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- How do we maximize complementarity of the accelerator options under the ACE plan with existing/proposed experiments probing dark sector and neutrino physics?
- Considering proton energies, detection thresholds, detector locations (i.e. on-axis or off-axis), and baselines?
- Large swathes of dark photon, ALP, g-2, etc., parameter spaces remain unexplored. How do we probe them?

- Key to expand coverage of parameter space is to increase kaon production
- High-Z target (tungsten, tantalum)
- Higher beam energy
- Detector location

[^0]- Searching for new physics is done directly by producing the mediator from various meson decays. Are we missing any important physics ideas or production/detection channels?
- LDM, ALP, HNL, tau neutrino appearance, tridents, millicharged particles, etc.; electron/proton bremsstrahlung, photon conversions, Primakoff, Compton, etc. and detection via mediator scattering, DM scattering, decays, neutrino scattering...
- New possibilities should be considered for example:
- Resonant $\pi 0$ production in neutrino detectors for complementary regions of parameter space
- Which ACE upgrades before and during DUNE running might enable expansion of DUNE's Physics Scope?

DUNE power and POT implications


(Mu2e restarts 2029)
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- Why do we cap DUNE at 2.4 MW. Could you go beyond that?
- Limited by target+absorber capabilities
- Could ACE provide a 4 MW beam for DUNE?
- Yes, potentially limited by space charge effects
- What could the Booster replacement provide for DUNE physics?
- What physics could we access with micro-bunch structure knowledge of the beam
- What are the most impactful detector media to search for physics in the dark and neutrino sectors?
- Water-based Liquid scintillator (low-energy extension)
- LiquidO (opaque scintillator), high resolution
- Need excellent tracking detectors (LArTPC can bee too slow for highly intense sources)
- Optical tracking in LAr detectors (large photodetection coverage) - CEvNS type of detectors
- Fully pixelated detectors like 3D Scintillator Tracker (3DST)
- Which detector would we use for physics benefitting from sub-nano second bunch structure beam precision?
- Potentially help with HNL time-of-flight measurements
- What are the New Physics probes enabled by proton (beam-dump) runs at neutrino beam facilities?
- Remove neutrino decay-in-flight backgrounds by sending beam to proton dump
- Thick dump can also help remove neutron backgrounds
- SBND dump mode might be even better, because of short distance, but you have lower energy than say DUNE, so it covers complementary parameter space
- What other beam-dump style experiments can we build utilizing other parts of PIP-II and ACE?
- Some experimental concepts such as PIP2-BD (J. Zettlemoyer's talk) can take advantage of the powerful beam timing capabilities of an accumulator ring attached to PIP-II
- With BNB, there's potential for running alternatively with beam on and off-target during regular operations. With enough physics motivation a dedicated beam dump facility can be built
- What possibilities exist beyond the upcoming DUNE program to continue leading in this science?
- Partially discussed at André's talk yesterday
- DUNE Phase II discussions are the current priority, beyond that will need further reflection at future ACE workshops


## PIP-II and ACE Options

PIP-II nominal physics "spigots"
SOA: 0.8 GeV PIP-II Linac, experiments which require CW linac SOB: 0.8 GeV PIP-II Linac, experiments which can use pulsed linac beam.
SOC: 0.8 GeV PIP-II, with Accumulator Ring program.
SOD: 8 GeV Booster Experiments
SOE: 8 GeV Recycler \& Delivery Ring Experiments.
SOF: 120 GeV Main Injector Slow-Extraction
program.

## ACE upgrade "spigots"

S1: O(1) GeV High Duty-Factor
Beamline
(like SOA and SOB 0.8 GeV PIP-II
Linac, but higher energy)
S2: O(1) GeV Low Duty-Factor
Beamline
(like SOC 0.8 GeV PIP-II with AR program, but higher energy)
S3: O(10) GeV Low Duty-Factor
Beamline
(like SOD 8 GeV Booster
Experiments, but much higher power).

## Supplements

## Theory Landscape

Proton, (muon, beta) beams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { High Intensity } \\
& \sim 10^{21-23} \mathrm{POT}
\end{aligned}
$$



What lives in the "blue sky"?

## Present and Near-Future Experimental Landscape

- Listing accelerator-based experiments only!

Pion/Kaon/Isotope Decay-at-Rest:
$60 \mathrm{MeV}-8 \mathrm{GeV}$ proton beams
PIP2-BD, KPIPE (Fermilab), COHERENT (ORNL), CAPTAIN-Mills (LANL), JSNS² (JPARC), IsoDAR (Yemilab)

## Colliders:

Up to 14 TeV CM proton collisions FASERnu, FLArE (CERN)

Short-Baseline Pion Decay-in-Flight:
8 GeV BNB on-axis + 120 GeV NuMI off-axis, 400 GeV SPS proton beams SBN Program (Fermilab), SHiP (CERN)


Long-Baseline Pion Decay-in-Flight:
2.5 GeV - 120 GeV proton beams

NOvA, DUNE (Fermilab), T2K, HyperK (JPARC), ESSnuSB (ESS Lund)

Muon Decay-in-Flight:
1-6 GeV muon beams nuSTORM (CERN)

## ACE and Longer-Term Expt. Efforts

| Experiment | Experiment type | Energy [GeV] | Proton Beam |  | Uses existing or new beamline? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Power [kW] | Time Structure |  |
| Proton Storage Ring: EDM and Axion Searches | Precision tests Dark Matter | 0.232 | 1011 polarized protons per fill | Fill the ring every 1000 s | new |
| Ptysics with Muonium | Preasion tests | 0.8 | 1e(13+/-1) Pot per second | cw | new |
| REDTOP Run I | Preasion tests | 1.8-2.2 | 0.03-0.05 | slow extraction | Muon Campus |
| REDTOP Run II | Predision tests | 0.8-0.92 | 200 | cW, | new |
| REDTOP Run III | Preasion tests | 1.7 | >1,000 | CW, | new |
| Ultra-cold Neutron Source for Fundamental Physics Experiments, Including Neutron-Anti-Neutron Oscillations | Preasion tests | 0.8-2 | 1,000 | quastoontinuous | new |
| aFV with Muon Decays | CLFV | Not critical 0.8 to a few GeV | 100 or more | continous beam on the timescale of the muon lifetime i.e. proton pulses separated by a microsecond or less. The more continuous the better | new |
| Mu2ell | CLFV | 1 to 3 | 100 | pulse width 10 s of ns or better separated by 200 to 2000 ns . Fexible time structure | new |
| Fixed Target Searches for new physics with $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{GeV})$ Proton Beam Dump | Dark Sector, Neutrino | 0.8 to 1.5 GeV | 100 or more | $<0(1$ micro s) pulse width for neutrino measurements, $<0(30 \mathrm{~ns})$ pulse width for dark matter searches, $10 \wedge-5\}$ or better duty factor | new |
| PRISMHike Charged Lepton Flavor Violation | CLFV | $1-3 \mathrm{GeV}$ | up to 2 MW | 15 ns pulses ata rep rate of about 1 kHz | new |
| Proton Irradiation Facility | R\&D | Energy is not very imnnitant | 1 e 18 protons in a few hours | Puised beam (duty factor not specified) | new |
| SBN | \| Neutrino | 8 | 32 | 2 OHz | \|BNB |
| Fixed Target Searches for new physics with $\mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{GeV})$ Proton Beam Dump | Dark Sector, Neutrino | 8 | up to 115 | Beam spills less than a few microsec with separation between spills greater than 50 microsec | BNB |
| Muon beam dump | Dark Sector | 8 (producing 3 GeV muons) | 3014 muons in total on target for <br> the whole run | Cw | Muon Campus |
| Muon Collider R\&D | R\&D | 8-16GeV | 4 e 13 to 1.2 e 14 protons per bunch | 5.20 Hz rep rate and bunch length $1-3 \mathrm{~ns}$ | new |
| Muon Missing Momentum | Dark Sector | few 10 s of GeV | 10x $\{10\}$ muons per experimenta | Pulsed beam (duty factor not specified) | new |
| High Energy Proton Fixed Target | Dark Sedor, Neutrino | O(100 GeV) | $1012 \mathrm{POT} / \mathrm{s}$ therefore -20 kW | OW via resonant extraction. "IF we could up the duty factor that woul dbe even better (?) | Switchyard or new |
| Tes-Beam Facility | R\&D | 120, lower energies would also be beneficial | 10 to 100 kHz on the testing | Puised beam (duty factor not specified) | Switchyard ornew |
| Tau Neutrinos | Neutrino | 120 | 1200 or higher | M1 time structure | LBNF |

Options proposed in the Proton Intensity Upgrade - Central Design Group Report. How do we expand on this using the options presented within ACE and beyond?

## Proposed Questions for Discussion - 1

- How do we maximize complementarity of the accelerator options under the ACE plan with existing/proposed experiments probing dark sector and neutrino physics?
- Considering proton energies, detection thresholds, detector locations (i.e. on-axis or off-axis), and baselines
- Large swathes of dark sector, g-2, etc., parameter spaces remain unexplored. How do we probe them?
- Searching for New Physics is done directly by producing the mediator from various meson decays. Are we missing any important physics ideas or production/detection channels?
- LDM, ALP, HNL, tau neutrino appearance, tridents, millicharged particles, etc.; electron/proton bremsstrahlung, photon conversions, Primakoff, Compton, etc. and detection via mediator scattering, DM scattering, decays, neutrino scattering...
- Which ACE upgrades before and during DUNE running might enable expansion of DUNE's physics scope?


## Proposed Questions for Discussion - 2

- What are the most impactful detector media to search for physics in the dark and neutrino sectors?
- What are the New Physics probes enabled by proton (beam-dump) runs at neutrino beam facilities?
- What possibilities exist beyond the upcoming DUNE program to continue leading in this science?
- Other questions / points to discuss
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