# Simulations in CMB and SPT Analyses

Adam Anderson 8 March 2023

#### The 10-meter South Pole Telescope (SPT)

SPT-SZ (2007-2012)



960 detectors at 95, 150, 220 GHz

SPTpol (2012-2017)



**1500** detectors at 95, 150 GHz w/polarization



#### SPT-3G (2017-2024)

**15,000** detectors at 95, 150, 220 GHz w/polarization



## **Observing Cadence**

- "Fields" chosen to observe based on a mix of scientific and technical/practical factors, then split into "subfields" with narrow range of elevation
- Raster scan at constant elevation, then step in elevation until subfield is covered.
- Observing one subfield takes ~2 hours. Repeat for other subfields 24/7 for 9-11 months per year, integrating down coadded noise level.







\_\_\_\_\_

#### 150 GHz ---- 220 GHz

A. Bender, AJA, W. Quan

#### **SPT-3G Science**

- Core SPT-3G science is the CMB, but full range of topics is extremely broad. *Heterogeneous analyses require different* suites of simulations:
  - Primary CMB power spectra (2101.01684, 2212.05642, updates coming soon...)
  - Kinematic Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (2207.11937)
  - Survey of mm-wave astrophysical transients (2103.06166)
  - Mm-wave measurements of asteroids (2202.01406) •
  - Constraints on axion dark matter (2203.16567)
  - Gravitational lensing of the CMB (coming soon...)
  - SZ-selected galaxy cluster catalog (coming soon...)
  - Constraints on inflationary B-modes (coming soon...)
  - Polarization properties of South Pole atmosphere (coming) soon...)
  - Many more analyses in progress!



5



#### **SPT-3G Science**

- Core SPT-3G science is the CMB, but full range of topics is extremely broad. *Heterogeneous analyses require different* suites of simulations:
  - Primary CMB power spectra (2101.01684, 2212.05642, updates coming soon...)
  - Kinematic Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (2207.11937)
  - Survey of mm-wave astrophysical transients (2103.06166)
  - Mm-wave measurements of asteroids (2202.01406)
  - Constraints on axion dark matter (2203.16567)
  - Gravitational lensing of the CMB (coming soon...)
  - SZ-selected galaxy cluster catalog (coming soon...)
  - Constraints on inflationary B-modes (coming soon...)
  - Polarization properties of South Pole atmosphere (coming soon...)
  - Many more analyses in progress!



Balkenhol, et al., (2212.05642)



#### **General Remarks**

- SPT does not use a single suite of end-to-end simulations for all analyses. E.g.
- reference to maps or their simulations.
- Auxiliary measurements (e.g. beams) incorporated into bandpower covariance matrix.
- Instrumental systematics that are small in magnitude or difficult to model are checked with null tests and ignored.
- observation, noise realizations, etc.

Filtering settings will be different for different analyses, so this does not make sense.

• Full-scale simulations usually only really make sense up to map-level. Parameter constraints can be calculated directly using power spectrum information without

• Simulation frameworks and tools are standardized and fairly easy to use. People make their own simulations, but they do so with a common framework for mock

#### **Software Notes**

- mix of python (interface) and  $C_{++}$  (for bits that need to be efficient).
- IceCube analysis software. "Events" are replaced by "scans".
- S4 and future CMB experiments:
  - <u>https://github.com/CMB-S4/spt3g\_software</u>
- MWT2 (memory requirement ~ 2-4 GB / job).

Analysis and simulations software framework, spt3g software, written in

• Software written specifically for SPT-3G, based core pieces of IceTray, the

Subset of spt3g\_software is publicly available on GitHub, targeted for CMB-

HEP-style stupidly parallel jobs for simulations and mapmaking run on OSG /

- Compress raw data into a map (several different ways to do this, e.g. MASTER (astro-ph/0105302) vs. maximum likelihood):
  - A. Observe the sky: 15,000 timestreams ("TOD").
  - B. Filter each TOD until noise is approximately white in time-domain.
  - spectrum, rough temperature calibration
- Estimate power spectra: 2.
  - primarily at low  $k_x$ ). Estimate as Fourier-space "transfer function" from simulations.
  - small bias. Calculate analytically or simulate by brute force.
  - C. Beam calibration using observations of planets (large scales) and point sources (small scales).
  - D. Calculate debiased power spectra ("bandpowers"):

Mode-coupling kernel Beam  $\sum M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 \langle C_{\ell'} \rangle$ Filter transfer Biased observed spectra

C. Bin timestream samples into map, using telescope pointing information, with inverse-variance weighting based on TOD noise

A. TOD filtering removes power, biases power spectrum in a non-isotropic way (i.e. scan strategy means that atmospheric noise is

B. Incomplete sky coverage acts as window function, smears out power between independent modes. Map projection also induces

function

True spectra



- 3. Estimate combined bandpower covariance matrix.
  - A. Noise covariance matrix.
  - B. Signal covariance matrix.
- 4. Jackknife tests for instrumental systematics:
  - noise. Estimate noise "expectation spectra" from simulations.
- 5. Estimate cosmological parameters from bandpower covariance:
  - constrain.
  - B. Foreground models connect nuisance parameters to power spectra.

A. Difference subsets of the data that have identical CMB signal and check that result is compatible with

A. CAMB or emulator calculates CMB power spectra from input cosmological parameters that we want to



- Compress raw data into a map (several different ways to do this, e.g. MASTER (astro-ph/0105302) vs. maximum likelihood):
  - A. Observe the sky: 15,000 timestreams ("TOD").
  - B. Filter each TOD until noise is approximately white in time-domain.
  - spectrum, rough temperature calibration
- Estimate power spectra:
  - primarily at low  $k_x$ ). Estimate as Fourier-space "transfer function" from simulations.
  - small bias. Calculate analytically or simulate by brute force.
  - 3. Beam calibration using observations of planets (large scales) and point sources (small scales).
  - 4. Calculate debiased power spectra ("bandpowers"):

Mode-coupling kernel Beam  $\sum M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 \langle C_{\ell'} \rangle$ 

Biased observed spectra

C. Bin timestream samples into map, using telescope pointing information, with inverse-variance weighting based on TOD noise

1. TOD filtering removes power, biases power spectrum in a non-isotropic way (i.e. scan strategy means that atmospheric noise is

2. Incomplete sky coverage acts as window function, smears out power between independent modes. Map projection also induces "Mock observations"

Filter transfer function

True spectra



## **Mock Observations**

- Generate fake realizations of CMB skies based Planck cosmology, possibly including point sources and foregrounds.
- "Mock observe" these fake skies by using the real pointing information of every detector during the observing season to generate a fake TOD for every detector.
- Apply the same filtering procedure used on the real data to the mock observations, bin into maps per observation. Coadd maps if desired.
- Result is noise-free coadded maps of the entire experiment but for different CMB realizations. Comparison of real CMB power spectra to mock spectra provides estimate of the "transfer function".

$$\begin{split} F_{\ell}^{(0)} &= \frac{\langle \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{\rm sim} \rangle}{w_2 B_{\ell}^2 C_{\ell}^{\rm th}} ,\\ F_{\ell}^{(i+1)} &= F_{\ell}^{(i)} + \frac{\langle \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{\rm sim} \rangle - M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell}^{(i)} B_{\ell}^2 C_{\ell}^{\rm th}}{w_2 B_{\ell}^2 C_{\ell}^{\rm th}} , \end{split}$$



FIG. 5. Filter transfer functions for  $150 \,\mathrm{GHz} TE$  and EEpower spectra, computed using 250 TOD simulations of the full SPT-3G 2018 dataset. The difference between the TE and *EE* transfer functions is caused by the common-mode filter.



- Compress raw data into a map (several different ways to do this, e.g. MASTER (astro-ph/0105302) vs. maximum likelihood):
  - A. Observe the sky: 15,000 timestreams ("TOD").
  - B. Filter each TOD until noise is approximately white in time-domain.
  - spectrum, rough temperature calibration
- 2. Estimate power spectra:
  - primarily at low  $k_x$ ). Estimate as Fourier-space "transfer function" from simulations.
  - small bias. Calculate analytically or simulate by brute force.
  - 3. Beam calibration using observations of planets (large scales) and point sources (small scales).
  - 4. Calculate debiased power spectra ("bandpowers"):

Mode-coupling kernel Beam  $\sum M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 \langle C_{\ell'} \rangle$ Filter transfer Biased observed spectra

C. Bin timestream samples into map, using telescope pointing information, with inverse-variance weighting based on TOD noise

1. TOD filtering removes power, biases power spectrum in a non-isotropic way (i.e. scan strategy means that atmospheric noise is

2. Incomplete sky coverage acts as window function, smears out power between independent modes. Map projection also induces

function

True spectra



- Compress raw data into a map (several different ways to do this, e.g. MASTER (astro-ph/0105302) vs. maximum likelihood):
  - A. Observe the sky: 15,000 timestreams ("TOD").
  - B. Filter each TOD until noise is approximately white in time-domain.
  - spectrum, rough temperature calibration
- Estimate power spectra: 2.
  - primarily at low  $k_x$ ). Estimate as Fourier-space "transfer function" from simulations.
  - small bias. Calculate analytically or simulate by brute force.
  - 3. Beam calibration using observations of planets (large scales) and point sources (small scales).
  - 4. Calculate debiased power spectra ("bandpowers"):

Mode-coupling kernel Beam  $\sum M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 \langle C_{\ell'} \rangle$ Filter transfer Biased observed spectra

C. Bin timestream samples into map, using telescope pointing information, with inverse-variance weighting based on TOD noise

1. TOD filtering removes power, biases power spectrum in a non-isotropic way (i.e. scan strategy means that atmospheric noise is

2. Incomplete sky coverage acts as window function, smears out power between independent modes. Map projection also induces

function

True spectra



#### **Beam Estimation**

- Beam is estimated from planet observations (e.g. Mars in 2101.01684) for large scales, and many point sources for small scales. Maps are stitched together in real space.
- The beam is estimated by the square root of the azimuthal average of the 2D power spectrum of the composite map.
- Uncertainty is estimated by jackknife resampling to construct a beam covariance matrix, which is added with the main bandpower covariance.



One-dimensional multipole-space representation FIG. 6. of the measured instrument beam,  $B_{\ell}$ , with uncertainties indicated by the shaded regions. The data are normalized to unity at  $\ell = 800$ .



- Compress raw data into a map (several different ways to do this, e.g. MASTER (astro-ph/0105302) vs. maximum likelihood):
  - A. Observe the sky: 15,000 timestreams ("TOD").
  - B. Filter each TOD until noise is approximately white in time-domain.
  - spectrum, rough temperature calibration
- Estimate power spectra: 2.
  - primarily at low  $k_x$ ). Estimate as Fourier-space "transfer function" from simulations.
  - small bias. Calculate analytically or simulate by brute force.
  - 3. Beam calibration using observations of planets (large scales) and point sources (small scales).
  - 4. Calculate debiased power spectra ("bandpowers"):

Mode-coupling kernel Beam  $\langle \tilde{C}_{\ell} \rangle = \sum_{\ell'} M_{\ell\ell'} F_{\ell'} B_{\ell'}^2 \langle C_{\ell'} \rangle$ Filter transfer Biased observed spectra True spectra function

C. Bin timestream samples into map, using telescope pointing information, with inverse-variance weighting based on TOD noise

1. TOD filtering removes power, biases power spectrum in a non-isotropic way (i.e. scan strategy means that atmospheric noise is

2. Incomplete sky coverage acts as window function, smears out power between independent modes. Map projection also induces



## **Examples of Debiasing**



#### Bandpowers



- 3. Estimate combined bandpower covariance matrix.
  - A. Noise covariance matrix.
  - B. Signal covariance matrix.
- 4. Jackknife tests for instrumental systematics:
  - noise. Estimate noise "expectation spectra" from simulations.
- 5. Estimate cosmological parameters from bandpower covariance:
  - constrain.
  - B. Foreground models connect nuisance parameters to power spectra.

A. Difference subsets of the data that have identical CMB signal and check that result is compatible with

A. CAMB or emulator calculates CMB power spectra from input cosmological parameters that we want to



## **Bandpower Covariance Matrix**

- Captures correlations between all band powers in 90, 150, 220 GHz maps in TT, TE, EE, with 1 block per combination.
- Rather complicated estimator for covariance based on:
  - Signal component estimated using mock observations.
  - Noise component is estimated empirically from the data. Several techniques are possible, but very large number of noise-only maps can be constructed from coadds in which ~half of the single observation maps are multiplied by -1.

SPT-3G 2018 TT/TE/EE Band Power Covariance Matrix



 $10^{3}$ · 10<sup>2</sup>  $\cdot 10^{1}$ - 10<sup>0</sup>  $10^{-1}$ 0  $-10^{-1}$  $-10^{0}$  $-10^{1}$  $-10^{2}$  $-10^{3}$ 

- 3. Estimate combined bandpower covariance matrix.
  - A. Noise covariance matrix.
  - B. Signal covariance matrix.
- 4. Jackknife tests for instrumental systematics:
  - noise. Estimate noise "expectation spectra" from simulations.
- 5. Estimate cosmological parameters from bandpower covariance:
  - constrain.
  - B. Foreground models connect nuisance parameters to power spectra.

A. Difference subsets of the data that have identical CMB signal and check that result is compatible with

A. CAMB or emulator calculates CMB power spectra from input cosmological parameters that we want to



### "Null Tests" for Systematics

- Split data into two maps according to possible systematics, and difference maps so that the CMB signal nearly vanishes.
- Calculated expected residual from mock observation simulations.
- Compute chi-square of data relative to null expectation and evaluate pvalue.
- Use distribution of p-values as criteria for unblinding (i.e. looking at cosmological parameters).
- Several other internal consistency tests are used, using the band power covariance matrix, but not explicitly using simulations.

TABLE I. Individual null test PTE values for 95, 150, and 220 GHz and TT, TE, and EE spectra. Additionally, we show the combined TT/TE/EE null test PTE values. All PTE values lie above the required threshold of  $0.05/(9 \times 6) \approx 0.001$ .

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Azimuth	First/Second	Left/Right	Moon	Saturation	Wafer
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95 GHz						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT	0.116	0.614	0.630	0.991	0.882	0.492
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TE	0.294	0.067	0.028	0.938	0.234	0.620
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$E\!E$	0.765	0.398	0.015	0.866	0.340	0.037
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT/TE/EE	0.284	0.210	0.012	0.999	0.508	0.184
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	150 GHz						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT	0.075	0.549	0.861	0.305	0.884	0.485
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TE	0.879	0.539	0.859	0.894	0.238	0.465
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$E\!E$	0.002	0.970	0.432	0.486	0.268	0.005
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TT/TE/EE	0.012	0.882	0.889	0.667	0.460	0.045
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	220 GHz						
TE    0.420    0.929    0.169    0.834    0.784	TT	0.310	0.548	0.635	0.635	0.128	0.077
	TE	0.420	0.929	0.169	0.834	0.784	0.510
EE 0.991 0.735 0.222 0.835 0.875	$E\!E$	0.991	0.735	0.222	0.835	0.875	0.501
TT/TE/EE 0.751 0.914 0.243 0.931 0.635	TT/TE/EE	0.751	0.914	0.243	0.931	0.635	0.227



## "Null Tests" for Systematics

- Split data into two maps according to possible systematics, and difference maps so that the CMB signal nearly vanishes.
- Calculated expected residual from mock observation simulations.
- Compute chi-square of data relative to null expectation and evaluate pvalue.
- Use distribution of p-values as criteria for unblinding (i.e. looking at cosmological parameters).
- Several other internal consistency tests are used, using the band power covariance matrix, but not explicitly using simulations.



- 3. Estimate combined bandpower covariance matrix.
  - A. Noise covariance matrix.
  - B. Signal covariance matrix.
- 4. Jackknife tests for instrumental systematics:
  - noise. Estimate noise "expectation spectra" from simulations.
- 5. Estimate cosmological parameters from bandpower covariance:
  - constrain.
  - B. Foreground models connect nuisance parameters to power spectra.

A. Difference subsets of the data that have identical CMB signal and check that result is compatible with

A. CAMB or emulator calculates CMB power spectra from input cosmological parameters that we want to



#### **Parameter Constraints**

- Likelihood function incorporates:
  - Debiased bandpowers lacksquare
  - Bandpower covariance
  - Model of CMB band powers as a function of cosmological parameters
  - Models of foregrounds with priors on parameters (simple analytic models good enough for SPT patch + polarized data)
- MCMC to extract cosmological parameters in Bayesian framework, which facilitates combination of data from different probes (e.g. Planck, BAO, etc.).



