



#### **Workshop Close-out**

Minerba Betancourt, Steven Gardiner, Joshua Isaacson Kevin McFarland, Laura Fields, Yoshinari Hayato 17 March 2023

#### Fermilab is hiring!

- Recently-formed Event Generators Group at Fermilab
  - Physics Simulation Department; Data Science, Simulation, and Learning Division;
    Computational Science and Artificial Intelligence Directorate
- We have an opening for a postdoctoral researcher to work on generator development and physics analysis in MicroBooNE and/or SBND
  - Opportunity to play a leading role in cross-section modeling for SBN
- Details here: <a href="https://inspirehep.net/jobs/2632750">https://inspirehep.net/jobs/2632750</a>
- C++ coding skills essential. Prior generator experience helpful but not required.
- Please share the link with possible candidates. Steven G is happy to follow up via email with anyone interested (gardiner@fnal.gov)



# Focus areas from this workshop

- Theory interface (Wednesday afternoon)
- Common flux/geometry drivers (Thursday morning)
- Common event format (Thursday morning)
- Systematic uncertainties (Thursday afternoon)
- Data comparison tools (Friday)

Full agenda on Indico: <a href="https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57388/">https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57388/</a>



# **Theory interface**

- Supporting a wide-ranging BSM program depends on this technical work
  - Key strength of Achilles effort
  - Standard nuclear physics (with tuning) needs to be consistent in BSM signal and SM background
- New efforts overcome some limitations of previous table-based approaches
  - Expose underlying theory for systematics evaluation, etc.
  - Precomputation (GENIE splines, etc.) can still play an important role
- "Injecting" pre-made events useful for experiments and theory studies
  - Desirable to allow participation without requiring full generator
- Fine details (e.g., simulating beam timing properly) can be important!



# Flux/geometry drivers

Toy project from Josh is establishing a foundation for a general-purpose tool

More effort will be needed in order to make this happen

- Recruitment for this may work differently than for, e.g., a common event format
  - Experiments may potentially opt for cheaper workarounds (Leo's talk for SBND)

 Questions about how best to make fluxes more friendly amongst generators and theorists



#### **Common event format**

- Benefits are cross-cutting for all other topics considered in this workshop
- HepMC3 provides flexibility and well-supported tools for us to build upon
- The proposed NuHepMC standard gives some guidance for representing neutrino scattering physics within HepMC3 storage
- Further community feedback and generator buy-in will be needed
- Adoption and long-term support will be enabled by large experiments asking for this change



# **Systematic uncertainties**

- Theory guidance here is critical just as it is for the central-value prediction
  - What are the degrees of freedom that we should consider?
  - What is the size of the uncertainties?
- Experimental tuning is a fact of life, but it should be pursued carefully
  - Tuning and systematics appropriate for an oscillation analysis may be inadequate for other applications
- Data-driven constraints (near detector fits, sidebands, etc.) change which residual uncertainties are most important
  - Guidance here for theory efforts is valuable but takes work
- A trend towards greater transparency in reporting experimental strategies should continue



# **Data comparison tools**

- Experimental data sets relevant for us are growing in quantity and quality
  - Not just neutrinos, but electrons (e.g., e4v) and hadrons (e.g., ProtoDUNE)
- Establishing best practices and centralized storage (HepData?) for data releases will help to make these data maximally impactful
  - Optimization between correctness/completeness of results and ease of use is not a trivial problem (e.g., unfolding vs. ReMU-style comparisons)
- Difficulties in securing support for community tools are not unique to our subfield



### White Paper Plans (1)

#### Goals:

- Similar scope to white paper from 2020 workshop
- List a set of action items to accomplish in a one to two year time span (aim to meet again to evaluate status as well)
- Hope to maintain working groups after the workshop to work on action items
- Notes from the workshop can be found at:
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wFUCu4o1pkBsvsUW6iQRIQ81rbEjM7q o3Xm6yMnwg64/edit?usp=sharing
  - Is there interest in setting up a slack channel for future discussions and planning?



# White Paper Plans (2)

- Create working groups to focus on each topic
- Looking for volunteers to lead each topic:
  - Theory interface
  - Common flux/geometry drivers
  - Common event format
  - Systematic uncertainties
  - Data comparison tools
- Responsibilities would include:
  - Leading the writing of the given section of the white paper
  - Organizing meetings with other interested parties on establishing plans for future development

