

# FD1 PDS FDR Status Update

Dave Warner, Gustavo do Amaral Valdivieso

January 17, 2023

# FD1 PDS FDR Planning

<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57823/>

## DUNE FDR: FD1 Photon Detection

Feb 14 – 15, 2023

Zoom

America/Chicago timezone

Enter your search term



Overview

Timetable

Contribution List

Participant List

Support

✉ maxine@fnal.gov

Final Design Review for the DUNE FD1 Photon Detection System.

### Review Links

[Review Home](#)

[Charge Letter](#)

[Documents](#)



**Starts** Feb 14, 2023, 9:00 AM

**Ends** Feb 15, 2023, 1:00 PM

America/Chicago



**Zoom**

Join Zoom Meeting

Meeting ID: 630 840 8610

# Review Committee:

## Reviewers

Ed Blucher, Carl Grace, Josh Klein (Chair), Giovanna Lehmann, Jose Maneira, and Andrew Mastbaum.

## Ex-officio

Mike Andrews, Linda Bagby, Olga Beltramello, Mary Bishai, Kevin Fahey, Jack Fowler, Eric James, Jolie Macier, Jim Mateyack, Bill Miller, Marzio Nessi, Duane Newhart, Terri Shaw, Jim Stewart, Robert Svoboda, Kyle Zeug

# Agenda:

## Timetable

< Tue 14/02 Wed 15/02 All days >

Print PDF Full screen Detailed view Filter

|       |  |  |
|-------|--|--|
| 09:00 | <b>Committee Executive Session</b><br><i>Zoom</i>            | 09:00 - 09:15                                      |
|       | <b>Photon Detection System (PDS) Overview</b><br><i>Zoom</i> | <i>Ettore Segreto</i><br>09:15 - 09:45             |
|       | <b>PDS Mechanical Design</b><br><i>Zoom</i>                  | <i>David Warner</i><br>09:45 - 10:15               |
| 10:00 | <b>PDS Electrical Design</b><br><i>Zoom</i>                  | <i>Francesco Terranova et al.</i><br>10:15 - 10:45 |
|       | <b>PDS Requirements</b><br><i>Zoom</i>                       | <i>Laura Paulucci et al.</i><br>10:45 - 11:15      |
| 11:00 | <b>PDS QA/QC</b><br><i>Zoom</i>                              | <i>Gustavo Valdivieso et al.</i><br>11:15 - 11:45  |
|       | <b>Discussion</b><br><i>Zoom</i>                             | 11:45 - 12:00                                      |
| 12:00 | <b>Committee Executive Session</b><br><i>Zoom</i>            | 12:00 - 13:00                                      |

< Tue 14/02 Wed 15/02 All days >

Print PDF Full screen Detailed view Filter

|       |   |               |
|-------|---|---------------|
| 09:00 | <b>Question and Answers</b><br><i>Zoom</i>        | 09:00 - 11:00 |
| 10:00 |   |               |
| 11:00 | <b>Committee Executive Session</b><br><i>Zoom</i> | 11:00 - 12:00 |
| 12:00 |   |               |

# DUNE Final Design Review Charge

## FD1 Photon Detection System

31 January 2023

The committee is requested to review the final design of the DUNE FD1 Photon Detection System (PDS). The review scope includes all mechanical and electrical aspects of the PDS design. A summary of the documents released for this review can be found in EDMS-XXXXXX. For reference, the final committee report from the PDS Preliminary Design Review can be accessed at [EDMS-2396666](#).

The committee should assess if the design meets specified requirements for final design (90%) outlined in the LBNF/DUNE Review Plan ([EDMS-2173197](#)) as supported by the DUNE Far Detector FDR deliverables defined in [EDMS-2413117](#).

The committee should consider:

1. How design choices satisfy the requirements.
2. The completeness of the documentation of mechanical specifications, including 3D model and the 2D drawings for standard and custom components as well as the Compliance Office evaluation focusing on both safety and the proper application of design codes and standards.
3. The completeness of the documentation of electrical specifications, including system schematics, drawings, connections, and grounding details.
4. Whether transportation and installation plans are mature enough to provide assurance that the PDS components, as currently designed, can be safely transported and installed within the detector.
5. Whether lessons learned from ProtoDUNE-SP and other prototypes have been appropriately incorporated within the current design and if the design has been validated through the integration, testing, and installation of Module 0 components for ProtoDUNE-II.
6. If draft documentation detailing plans for procurement, manufacturing, quality control, and part identifiers exists at a sufficient level of maturity for this stage of the design.
7. If project planning materials including interface documents, risk assessments, schedules, and cost estimates exist at a sufficient level of development for this stage of the design.
8. Whether recommendations from previous reviews have been appropriately addressed.

### Review Findings:


The committee should present its findings, comments and recommendations in a final report by March 3, 2022.

# Dates and Comments:

- 1/23/23 Drafts of all new documents
  - Already received from many people
  - Please try to have drafts ready by this Friday!
- 2/6/23 Post all documents for reviewers
- We are working to coordinate a structure in EDMS to direct the reviewers to the required documents
  - Please don't post documents to EDMS
    - Some already have as updated to existing documents-- Thank you, but PLEASE make sure you let Gustavo, and me know where and when you posted them.
    - We will establish a temporary drop box until the structure is ready
    - We will post the documents into the required location once it is ready.

# QC document Template

- Jim Mateyack of the QC/QA office has generated a template for all consortium QC planning
- We will need to integrate our plans into this format.

|  |                       | PLAN                   |                |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Title: DUNE FD1 Photon Detector System (PDS) Quality Control Plan                  |                       |                        |                |
| Author(s): James Mateyack  |                       | Approved: Kevin Fahey  | Page 1 of 10   |
| Document ID: EDMS XXXXXXXX   | Version: 0            | Version Date: 5Jan2023 |                |
| REVISION HISTORY   |                       |                        |                |
| Version  | Description of Change | Author                 | Effective Date |
| 0  | Initial release       | James Mateyack         | 5Jan2023       |

## Table of Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Purpose and Scope .....   | 3 |
| 1.1 Purpose .....  | 3 |
| 1.2 Scope .....  | 4 |
| 2. Representatives and Responsibilities .....  | 4 |
| 2.1 Representative 1 .....   | 4 |
| 2.2 Representative 2 .....   | 4 |
| 2.3 Representative 3 .....   | 4 |
| 3. Reference Documents .....   | 5 |
| 3.1 Dune-doc-120 – LBNF/DUNE Quality Assurance Plan.....   | 5 |
| 3.2 EDMS 2384692 – Photon Detection System (PDS) Preliminary Design Review QA/QC Plan.....             | 5 |
| 4. Document Control .....  | 5 |
| 4.1 Describe how you manage documentation .....  | 5 |
| 5. Components Requiring QC Inspection and Test .....   | 5 |
| 5.1 Materials certification of test stand and other facilities .....                                   | 5 |
| 5.1.1 .....  | 5 |
| 5.1.2 Location .....   | 5 |
| 5.1.3 Justification .....  | 5 |
| 5.1.4 Data to HWDB .....   | 5 |
| 5.2 Cryogenic testing .....  | 5 |
| 5.2.1 Critical materials and components were tested in both the cryogenic environment (LAr & LN2)..... | 5 |
| 5.2.2 Location .....   | 5 |
| 5.2.3 Justification .....  | 5 |
| 5.2.4 Data to HWDB .....   | 5 |
| 5.3 Testing of small-scale test assemblies.....  | 5 |
| 5.3.1 .....  | 5 |
| 5.3.2 Location .....   | 5 |
| 5.3.3 Justification .....  | 5 |
| 5.3.4 Data to HWDB .....   | 5 |
| 5.4 Mechanical interface testing.....  | 6 |

WARNING: This version of the document may not be the current or approved revision.  
The current revision is maintained in the Engineering Data Management System (EDMS).

# FD1 Design Report

- Bob Wilson is editing the required update of the TDR (Called the FD1 Design Report)
- He will be reaching out to many of you for information needed



# BACKUP- Document Requirement List

| Category         | Document                            | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review   | Required for Final Design Review   | Defined by         |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--------------------|
| Design Documents | TDR Chapter                         | DUNE EB       | Sub-system Design Report from TDR. Assumed to include some discussion of value engineering process.  | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.<br>Updated, stand-alone version of original TDR chapter that accurately describes  | Eric J.            |
| Design Documents | TDR Chapter                         | DUNE EB       | Sub-system Design Report from TDR. Assumed to include some discussion of value engineering process.  | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Eric J.            |
|                  | Design Updates                      | Consortium    | Written description of sub-system design changes made subsequent to the release of the TDR (TDR addendum).   | Updated, stand-alone version of original TDR chapter that accurately describes sub-system design at time of Final Design Review. The document should include information on any prototyping activities since the Preliminary Design Review that have impacted the Final Design.  | Eric J.            |
|                  | Grounding & Shielding Plan          | DUNE TB       | Short document describing plan for sub-system adherence with detector grounding & shielding requirements.  | Final document should include a sub-system grounding diagram with all components and cables identified, text which notes any sub-system grounding or isolation requirements, and EDMS references to documentation on all cables and custom or commercial equipment included in the grounding diagram. Physical implementation of grounding connections should also be described - this can be done through pictures, schematics, or mechanical drawings of the hardware connections. Plan should have sign-off from DUNE Grounding & Shielding Committee (contact Terri Shaw at tshaw@fnal.gov). An example of a grounding diagram (TPC electronics) can be found in EDMS 2364510. Detector system grounding rules for cables & shields can be found in EDMS 2095958. Infrastructure grounding rules are posted in EDMS 2095975. | Terri S.           |
|                  | Mechanical CAD Model for Sub-system | Consortium    | Updated CAD model for sub-system released in EDMS. As part of the process for releasing the sub-system model, it will be integrated and checked within global CAD model. | Final CAD model that serves as the basis for all production drawings. Although drawings include additional detail beyond that contained within the model, the drawings themselves should be being generated directly from this released model.   | Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |

| Category | Document                                     | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review  | Required for Final Design Review  | Defined by         |
|----------|--|---------------|---|---|--------------------|
|          | Mechanical Engineering Drawings              | Consortium    | Engineering drawings for all sub-system mechanical components. Drawings do not need to be production quality but should contain all critical dimensions and tolerances. Drawings should be obtained directly from released sub-system CAD model and be marked "Draft/Not for Production". Drawings should also indicate component fabrication materials and masses consistent with EDMS 2281422. Drawings of any specialized components necessary for transporting or installing detector components should also be provided. | Drawings should be of the quality to send to an outside vendor for production and marked "For Module Zero Production". Drawing details should include materials of construction, component masses, pointers to higher-level drawings, default and critical tolerances, part finishes, cleaning procedures, as well as weld parameters and certifications where applicable. All drawings need to be released and set up within a versioning framework providing proper engineering change notification documentation.  | Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|          | Mechanical Assembly Drawings and Parts Lists | Consortium    | Assembly drawings and parts lists for all sub-system detector components. Drawings do not need to be production quality but should contain the baseline design and section views. Drawings should be marked "Draft/Not for Production", contain assembly masses consistent with EDMS 2281422, and indicate the center-of-gravity of the assembly (CG marker) . Parts lists should contain full specifications for any custom components.  | Assembly drawings should be of the quality to send to an outside vendor for production and marked "For Module Zero Production". Drawing details should include references to applicable assembly procedures, bills of materials, list of assembly tools to be procured, CG markers, assembly masses (both wet and dry), indications of the proper orientations for asymmetric parts, and pointers to higher-level drawings. All drawings need to be released and set up within a versioning framework that provides proper engineering change notification documentation. | Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|          | Electrical Schematics & Board Layouts        | Consortium    | Schematics and board layouts for all sub-system electronics components. Along with the schematic and board layout files for each printed circuit board design, the additional board layout and manufacturing information typically sent to PCB manufactures (e.g. number and configuration of layers, required drill sizes and tolerances, hole plating requirements, and board trace widths and tolerances) should be provided.  | Production schematic and manufacture information for all boards should be posted and released. ECOs from the pre-production boards should be listed and explained. A performance document should be included which shows that relevant system requirements have been met. A plan for tracking any future hardware modifications should be in place. A plan for tracking the history of individual boards (e.g. location & repairs) should also be in place  | Terri S.           |

| Category | Document   | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review   | Required for Final Design Review  | Defined by |
|----------|--|---------------|--|---|------------|
|          | Specification of Electrical Cabling and Wiring Connections           | Consortium    | Specification of all electrical connections between sub-system components. Needs to include complete information on all cables and connectors including maximum voltage and current ratings. Wiring diagrams should be provided as necessary such that all system inter-connections are fully defined. | All cable specifications and drawings must be final and released in EDMS. Examples of cable specifications/drawings can be found in EDMS 2413129. Final wiring diagram must show connections between all subcomponents with connecting cables clearly identified. Analysis of all power paths must be included to demonstrate that connectors, wires, and boards can handle maximum possible currents and voltages or are protected/fused. Information provided must be sufficient for satisfying Operational Readiness Clearance (ORC) requirements, which as currently prescribed for FNAL are described in FESHM Chapter 2005 ( <a href="https://eshq.fnal.gov/manuals/feshm/">https://eshq.fnal.gov/manuals/feshm/</a> ). | Terri S.   |
|          | Bills of Materials for Electronic Board Components                   | Consortium    | Bills of materials including parts list with <b>full manufacturer part numbers</b> for each sub-system electronics component. <b>As appropriate, information regarding the cryogenic qualification of specific parts should also be provided.</b>  | Final BOMs should be released in EDMS. A plan should be in place to track any board ECOs.   | Terri S.   |
|          | Documentation Links for Commercial, Off-the-Shelf Powered Components | Consortium    | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.  | Links to full documentation must be provided for any powered equipment which is commercial off-the-shelf (examples include power supplies, crates, commercial modules, ...) This will be required for ORC.  | Terri S.   |

| Category               | Document                       | Controlled by      | Required for Preliminary Design Review   | Required for Final Design Review   | Defined by        |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| Requirements Documents | EB-Held Requirements           | DUNE EB            | High-level detector requirements with impact on physics performance.   | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Eric J.           |
|                        | TB-Held Requirements           | DUNE TB            | Next level detector requirements with potential impacts on multiple subsystems.  | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Eric J.           |
|                        | Consortium-held Requirements   | Consortium         | Spreadsheet with four tabs for Integration, Installation, Fabrication, and Transportation requirements. These requirements should be pulled from Interface documents, Far Detector Installation Plan, QA/QC Plan, and Manufacturing Plan as appropriate. | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Eric J.           |
| Installation Documents | Detector Installation Plan     | Integration Office | Chapters detailing sub-system installation plans should be complete and updated.   | The relevant chapters need to be finalized. Must include requirements on positional tolerances. Any ProtoDUNE-II and initial DUNE installation tests at Ash River should be completed and lessons-learned from these exercises incorporated within the installation plan. Once finalized, the document needs to be approved and signed-off on. | Jim S.<br>Bill M. |
|                        | ProtoDUNE-II Installation Plan | Integration Office | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.  | Plan describing how the module zero components will be installed in ProtoDUNE-II.  | Jim S.<br>Bill M. |

| Category            | Document                | Controlled by                | Required for Preliminary Design Review  | Required for Final Design Review   | Defined by                     |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Interface Documents | Consortium-Consortium   | DUNE TB                      | Released version of document detailing interfaces between detector sub-systems (APA, HV, SP-PD, SP-ELEC, DAQ, CALCI, COMP). Six in total for each consortium.   | Document should be updated to reflect any negotiated changes to interfaces that have occurred since Preliminary Design Review. All "To do" list items must be resolved and all referenced documents must be completed and available (released) in EDMS. Final document must also be released and have all required signatures (as prescribed through the project sign-off process) | Terri S.<br>Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|                     | Consortium-Installation | Integration Office           | Released version of document detailing detector sub-system interfaces with the detector installation plan.  | Document should be updated to reflect any negotiated changes to interfaces that have occurred since Preliminary Design Review. All "To do" list items must be resolved and all referenced documents must be completed and available (released) in EDMS. Final document must also be released and have all required signatures (as prescribed through the project sign-off process) | Terri S.<br>Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|                     | Consortium-DSS          | Integration Office           | Released version of document detailing detector sub-system interfaces with the Detector Support Structure (DSS)   | Document should be updated to reflect any negotiated changes to interfaces that have occurred since Preliminary Design Review. All "To do" list items must be resolved and all referenced documents must be completed and available (released) in EDMS. Final document must also be released and have all required signatures (as prescribed through the project sign-off process) | Terri S.<br>Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|                     | Consortium-Facilities   | Integration Office           | Released version of document detailing detector sub-system interfaces with facility infrastructure. Facility infrastructure includes cryostat penetrations, real estate on top of cryostat, racks on the detector and cryogenic mezzanines, as well as cryogenic systems and piping (both internal and external to the cryostat). | Document should be updated to reflect any negotiated changes to interfaces that have occurred since Preliminary Design Review. All "To do" list items must be resolved and all referenced documents must be completed and available (released) in EDMS. Final document must also be released and have all required signatures (as prescribed through the project sign-off process) | Terri S.<br>Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |
|                     | Interface Drawings      | DUNE TB & Integration Office | Required interface drawings (both mechanical and electrical) are specified within each interface document. Interface drawings once completed should be posted as an additional material within the EDMS entry of the corresponding interface document.  | Interface drawings are one type of referenced documents within the interface documents described above. As such, they must all be finalized and released in EDMS. All interface drawings referenced in the documents need to be available at the time of the Final Design Review.  | Terri S.<br>Jack F.<br>Kyle Z. |

| Category                       | Document                     | Controlled by                  | Required for Preliminary Design Review   | Required for Final Design Review  | Defined by             |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| Engineering Analysis Documents | Analysis Plan                | Consortium & Compliance Office | Documents the load cases that need to be analyzed for the sub-system and the standards that will be used assess the structural calculations. This document is jointly signed-off on by the consortium and compliance office prior to starting any structural analysis. | Analysis Plan should be updated to include details of the FEA model(s) and methods used for analyzing the different load cases as further clarification of the required deliverables. Final document needs to be jointly signed-off by the consortium and compliance office.  | Olga B.<br>Giuseppe G. |
|                                | Structural Analysis Note(s)  | Consortium                     | Engineering notes detailing the structural analyses performed for each of the sub-system load cases defined in the analysis plan and comparison against identified standards.  | Engineering note(s) need to be updated to address all recommendations received on the previous note version from the Compliance Office and through the Preliminary Design Review. Source models used in carrying out the analysis should be made available in EDMS along with the note. Updated note(s) need to be re-reviewed and approved by the Compliance Office. | Olga B.<br>Giuseppe G. |
|                                | Independent Review Report(s) | Compliance Office              | Output from independent review of structural analysis note(s) performed by the Compliance Office. Report(s) should include recommendations for required updates needed prior to Final Design Review.   | Final report indicating concurrence with actions taken based on all previous recommendations and overall Compliance Office approval must be in place at least one month prior to date of Final Design Review.   | Olga B.<br>Giuseppe G. |

| Category        | Document  | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review  | Required for Final Design Review   | Defined by         |
|-----------------|---|---------------|---|--|--------------------|
| QA/QC Documents | Preliminary QA/QC Plan  | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium QA/QC plan with emphasis on sub-system testing plans covering fabrication, transport, storage, and installation stages. An example QA/QC plan can be found in EDMS 2414898.  | Plan needs to be updated to provide further clarification of items that had not been fully developed or were unknown at the time of the Preliminary Design Review. Plan should include information about codes and standards that will be applied during the testing process (e.g. those used in determining the quality of welds) | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | ProtoDUNE Lessons-Learned   | Consortium    | Short document detailing sub-system issues uncovered during ProtoDUNE and the steps being taken to address these.   | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Preliminary Manufacturing and Procurement Plan  | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium plans for the procurement of needed materials, fabrication of detector components, and sub-system assembly. Example Procurement and Manufacturing plans can be found in EDMS 2414899 and EDMS 2414900, respectively.   | Plan needs to be updated to provide further clarification of items that had not been fully developed or were unknown at the time of the Preliminary Design Review. Plans for local storage of items prior to them being shipped to the near or far site should be described.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Plan for Prototyping Activities   | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium plans for prototyping activities moving forward from the Preliminary Design Review including any Ash River activities and ProtoDUNE-II. Description of sub-system specific Ash River activities should be consistent with that in document describing overall plan for Ash River activities (EDMS 2169069) | New document describing any planned prototyping activities subsequent to the Final Design Review. Include information on any planned testing at Ash River or ProtoDUNE-II that could potentially lead to design changes between Final Design Review and Production Readiness Review.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Fabrication, Inspection, and Test Procedures  | Consortium    | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.   | Written procedures for the detailed steps necessary to fabricate, inspect, and test each of the sub-system components and assemblies.  | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Fabrication, Inspection, and Test Forms (Travelers, Test Reports, and Inspection Reports) | Consortium    | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.   | Completed templates of all necessary forms for recording QC information during the fabrication, inspection, and testing of the sub-system components and assemblies.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |



| Category        | Document  | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review  | Required for Final Design Review   | Defined by         |
|-----------------|---|---------------|---|--|--------------------|
| QA/QC Documents | Preliminary QA/QC Plan  | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium QA/QC plan with emphasis on sub-system testing plans covering fabrication, transport, storage, and installation stages. An example QA/QC plan can be found in EDMS 2414898.  | Plan needs to be updated to provide further clarification of items that had not been fully developed or were unknown at the time of the Preliminary Design Review. Plan should include information about codes and standards that will be applied during the testing process (e.g. those used in determining the quality of welds) | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | ProtoDUNE Lessons-Learned   | Consortium    | Short document detailing sub-system issues uncovered during ProtoDUNE and the steps being taken to address these.   | No additional requirements for Final Design Review.  | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Preliminary Manufacturing and Procurement Plan  | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium plans for the procurement of needed materials, fabrication of detector components, and sub-system assembly. Example Procurement and Manufacturing plans can be found in EDMS 2414899 and EDMS 2414900, respectively.   | Plan needs to be updated to provide further clarification of items that had not been fully developed or were unknown at the time of the Preliminary Design Review. Plans for local storage of items prior to them being shipped to the near or far site should be described.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Plan for Prototyping Activities   | Consortium    | Short document describing consortium plans for prototyping activities moving forward from the Preliminary Design Review including any Ash River activities and ProtoDUNE-II. Description of sub-system specific Ash River activities should be consistent with that in document describing overall plan for Ash River activities (EDMS 2169069) | New document describing any planned prototyping activities subsequent to the Final Design Review. Include information on any planned testing at Ash River or ProtoDUNE-II that could potentially lead to design changes between Final Design Review and Production Readiness Review.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Fabrication, Inspection, and Test Procedures  | Consortium    | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.   | Written procedures for the detailed steps necessary to fabricate, inspect, and test each of the sub-system components and assemblies.  | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |
|                 | Fabrication, Inspection, and Test Forms (Travelers, Test Reports, and Inspection Reports) | Consortium    | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.   | Completed templates of all necessary forms for recording QC information during the fabrication, inspection, and testing of the sub-system components and assemblies.   | Kevin F.<br>Jim M. |

| Category           | Document  | Controlled by | Required for Preliminary Design Review  | Required for Final Design Review  | Defined by |
|--------------------|---|---------------|---|---|------------|
| Tracking Documents | Responses to Past Review Recommendations          | Review Office | Consortia should keep a spreadsheet of recommendations received from each stage of the review process. For each recommendation received, the consortia should provide within the spreadsheet a brief description of how the consortium has addressed the recommendation and an assessment of its current status (e.g. closed or in-progress). | Spreadsheet should be updated to include recommendations and responses from the Preliminary Design Review and any additional reviews that have occurred in the interim.   | Steve K.   |
|                    | Review Office Report on Responses to Past Reviews | Review Office | Not required for Preliminary Design Review.   | Memo from Review Office confirming that all prior review recommendations (as documented in spreadsheet) have been acted on appropriately. This memo should be in place and signed-off on at least four weeks prior to the scheduled Final Design Review date. | Steve K.   |