



# Physics at CMS

Matthew Citron for the CMS collaboration

## The LHC \*is the Energy Frontier



### Now providing proton collisions at **13.6 TeV** and **record luminosity**!

### The Compact Muon Solenoid detector



- Physics
- Operations (ROC)
- Detector Construction/R&D

CMS explores mysteries in our understanding of the universe



### Many fundamental questions

What is DM?



How do neutrinos get their mass?



### Why is the Higgs so light?

What causes matter/anti-matter asymmetry?





### + more

CMS explores mysteries in our understanding of the universe



### Potential cracks observed in the SM



# Aim to study and discover the BSM particles and forces that may be responsible!

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

PhysRevLett.126.141801 LHCb-PAPER-2022-052

### How to answer these mysteries?

χ

6



Pre-LHC: SUSY and WIMP miracle was the answer! W, ZWIMP DM (e.g. supersymmetric neutralino) W, Z



Lack of observation of SUSY at the LHC and no discovery at direct detection experiments → WIMP-like DM under pressure!

# What if DM has no SM interaction?

### Standard model

#### We are here

- Intriguing possibility: dark matter could be part of a "hidden" universe with no SM gauge interactions
- Hidden universe can have complex structure and provide solutions to mysteries beyond DM: naturalness, neutrino masses, baryogenesis, ...

Hidden sector

#### DM is here





- Consider a heavy particle connecting our sectors
- High energy collisions at the LHC could **overcome the barrier** and produce hidden sector particles!
- Many CMS searches and measurements now motivated directly by hidden sector!



energy

Review recent results to show how CMS is exploring fundamental mysteries



Won't try to give standard overview but instead focus on highlighting CMS **innovations** and **bold new directions** 

Review recent results to show how CMS is exploring fundamental mysteries



Start with Higgs then highlight variety of results with connections to **hidden sectors**, **anomalies** and **fundamental questions** 

# Higgs: from **discovery** to **precision** measurement





Nature 607 60-68 (2022)

# Consistency with SM predictions hugely impactful on new physics

# Width measurement from $H \rightarrow ZZ$

### $m_H < 2 m_Z \rightarrow either H or Z off shell$



Measure off-shell Higgs component through fits of kinematic distributions → Higgs width can be extracted from off-shell/on-shell ratio

Nature Physics 18 1329–1334 (2022)

Consistency with SM predictions hugely impactful on new physics

 $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} \text{ MeV}$ 

# Continuing to track down more final states: $H \rightarrow cc$

Initially even  $H \rightarrow bb$  thought to be impossible, but now we are narrowing in on a process with  $\sim 1/20$ th of its BF!



### Requires advanced ML strategies (GNN)



2205.05550

WW

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu 13 **95% CL interval:**  $1.1 < |\kappa_c| < 5.5$ 





#### Probing new heavy BSM final states $\mu$ $g_{0000000}$ CMS moving **beyond simple heavy** Z'resonances *g* 0000000 $\mu^+$ New search sensitive to models that may $b_L$ cause anomalies observed in B meson Ž decays <sub>23</sub>1 ال 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) **CMS** Preliminary 138 fb<sup>-</sup> (13 TeV) -CMS - Data $B_3-L_2$ Preliminary [JHEP 04 (2023) 033] Signal DY(µµ) $N_{\rm h} \ge 2$ Observed tt --- Expected tW+tZq 0.15 tīV $\Gamma = 0.5 \sigma_{mass}$ WW ΖZ m<sub>z'</sub> =500 GeV WZ m<sub>z'</sub>=1 TeV 0.1 1╞ m<sub>z'</sub> =2 TeV Global fit 0.05 $\dots \Delta M_s$ constraint 0L 0 2500 500 2000 1000 1500 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.25 0.15 g<sub>7'</sub> [1 TeV/m<sub>7'</sub>] m<sub>uu</sub> [GeV] Mysteries studied: B anomalies

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

Events

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

**10**<sup>-1</sup>

10<sup>-2</sup>

 $10^{-3}$ 

# CMS is doing B-physics: $B_S^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$



### CMS Experiment at the LLC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-Aug-27 23:44:01.739584 GMT Run / Event / LS: 279685 / 178456880 / 95 High pileup environment!

### ML used for background rejection



Comparable sensitivity to LHCb despite significantly more demanding environment!

#### Much more to come: crucial probe of anomalies!

Mysteries studied: B anomalies

# Pushing energy thresholds down

- Two methods to allow substantially increased acceptance at the trigger
- Parking: keep data on disk (unreconstructed) until extended LHC stops
- **Scouting**: save partial event reconstruction to reduce event size

#### More details to come in Mia's talk



#### **Rejected events are lost forever!**





## Search for BSM GeV-scale resonance

- Scouting triggers allows very low (down to 3 GeV) threshold muon p<sub>T</sub> requirements
- Probe masses down to 1 GeV for hidden sector theories which could explain (g-2)<sub>µ</sub> and other mysteries!

Mysteries studied: g-2, DM



Muon ID using MVAs trained with data provides ~30% sensitivity improvement





### Observation of $v \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu \mu$





hadronic light-by-light component of  $(g-2)_{\mu}$ 

- Scouting allows low muon pT thresholds needed for first observation of this rare process
- Provides precision test of the SM as well as an important input to calculating (g-2)<sub>µ</sub>

#### **Measure:**

 $B(\nu \to \mu \mu \mu \mu) = (5.0 \pm 0.8(stat) \pm 0.7(syst) \pm 0.7(B2\mu)) \times 10^{-9}$ 



# Let's let new physics live a little...

- Long-lived particles very well motivated within hidden sector models
- Discovery of a new LLP would provide deep insights into BSM physics
- Lifetime connected to the mass hierarchies and symmetries within the underlying model
- How to search for them?



Long-lived = metastable on detector length scales

# **Long-lived searches**: non-standard signatures require innovative approaches!



# **Long-lived searches**: non-standard signatures require innovative approaches!



pushing down thresholds!

## Search for Inelastic Dark Matter

p

Signature: Highly collimated displaced muons + momentum imbalance boosted against "ISR" jet

Dark photon portal hidden sector Mysteries studied: DM



#### **Dedicated displaced reconstruction** provides high efficiency for O(m)

displacements



First ever collider sensitivity to inelastic DM!



# Search for HNLs with a DNN tagger



#### Sensitivity to couplings to all three lepton generations for both Dirac and Majorana HNLs

# Search for $H \rightarrow LLPs$ with muon system



New reconstruction technique: look for clusters of hits from "showers" in

muon system

EXO-20-015

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu



"Calorimetric" signature (showers initiating in iron return yoke) rather than tracker allows sensitivity to (very) low mass LLPs!

# What's coming?



We are here (~200/fb)

### Another ~20 years and ~2800/fb to go!

# Run 3 underway

- LHC Run 3 **doubling** our previous dataset!
- CMS will extend sensitivity with more data at higher energy and new trigger selections and strategies
- Al autoencoding strategies being added to probe "unknown unknowns"

#### New muon system cluster trigger



DP2022\_062

#### **New** ECAL and HCAL timing triggers



to jets from light SM

# A new CMS "subdetector"!





29

- Combination of two scintillator-based detectors will provide excellent sensitivity to millicharged particles
- Installed and taking data now!

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu





#### milliQan

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

## Looking to the future: HL-LHC

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

**30ps resolution** 

Huge dataset and new/ upgraded sub-detectors will provide excellent SM measurements and BSM discovery potential

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **5D reconstruction!**

CMS-TDR-019

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

More details to come in Christian's talk

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### CMS is pushing the limits of the SM with measurements and searches for BSM signatures

Higgs

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

### High mass (SM and BSM)

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Long-lived signatures**

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

### **B** physics measurements

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Low mass (SM and BSM)

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

### + much more!

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### How? A wide array of innovative methods!

### **Machine learning**

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **New exotic triggers**

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

Low threshold trigger streams

### Exotic reconstruction

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Even new detectors!**

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

CMS is in an excellent position to discover whatever new physics might be waiting at the LHC!

# Thanks for your attention!

This speaker supported by funding from DOE Office of Science

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Most analyses**: build picture from ~5 types of promptly produced SM particles

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Kappa framework

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04892-x/figures/1

# Hidden sectors also probed by new measurements

37

- Four tops: heaviest final state ever observed
- Multiple ML uses: BDT for lepton ID, DNN for b-tagging, BDT for final discrimination
- Measure  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}} = 17.9^{+3.9}_{-3.5}$ (stat)  $^{+2.4}_{-2.1}$ (syst) fb from only ~2000 four-top events

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Crucial probe of hidden sectors - new physics here?

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Higgs: precision measurement

#### **Production mechanisms** 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS ±1 s.d. (stat) Observed ±1 s.d. (stat ⊕ syst) ±1 s.d. (syst) ±2 s.d. (stat ⊕ syst) Stat Syst $\mu_{\rm ggH}$ 0.97+0.08 +0.07 ±0.04 +0.09 +0.08 $\mu_{VBF}$ 0.80±0.12 $1.44^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$ +0.16 $\mu_{WH}$ ±0.21 $\mu_{ZH}$ $1.29^{+0.22}_{-0.25}$ +0.09 ±0.20 $\mu_{ttH}$ $0.94^{+0.20}_{-0.19}$ +0.13 ±0.15 $\mu_{tH}$ 6.05+2.66 +2.06 +1.69 -1.38 4.5 0.5 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 0 1.0 1.5 2.0 Parameter value

<u>Nature 607 60–68 (2022)</u> <u>Nature Physics 18 1329–1334 (2022)</u>

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

Width + evidence of offshell contribution to ZZ

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 −2 ∆ ln L

 $\Gamma_H = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} \text{ MeV}$ 

Many properties of the **first fundamental scalar** now measured with **precision** 

Consistency with SM predictions hugely impactful on new physics

### The off-shell method for the width

Combine with on-shell signal strength measurement to extract  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$  [1]:

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Take on-shell signal strength from final states ZZ or WW

Ratio of off-shell to on-shell signal strengths for each production mode gives  $\Gamma_{\!H}$ 

### Recent Higgs measurements

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

 $m_{bb}$  (GeV)

H -> Za complementarity

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# diHiggs

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>CMS-PAS-HIG-20-001</u> <u>Nature 607 60–68 (2022)</u> <u>Nature Physics 18 1329–1334 (2022)</u>

M. Citron mcitron@ucdavis.edu

HH

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

## CMS is doing soft physics!

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Discovering new states: resonances could be all-charm tetraquark states!
- Interference is favored → supports states with same quantum numbers

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Four top

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 7: Comparison of the number of observed (points) and predicted (colored histograms) events in the BDT score t $\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  in the t $\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  classes of SR-2 $\ell$ , shown for the ee (upper left), e $\mu$  (upper middle), and  $\mu\mu$  (upper right) categories, of SR-3 $\ell$  (lower left) and of SR-4 $\ell$  (lower middle). Additionally, the comparison is shown for all SRs combined as a function of  $\log_{10}(S/B)$  (lower right), where S and B are evaluated for each bin of the fitted distributions as the predicted signal and background yields before the fit to data. Bins with  $\log_{10}(S/B) < -1$  are not included, and bins with  $\log_{10}(S/B) > 0.5$  are included in the last bin. The vertical bars on the points represent the statistical uncertainties in the data, and the hatched bands the total uncertainty in the predictions. The signal and background yields are shown with their best fit normalizations from the simultaneous fit to the data ("postfit").

# Parking

### Trigger strategy

During June–Nov 2018, approximately 12 billion events were recorded with a trigger logic that requires the presence of a single, displaced muon. The sample comprises  $b\overline{b}$  events with high purity. The muon candidate responsible for the positive trigger decision originates from the "tag-side" b hadron that undergoes a  $b \rightarrow \mu X$  decay. The "signal-side" b hadron decays naturally as it is not biased by the trigger requirements.

The L1  $\mu$  trigger logic requires  $|\eta| < 1.5$  and is subject to the  $p_T$  thresholds summarised in the table below. The HLT trigger logic also requires thresholds to be met on the  $p_T$  and IP<sub>sig</sub> (track impact parameter significance), which improves the trigger purity.

The thresholds evolve during a fill, as the instantaneous luminosity ( $\mathcal{L}_{inst}$ ) falls, to maximise number of signal-side b hadron decays within acceptance. The trigger purity is determined from simulation to be in the range 60–90% depending on the thresholds.

Settings	Peak <i>L</i> inst [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	L1 µ p⊤ threshold [GeV]	HLT µ p⊤ threshold [GeV]	HLT μ IP <sub>sig</sub> threshold	Trigger purity [%]	Peak rate [kHz]
1	1.7	12	12	6	92	1.5
2	1.5	10	9	6	87	2.8
3	1.3	9	9	5	86	3.0
4	1.1	8	8	5	83	3.7
5	0.9	7	7	4	59	5.4

μ Tag-side: b→μX

> Signal-side: unbiased b hadron decays

# Parking

### Modes of unbiased B hadron decays on tape

The table indicates the number of unbiased decays of different types of B hadrons recorded to tape in 2018  $(N_{2018})$ . The fractions of B hadron type that are produced  $(f_B)$  and their branching fraction  $(\mathcal{B})$  are also indicated.

Mode	$N_{2018}$	$f_B$	$\mathcal{B}$				
Generic b hadrons							
$B_{ m d}^0$	$4.0  imes 10^9$	0.4	1.0				
$B^{\pm}$	$4.0  imes 10^9$	0.4	1.0				
$B_{ m s}$	$1.2  imes 10^9$	0.1	1.0				
b baryons	$1.2  imes 10^9$	0.1	1.0				
$B_{c}$	$1.0  imes 10^7$	0.001	1.0				
Total	$1.0  imes 10^{10}$	1.0	1.0				
Events for $R_K$ and $R_{K^*}$ analyses							
$B^0 \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$	2600	0.4	$6.6 imes10^{-7}$				
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \ell^+ \ell^-$	1800	0.4	$4.5  imes 10^{-7}$				

## Long-lived particles in the Standard Model

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>1903.04497</u>

HNLs

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3: Feynman diagrams for HNL production and decay. In a) and b), charged current production and decay with OS and SS dilepton final states is illustrated. For Dirac HNLs, only the LNC diagram a) is possible, while for Majorana HNLs LNV is present (sum of a) and b)). Diagrams c) and d) corresponds to either the decay or production occuring via the neutral current interaction. In this case, the observable final state is the same for Dirac and Majorana HNLs.

# Fractionally charged particles

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Low dE/dx hits in the tracker provides sensitivity down to Q ~0.3e
- Below this not enough energy deposited in detector to reconstruct

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Searching for millicharged particles

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

Searches using colliders, effects on sun, stars and supernovae, cosmological bounds,... cover wide range in masses/charges

### but

big gap for heavier (~ GeV) low charged particles

general purpose LHC detectors insensitive (**dE/dx ~ Q**<sup>2</sup>)

 $\rightarrow$  target with **milliQan**!

# Run 3 ongoing!

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Will more than **double** our dataset with a higher energy than ever before!
- Excellent opportunity to extend sensitivity with more data and **new trigger** selections

#### Muon system cluster trigger

#### **HCAL** timing trigger

#### ECAL timing trigger

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Fully funded and under construction!

### Run 3 milliQan experiment milliQan

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

Charge limited region: very high mcp flux but low efficiency

Acceptance limited region: high efficiency but low mcp flux

Backgrounds/signal efficiencies estimated using data collected by demonstrator

Expect world leading sensitivity for 0.1 < m < 45 GeV using combination of slab and bar detector

## SUSY signatures

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

### AutoEncoder-based Anomaly Detection Tool

 The model is trained on non-anomalous data from GOOD runs: histograms of specific MEs are fed to the model with an LS granularity to allow the AE to learn a «normal» nonanomalous behavior of that specific ME. The training is performed via the minimization of the reconstruction loss, a measure of the distance between the input and output of the AE. In this case the reconstruction loss is the mean squared error:

MSE = 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

where y and  $\hat{y}$  are respectively the input and the output of the AE and n is the bin number.

- Possibly anomalous runs under investigation are tested by looking again at the reconstruction loss: peaks in this function indicate LSs containing histograms that deviate from the learned behavior.
- The comparison between the reconstruction losses of the three runs under study is on the right.

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_7.jpeg)