare$

Other Scaling Analyses (a) $N_t = 4$ Kiyohara+ ('21)

Effective Potential Gap of Peaks (~*M*) $\lambda = \lambda_{\rm c}$ 0.30 0.7 0.6 $\Delta\Omega(LT_{ m c})^{3-y_t}$ 0.25 0.5 LT = 12LT = 120.20 LT = 10LT = 100.2 LT = 9LT = 90.1 LT = 8LT = 80.15 0.0 LT = 6LT = 6-0.15 -0.10 -0.05 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 -0.10-0.050.00 $(\Omega_{\mathrm{R}} - \langle \Omega_{\mathrm{R}} \rangle)/(LT)^{y_t - 3}$ $(\lambda - \lambda_{\rm c})(LT)^{1/\nu}$

Z2 scaling has been confirmed with high precision!
 Violation at small V comes from the tail of distribution.

0.05

NEW Binder Cumulant (a) $N_t = 6$ $N_t = 6$

Ashikawa+, in prep.







 $u = \frac{1}{N_t T}$

• For $N_t = 6$, deviation from the finite-size scaling is more significant with the same V.

Binder Cumulant (a) $N_t = 6$ $N_t = 6$



Fit result
 $(N_t = 15, 12, 10)$ Z_2 $b_4 = 1.630(9)$ 1.604 $\nu = 0.624(19)$ 0.630 $\lambda_c^{\text{NLO}} = 0.000818(10)$ Disagreement with $b_4^{Z_2}$?

 $\begin{array}{l} \square \mbox{ Critical HP} \\ \lambda_c^{\rm NLO} = 0.000818(10) \\ \kappa_c^{\rm NLO} = 0.09003(19) \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \lambda_c^{\rm 22th} = 0.000704(8) \\ \kappa_c^{\rm 22th} = 0.08781(17) \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{ cf} \\ \kappa_c \end{array} \end{array}$

cf) Cuteri+ ('21) $\kappa_c = 0.0877(9)$

Violation of FSS & Remnant of Z(3) Probability Distribution of Polyakov loop

20.0 30 Real Part of Ω 17.5 25 15.0 20 $ho(\Omega_R)$ 15 7.5 10 5.0 LTLT10 = 6_ 5 2.5 0 0.0 -0.020.00 0.02 0.04 0.06 -0.0 0.00 0.02 0.04 0.06 Ω_R Ω_R Complex Plane 0.02 0.04 0.01 -0.02 -0.00 0.00 --0.02 -0.01 --0.04 0.03 0.04 -0.01 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.05 -0.02

 \square Remnant of Z_3 is an origin of the violation of FSS

0.00

0.02

QRO

0.04

0.06

Extracting Magnetic-Like Obs.

 $egin{pmatrix} ilde{E} \ ilde{B} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & s \ r & 1 \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} E \ M \end{pmatrix}$

 $\square \Omega \neq \text{magnetic observable in Ising model.}$ $\square \text{Mixing of energy-like observable}$

■ We construct new order paramters from $\langle \tilde{B} \rangle$ ■ direction of 1st order transition ■ diagonality b/w *E* and *M* Karsch, Stickan ('oo)



B4 of Magnetic-Like Obs.

B4: Polyakov loop

B4: magnetic \widetilde{M}



■ Newly generated order parameter \widetilde{M} gives the result consistent with the Z₂ FSS.

Binder Cumulant (a) $N_t = 8$ WHOT, in progress





Similar result as $N_t = 6$.

Summary

- We investigated the critical point in heavy-quark QCD by the Binder cumulant analysis.
- Our Monte-Carlo analysis based on the HPE works quite effectively in the heavy-quark region.
- At $N_t = 6, 8$, the violation of FSS at finite V is more prominent than $N_t = 4$. In particular, $LT = N_x/N_t = 6$ would be too small to adopt the FSS analysis.

D Future:

- \Box yet larger N_t
- mixing of energy-like observable,
- **finite density** (Ejiri, Mon.)
- etc.



Finite-Size Scaling

Infinite vol.: $F(t,h) = F(b^{y_t}t, b^{y_h}h)$ Finite vol.: $\tilde{F}(t,h,L^{-1}) = \tilde{F}(b^{y_t}t, b^{y_h}h, bL^{-1})$ $= \tilde{F}(L^{y_t}t, L^{y_h}h, 1)$ b = L $\langle M^n \rangle_c = \frac{\partial^n F}{\partial h^n}$ $B_4(t,0,L^{-1}) = b_4 + ctL^{y_t} + \cdots$

smaller V

 $b_4 = 1.604, \quad \nu = 1/y_t = 0.630$

Convergence of HPE

Wakabayashi+ ('22)

HPE of free lattice field (U=1) Wilson-loop-type



Polyakov-loop-type



 $N_t = 4 \ \kappa_c = 0.0602(4)$ Kiyohara+,'21 $N_t = 6 \ \kappa_c = 0.0877(9)$ Cuteri+, '21 NNLO and higher Wakabayashi+ ('22)

Transition Line



Definitions of transition line \square Maximum of $\langle \Omega_R^2 \rangle$ \square Zero of $\langle \Omega_R^2 \rangle$ \square Minimum of B_4

