## Bayesian Interpretation of Backus-Gilbert methods

#### Alessandro Lupo

# Based on work with L. Del Debbio, M. Panero, N. Tantalo arXiv:2308.xxxx







#### LATTICE 2023, Fermilab

#### Inverse problem: generalities

Computing the spectral density ρ(E) associated to a lattice correlator C(t)

• Ill-posed in presence of a finite set of noisy data.

 Regularisations are available: Backus-Gilbert & Bayesian methods have different philosophies but share similarities

 $\rho(E) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} \sum_{t} g_t(\sigma; E) C(t)$ 



#### Wish list

• To obtain a function that is smooth even at finite volume:

$$\rho_{\sigma}(\omega) = \int dE \, S_{\sigma}(E, \omega) \, \rho(E)$$

- For some applications, a fixed smearing kernel across lattice spacings, volumes, ... to control systematics of fits & extrapolations
- Understand dependence of the result on algorithmic inputs, parameters, priors ...
- Remark: linear combination of *C*(*t*) is always smeared

$$p_{\sigma}(E) = \sum_{t} g_{t}(\sigma; E) C(t)$$
$$= \sum_{t} g_{t}(\sigma; E) \int dE e^{-tE} \rho(E) dE e^{-tE} P(E)$$



## **Bayesian Inference with Gaussian Processes**

- Aim for a probability distribution over a functional space of possible spectral densities
- Consider the stochastic field *R*(*E*) Gaussian-distributed around the prior value ρ<sup>prior</sup>(*E*) with covariance *K*<sup>prior</sup>(*E*, *E'*).

 $\mathcal{GP}\left(\rho^{\mathrm{prior}}(E),\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{prior}}(E,E')\right)$ 

• Similarly, assume that observational noise is Gaussian:  $\eta(t)$ 

$$\mathbb{G}(\eta, \operatorname{Cov}_d) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\eta}^T \operatorname{Cov}_d^{-1} \vec{\eta}\right)$$

 The stochastic variable associated to the correlator, C, is related to R and η via

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \int dE \, e^{-tE} \mathcal{R}(E) + \eta(t)$$

Valentine, Sambridge 19 Horak, Pawlowski, Rodríguez-Quintero, Turnwald, Urban 21 Del Debbio, Giani, Wilson 21



#### Alessandro Lupo (University of Edinburgh)

#### Bayesian interpretation of BG methods 3 / 10

## **Bayesian Inference with Gaussian Processes**

• The joint, posterior distribution for  $\rho^{\rm post}$  at some energy has centre and variance (set  $\rho^{\rm prior}=0$ )

$$\begin{split} \rho^{\text{post}}(\omega) &= \sum_{t=1}^{t_{\text{max}}} g_t^{\text{GP}}(\omega) \, \mathcal{C}(t) \\ \mathcal{K}^{\text{post}}(\omega, \omega) &= \left( \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(\omega, \omega) - \sum_{l=1}^{t_{\text{max}}} g_l^{\text{GP}}(\omega) \, F_l(\omega) \right) \end{split}$$

o The coefficients are

$$g_{t}^{ ext{GP}}(\omega) = \sum_{r=1}^{t_{ ext{max}}} \left(rac{1}{\Sigma + ext{Cov}_{d}}
ight)_{tr} F_{r}(\omega)$$

Ingredients:

$$\Sigma_{tr} = \int dE_1 \int dE_2 \, e^{-tE_1} \, \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(E_1, E_2) \, e^{-tE_2} \quad \text{ill cond}$$

$$F_t(\omega) = \int dE \ \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{prior}}(\omega, E) \ e^{-t}$$

Alessandro Lupo (University of Edinburgh)



Bayesian interpretation of BG methods 4 / 10

#### Bayesian Inference with Gaussian Processes

o Let the model prior for the spectral density have covariance

$$\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(E,E') = rac{e^{-(E-E')^2/2\sigma^2}}{\lambda} \;, \qquad 
ho^{\mathrm{prior}} = 0$$

o The model covariance acts as a smearing kernel,

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{GP}}_{\sigma}(E,\omega) = \sum_{t=1}^{t_{\mathrm{max}}} g^{\mathrm{GP}}_t(\sigma;\omega) e^{-tE}$$

• If we are interested in removing the smearing, there should be a limit in which S approaches a  $\delta$ -function

$$\rho_{\sigma}(\omega) = \int dE \, S^{\mathrm{GP}}_{\sigma}(E, \omega) \, \rho(E)$$



#### Prior dependence

Allow the model prior to vary

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{prior}}_{\sigma}(E,E') = rac{e^{-(E-E')^2/2\sigma^2}}{\lambda} \; e^{lpha E} \; ,$$

- By changing  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  we can explore the dependence on the posterior from the prior
- There is a region in which the dependence on the prior is absorbed in the statistical error
- Choice of the parameters: minimise Negative Log Likelihood

– log P(data|parameters)



#### Alessandro Lupo (University of Edinburgh)

### **Backus Gilbert**

- (Hansen Lupo Tantalo 19) Target a spectral density smeared with a chosen kernel
- Recipe for  $g_t$ : make them such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} g_t(\omega) e^{-tE} = S_{\sigma}(E - \omega) \implies \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} g_t(\omega) c(t) = \rho_{\sigma}(\omega)$$

• How? By minimising

$$(1 - \lambda) \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} dE \ e^{\alpha E} \ \left| \sum_{l=1}^{l_{\max}} g_{l} e^{-lE} - S_{\sigma}(\omega, E) \right|^{2}}_{\text{Provide solution}} + \lambda \ \underbrace{\vec{g} \cdot \operatorname{Cov}_{d} \cdot \vec{g}}_{\text{Regularises}}$$

•  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha < 2$  are algorithmical input parameters.



### **Backus Gilbert**

Our prescription leads to

$$g^{\mathrm{BG}}(\sigma;\omega) = \left(\frac{1}{\Sigma^0 + \lambda' \operatorname{Cov}_d}\right)_{tr} F_r(\sigma;\omega) , \quad \lambda' = \lambda/(1-\lambda)$$

• The ingredients are

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{tr}^{0} &= \int dE \, e^{-tE} e^{-rE} e^{\alpha E} , \quad \text{ill-cond} \\ F_{t}(\sigma;\omega) &= \int dE \, e^{-tE} \, e^{\alpha E} \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}(E,\omega) \end{split}$$

• When  $\sigma \to 0, g^{
m GP} \to g^{
m BG}$  but for  $\sigma 
eq 0$  they differ



### Backus-Gilbert as a Gaussian Process

- Compute the posterior probability distribution for a spectral density smeared with a fixed kernel  $G_{\sigma}(E, E') = \exp^{-(E-E')^2/2\sigma^2}$
- Let the model prior for the spectral density have diagonal covariance

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}') = rac{\delta(\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}')}{\lambda} e^{lpha \mathcal{E}}$$

• By computing the posterior probability, one gets coefficients  $g^{
m GPsmr}$ 

 $g^{\mathrm{GPsmr}}(\sigma;\omega) = g^{\mathrm{BG}}(\sigma;\omega)$  even at finite  $\sigma$ 

The only difference is in the error (bootstrap for Backus-Gilbert methods)

$$\Gamma^{\rm GPsmr}(\sigma;\omega)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int dE \left( \sum_t g_t^{\rm BG}(\sigma,\omega) e^{-tE} - G_{\sigma}(E,\omega) \right) \ G_{\sigma}(E,\omega)$$



## Conclusions

- Same regularisation of the problem via Cov<sub>d</sub>
- Algorithmic parameters of BG can be understood as Bayesian priors, and vice versa
- There is a region in which the result does not depend on the inputs / priors within statistical error. In the same region, the NLL finds its minimum.
- $\circ~$  The statistical error of BG is of the same order of magnitude of the Bayesian error  $\Gamma^{\rm GPsmr}$



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 813942.



#### Alessandro Lupo (University of Edinburgh)

#### Bayesian interpretation of BG methods 10 / 10