The 40th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory Axial U(1) symmetry near the pseudocritical temperature in N_f=2+1 lattice QCD with chiral fermions

Kei Suzuki(JAEA) -> Hidenori Fukaya (Osaka U.)

JLQCD Collaboration:

Sinya Aoki (Kyoto U. YITP), Yasumichi Aoki (RIKEN R-CCS), Hidenori Fukaya (Osaka U.), Shoji Hashimoto (KEK/SOKENDAI), Issaku Kanamori (RIKEN R-CCS), Takashi Kaneko (KEK/SOKENDAI/Nagoya), Yoshifumi Nakamura (RIKEN R-CCS), Christian Rohrhofer (Osaka U.), Kei Suzuki (JAEA), David Ward (Osaka U.)

Does the U(1)_A anomaly disappear/survive above T_c ?

• Above T_c , chiral symmetry breaking via $\langle \overline{q}q \rangle$ disappears \Rightarrow How about $U(1)_A$ symmetry breaking?



JLQCD's finite T project

	Quark action	Setup	Remark on U(1) _A anomaly
G. Cossu et al. PRD 87 ,114514 (2013)	Overlap fermion(OV)	Nf=2 a=0.1 fm	Topology is fixed.
A. Tomiya et al. PRD 96 , 034509 (2017)	Mobius domain- wall DW(MDW) and (reweighted) OV	Nf=2, 1/a=1.7GeV (a=0.11fm)	MDW and OV are inconsistent due to lattice artifact
S. Aoki et al. PRD 103 , 074506 (2021) PTEP, 023B05 (2022)	MDW <u>and</u> (reweighted) OV	Nf=2, 1/a=2.6GeV (a=0.076fm) <u>(Finer lattice)</u>	MDW and OV are consistent (except for m~0).
<u>JLQCD 2020-</u> present	MDW <u>and</u> (reweighted) OV	<u>Nf=2+1,</u> 1/a=2.453GeV (a=0.08fm)	

Summary of N_f=2 results

(by JLQCD publications in 2012-2021)

- At a~0.1fm, the results for axial U(1) susceptibility with Mobius domain-wall fermion and overlap fermion are inconsistent: precise chiral sym. is crucial.
- At T>=T_c, U(1)_A and topological susceptibilities are strongly suppressed near the physical quark mass [PRD103 074506 (2021)]
- At 220<T<500MeV, SU(2)_{cs} (and SU(4)) symmetry emerges. [Glozman 2015, Glozman and Pak 2015, 2017, Rohrhofer et al2017, 2019, 2020]
 ⇒Related talk in Nf=2+1 by D. Ward [Mon.]
- Signal of Chiral susceptibility is dominated by U(1)_A anomaly [PTEP 023B05 (2022)] ⇒<u>Related talk by H. Fukaya [Tue.]</u>

This talk: Preliminary N_f=2+1 results <u>near Tc</u>

Lattice setup

Nf=2+1 Möbius-DW / (reweighted) overlap fermions + Symanzik gauge action

- 1/a=2.453GeV (a~0.08fm)
- L=32 (2.58fm), 40 (3.22fm), 48 (3.86fm)
- T=204MeV (1.3Tc), 175MeV (1.1Tc), <u>153MeV (~Tc), 136MeV (0.9Tc)</u>
- m_q=<u>2.5MeV</u> (mass reweighting from 5 MeV),
 <u>5MeV</u> (phys. pt. sim.), 9, 17, 29MeV
- m_s=100MeV (phys. pt.)

Simulation codes : Irolro++ (<u>https://github.com/coppolachan/Irolro</u>) Grid (<u>https://github.com/paboyle/Grid</u>) Bridge++(<u>https://bridge.kek.jp/Lattice-code/</u>)

Acknowledgements

- Fugaku (hp200130, hp210165, hp210231, hp220279)
- Oakforest-PACS [JCAHPC]
 - HPCI projects : hp170061, hp180061, hp190090, hp200086, hp210104,



- MCRP in CCS, U. Tsukuba : xg17i032 and xg18i023
- Wisteria/BDEC-01 [HPCI: hp220093, MCRP: wo22i038]
- Polarie/Grand Chariot (hp200130)
- Flow at Nagoya U.
- SQUID at Osaka U.
- Program for Promoting Researches on the Supercomputer Fugaku, Simulation for basic science: from fundamental laws of particles to creation of nuclei Joint
- Institute for Computational Fundamental Science (JICFuS)

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. N_f =2+1 preliminary results at T=1.3T_c 0.9T_c
 - 2-1: Dirac spectrum
 - 2-2: U(1)_A susceptibility
 - 2-3: Topological susceptibility
- 3. Summary

Dirac spectrum and QCD physics at different scales



Dirac spectrum at T = 204MeV (1.3Tc)



Dirac spectrum at T = 175MeV (1.1Tc)



Dirac spectrum at T = 153MeV (~Tc)



Dirac spectrum <u>at T = 136MeV (0.9Tc)</u>



Lowest bin of Dirac spectrum



U(1)_A susceptibility

$$\Delta_{\pi-\delta} = \int_0^\infty d^4x \left[\pi^a(x)\pi^a(x) - \delta^a(x)\delta^a(x)\right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda \,\rho(\lambda) \, \frac{2m^2}{(\lambda^2 + m^2)^2} \quad \frac{\text{Low mode contribution is}}{(\lambda^2 + m^2)^2}$$

Cf.) Banks-Casher relation: $\langle \overline{q}q \rangle = \lim_{m \to 0} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \,\rho(\lambda) \,\frac{2m}{\lambda^2 + m^2}$

Sensitive to violation of Ginsparg-Wilson relation of the lowmodes. Gives a dominant contribution to connected chiral susceptibility (Fukaya, Tuesday)

U(1)_A susceptibility





Topological susceptibility



m[MeV]

JLQCD, preliminary (2023)

Topological susceptibility divided by m^2



This gives a dominant contribution to disconnected chiral susceptibility (Fukaya, Tuesday)

Volume dependence and OV vs. DW



N_f=2+1 2.5×10^7 OV index. T=136MeV ⊷ DW index, *T*=136MeV ► Δ- • 2x10⁷ OV index. *T*=136MeV L=40 ⊷ χ_t/m² [MeV²] 1.5×10^{7} DW index. 7=136MeV L=40 - 4-1 1x10⁷ 5x10⁶ 0 -5x10⁶ 5 15 20 25 10 30 0 m [MeV]

At T=153MeV (~Tc) 2 Volumes (L=32,40) are consistent . DW and OV differ at lightest two quark masses.

At T=136MeV (~0.9Tc) 2 Volumes (L=32,40) show difference. DW and OV differ at lightest two quark masses.

Summary

 We simulate N_f=2+1 QCD at high temperatures with chiral fermions at physical quark mass point.

	0.9T _c	Near T _c
ρ(0) or SU(2)xSU(2) breaking	Nonzero	suppressed in the chiral limit
U(1) _A susceptibility	Nonzero	suppressed in the chiral limit
Top. susceptibility/m ²	Nonzero	suppressed in the chiral limit

• $SU(2)_L xSU(2)_R$ and $U(1)_A$ are correlated very much.

Backup