VARIATIONAL LEARNING QUANTUM WAVE FUNCTIONS



ALESSANDRO LOVATO



Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications



Mini-Workshop on Monte Carlo Methods May 18, 2023

COLLABORATORS



C. Adams, B. Fore, B. Hall



G. Carleo, G. Pescia



J. Kim, M. Hjorth-Jensen



F. Pederiva, M. Rigo



INTRODUCTION

Oscillation parameters are measured by comparing the neutrino flux at near and far detectors



Accurate neutrino-nucleus scattering calculations are critical for the success of the experimental accelerator program If observed, $0\nu\beta\beta$ would provide key insights into physics beyond the Standard Model



Relating experimental constraints on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay rates to the neutrino masses requires quantitative estimates of nuclear matrix elements

INTRODUCTION

An accurate understanding of nuclear dynamics is critical for multi-messenger astronomy



A. Sabatucci, O. Benhar PRC 101, 045807 Λ_1

THE NUCLEAR MANY-BODY PROBLEM

In the low-energy regime, quark and gluons are confined within hadrons and the relevant degrees of freedoms are protons, neutrons, and pions

Effective field theories are the link between QCD and nuclear observables.

NUCLEAR MANY-BODY METHODS

Non relativistic many body theory aims at solving the many-body Schrödinger equation

- $H\Psi_0(x_1,\ldots,x_A) = E_0\Psi_0(x_1,\ldots,x_A) \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad x_i \equiv \{\mathbf{r}_i, s_i^z, t_i^z\}$
- Nuclear potentials are non-perturbative and spin-isospin dependent

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} \qquad \begin{cases} v_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^{18} v^{p}(r_{ij}) O_{ij}^{p} \\ O_{ij}^{p=1,8} = (1, \sigma_{ij}, S_{ij}, \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \times (1, \tau_{ij}) \end{cases}$$

• Nucleons are fermions, so the wave function must be anti-symmetric

$$\Psi_0(x_1,\ldots,x_i,\ldots,x_j,\ldots,x_A) = -\Psi_0(x_1,\ldots,x_j,\ldots,x_i,\ldots,x_A)$$

NUCLEAR MANY-BODY METHODS

- Hamiltonians and consistent currents are the main inputs to nuclear many-body methods
- These methods capitalize on high-performance computers to solve the Schrödinger equation with controlled approximation

 Nuclear many-body calculations are continually battling against the "curse of dimensionality," the rapid growth with complexity of computational resources needed.

HARTREE-FOCK APPROXIMATION

Mean field approaches: the ground-state wave function is a single Slater determinant

```
\Phi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{s}_1; \dots; \mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_A) = \mathcal{A}[\phi_{n_1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{s}_1) \dots \phi_{n_A}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_A)]
```

The single-particle states are consistent with the symmetry of the problem

CONFIGURATION-INTERACTION

The exact ground-state wave function can be expressed as a sum of Slater determinants

$$\Psi_0(x_1,\ldots,x_A) = \sum_n c_n \Phi_n(x_1,\ldots,x_A)$$

The occupation-number representation automatically encompass the fermion antisymmetry

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{h_1...,p_1...} c_{h_1...}^{p_1...} |\Phi_{h_1...}^{p_1...}\rangle$$

$$|\Phi_{h_1\ldots}^{p_1\ldots}\rangle = a_{p_1}^{\dagger}\ldots a_{h_1}\ldots |\Phi_0\rangle$$

The dimensionality explodes quickly

$$\binom{N}{A} = \frac{N!}{(N-A)!A!}$$

CONTINUUM QUANTUM MONTE CARLO

The trial wave function can be expanded in the set of the Hamiltonian eigenstates

$$|\Psi_T
angle = \sum_n c_n |\Psi_n
angle$$

GFMC projects out the lowest-energy state using an imaginary-time propagation

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} e^{-(H - E_0)\tau} |\Psi_T\rangle = c_0 |\Psi_0\rangle$$

J. Carlson Phys. Rev. C 36, 2026 (1987)

GFMC suffers from the fermion-sign problem, but it is "virtually exact" for light nuclear systems.

 $H|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$

COURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY

NEURAL NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

NEURAL-NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

Let's take a step back: spin problem

$$\longleftrightarrow \qquad H_{TIF} = -h\sum_{i}\sigma_{i}^{x} - \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle}\sigma_{i}^{z}\sigma_{j}^{z}$$

Finding its exact solution of this equation is an exponentially hard problem

 $|\Psi\rangle = c_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots}|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\rangle + c_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots}|\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots\rangle + \dots + c_{\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots}|\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots\rangle$

The majority of quantum states of physical interest have distinctive features and intrinsic structures

NEURAL-NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

$$c_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots} \equiv \langle\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots|\Psi\rangle \equiv \Psi(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots)$$

$$c_{\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots} \equiv \langle\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots|\Psi\rangle \equiv \Psi(\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\dots)$$

$$c_{\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots} \equiv \langle\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots|\Psi\rangle \equiv \Psi(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\dots)$$

$$c_{S} \equiv \langle S|\Psi\rangle \equiv \Psi(S)$$

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) can compactly represent complex high-dimensional functions; $\Psi(S) \simeq \langle S | \hat{\Psi}(\mathcal{W}) \rangle \equiv \hat{\Psi}(S; \mathcal{W})$

ANNs trained minimizing the energy, which is evaluated stochastically

$$E(\mathcal{W}) = \frac{\langle \hat{\Psi}(W) | H | \hat{\Psi}(W) \rangle}{\langle \hat{\Psi}(W) | \hat{\Psi}(W) \rangle} \simeq \sum_{S_n} \frac{\langle S_n | H | \hat{\Psi}(W) \rangle}{\langle S_n | \hat{\Psi}(W) \rangle} \qquad P(S_n) = |\langle S_n | \hat{\Psi}(W) \rangle|^2$$

NEURAL-NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

Giuseppe Carleo and Mathias Troyer demonstrated that RMBs outperform traditional Jastrows

G. Carleo et al. Science 355, 602 (2017)

PIONLESS EFT HAMILTONIAN

We take as input a LO pionless-EFT Hamiltonian that we contributed developing

$$H_{LO} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{N}} + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk}$$

- NN potential: fit to np scattering lengths and effective radii and the deuteron binding energy
- 3NF adjusted to reproduce the ³H binding energy.

$$v_{ij}^{\text{CI}} = \sum_{p=1}^{4} v^{p}(r_{ij}) O_{ij}^{p},$$
$$O_{ij}^{p=1,4} = (1, \tau_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}\tau_{ij})$$

$$V_{ijk} = \tilde{c}_E \sum_{\text{cyc}} e^{-(r_{ij}^2 + r_{jk}^2)/R_3^2}$$

R. Schiavilla, AL, PRC 103, 054003(2021)

NEURAL SLATER-JASTROW ANSATZ

The ANN variational state is a product of mean-field state modulated by a flexible correlator factor

$$\Psi_{SJ}(X) = e^{J(X)} \Phi(X)$$

 The mean-field part is a Slater determinants of single-particle orbitals

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Each orbital is a FFNN that takes as input

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_{CM}$$

• The Jastrow is a permutation-invariant function of the single-particle coordinates

$$J(X) = \rho_F \left[\sum_i \vec{\phi}_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i, \mathbf{s}_i) \right]$$

SAMPLING COORDINATES AND SPIN

The calculation of the observables involve integrating over 3A spatial and 2A spin-isospin variables

$$E_V = \frac{\langle \Psi_V | H | \Psi_V \rangle}{\langle \Psi_V | \Psi_V \rangle} = \frac{\sum_S \int dR |\Psi_V(R, S)|^2 \frac{\langle RS | H | \Psi_V \rangle}{\langle RS | \Psi_V \rangle}}{\sum_S \int dR |\Psi_V(R, S)|^2}$$

We evaluate it stochastically using the Metropolis-Hastings Markov Chain Mote Carlo algorithm

Spatial move
$$\longrightarrow P_R = \frac{|\Psi_V(R',S)|^2}{|\Psi_V(R,S)|^2}$$
 Spin-isospin move $\longrightarrow P_S = \frac{|\Psi_V(R,S')|^2}{|\Psi_V(R,S)|^2}$

The observables are estimated by taking averages over the sampled configurations

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_V | O | \Psi_V \rangle}{\langle \Psi_V | \Psi_V \rangle} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{conf}}} \sum_{\{R,S\}} O_L(R,S) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad P_V(R,S) = \frac{\Psi_V(R,S)|^2}{\sum_S \int dR |\Psi_V(R,S)|^2} \,.$$

STOCHASTIC RECONFIGURATION

The ANN is trained by performing an imaginary-time evolution in the variational manifold

$$(1 - H\delta\tau)|\Psi_V(\mathbf{p}_\tau)\rangle \simeq \Delta p^0 |\Psi_V(\mathbf{p}_\tau)\rangle + \sum_i \Delta p^i O^i |\Psi_V(\mathbf{p}_\tau)\rangle$$

During the optimization, then parameter are updated as

$$\mathbf{p}_{\tau+\delta\tau} = \mathbf{p}_{\tau} - \eta (S_{\tau} + \epsilon I)^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{\tau}$$

The gradient of the energy is supplemented by a quantum Fisher information pre-conditioner

$$g_{\tau}^{i} = 2\langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|O^{i}H|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle - 2\langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|H|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle\langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|O^{i}|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle$$
$$S_{\tau}^{ij} = \langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|O^{i}O^{j}|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle - \langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|O^{i}|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle\langle \Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})|O^{j}|\Psi_{V}(\mathbf{p}_{\tau})\rangle$$

S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. B 64, 024512 (2001)

ADAPTIVE STOCHASTIC RECONFIGURATION

We use an adaptive learning rate with $10^{-7} < \eta < 10^{-2}$. It yields robust convergence patterns for all the nuclei and regulator choices that we have analyzed

COMPARISON WITH QUANTUM MONTE CARLO

To further elucidate the quality of the ANN wave function we consider the point-nucleon density

Excellent agreement between the ANN and GFMC methods, which further corroborates the representative power of the ANN ansatz.

COMPARISON WITH QUANTUM MONTE CARLO

- The ANN ansatz outperforms standard Jastrow correlations and encompasses the vast majority of spin-isospin correlations
- Remaining differences with the exact GFMC result are due to missing spin-isospin correlations

	Λ	VMC-ANN	VMC-JS	GFMC	$GFMC_c$
$^{2}\mathrm{H}$	4 fm^{-1}	-2.224(1)	-2.223(1)	-2.224(1)	-
	6 fm^{-1}	-2.224(4)	-2.220(1)	-2.225(1)	-
${}^{3}\mathrm{H}$	4 fm^{-1}	-8.26(1)	-7.80(1)	-8.38(2)	-7.82(1)
	6 fm^{-1}	-8.27(1)	-7.74(1)	-8.38(2)	-7.81(1)
⁴ He	4 fm^{-1}	-23.30(2)	-22.54(1)	-23.62(3)	-22.77(2)
	6 fm^{-1}	-24.47(3)	-23.44(2)	-25.06(3)	-24.10(2)

C. Adams, AL, et al, PRL 127, 022502 (2021)

• The Jastrow ansatz cannot compensate the wrong nodes in the mean-field part of the wave function

$$\langle RS|\Psi_{V}^{\text{ANN}}\rangle = e^{\mathcal{U}(R,S)} \tanh[\mathcal{V}(R,S)]\langle RS|\Phi\rangle$$

$$\langle RS|\Phi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle s_{1}^{z}t_{1}^{z}|p\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{2}^{z}t_{2}^{z}|p\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{3}^{z}t_{3}^{z}|p\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{4}^{z}t_{4}^{z}|p\uparrow\rangle \\ \langle s_{1}^{z}t_{1}^{z}|p\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{2}^{z}t_{2}^{z}|p\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{3}^{z}t_{3}^{z}|p\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{4}^{z}t_{4}^{z}|p\downarrow\rangle \\ \langle s_{1}^{z}t_{1}^{z}|n\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{2}^{z}t_{2}^{z}|n\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{3}^{z}t_{3}^{z}|n\uparrow\rangle & \langle s_{4}^{z}t_{4}^{z}|n\uparrow\rangle \\ \langle s_{1}^{z}t_{1}^{z}|n\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{2}^{z}t_{2}^{z}|n\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{3}^{z}t_{3}^{z}|n\downarrow\rangle & \langle s_{4}^{z}t_{4}^{z}|n\downarrow\rangle \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

HIDDEN NUCLEONS

The "hidden fermion" approach was recently introduced to model fermionic wave functions

$$\langle RS|\Psi_{HF}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_1(x_2) & \phi_1(x_3) & \phi_1(x_4) & \phi_1(y_1) & \phi_1(y_2) & \phi_1(y_3) & \phi_1(y_4) \\ \phi_2(x_1) & \phi_2(x_2) & \phi_2(x_3) & \phi_2(x_4) & \phi_2(y_1) & \phi_2(y_2) & \phi_2(y_3) & \phi_2(y_4) \\ \phi_3(x_1) & \phi_3(x_2) & \phi_3(x_3) & \phi_3(x_4) & \phi_3(y_1) & \phi_3(y_2) & \phi_3(y_3) & \phi_3(y_4) \\ \phi_4(x_1) & \chi_1(x_2) & \chi_1(x_3) & \chi_1(x_4) & \chi_1(y_1) & \chi_1(y_2) & \chi_1(y_3) & \chi_1(y_4) \\ \chi_2(x_1) & \chi_2(x_2) & \chi_2(x_3) & \chi_2(x_4) & \chi_2(y_1) & \chi_2(y_2) & \chi_2(y_3) & \chi_2(y_4) \\ \chi_3(x_1) & \chi_3(x_2) & \chi_3(x_3) & \chi_3(x_4) & \chi_3(y_1) & \chi_3(y_2) & \chi_3(y_3) & \chi_3(y_4) \\ \chi_4(x_1) & \chi_4(x_2) & \chi_4(x_3) & \chi_4(x_4) & \chi_4(y_1) & \chi_4(y_2) & \chi_4(y_3) & \chi_4(y_4) \end{pmatrix}$$

Visible orbitals on visible coordinates

Visible orbitals on hidden coordinates

Hidden orbitals on visible coordinates

Hidden orbitals on hidden coordinates

J. R. Moreno, et al., PNAS 119 (32) e2122059119

NUCLEAR PHYSICS APPLICATIONS

We extend the reach of neural quantum states by computing the ground-state of ¹⁶O

In addition to its ground-state energy, we evaluate the point-nucleon density of ¹⁶O with A_h=16

AL, et al., Phys.Rev.Res. 4 (2022) 4, 043178

INFINITE PERIODIC SYSTEMS

- We extended our approach to periodic systems, such us liquid ⁴He and soft (gaussian) spheres
 - → Periodic ANN by construction: $\mathbf{r}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i = \left\{ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{r}_i\right), \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{r}_i\right) \right\}$
 - → Permutation invariant Deep-Sets ANN for computing bosons: $\Psi_V(R) = e^{\mathcal{U}(R)}$

DILUTE NEUTRON MATTER

We have introduced a periodic hidden-nucleons ansatz to model low-density neutron matter

The NQS ansatz converges to the unconstrained AFDMC energy, using a fraction of the computing time

- NQS: 100 hours on NVIDIA-A100
- AFDMC: 1.2 million hours on Intel-KNL

The hidden-nucleon ansatz captures the overwhelming majority of the correlation energy

B. Fore, J. Kim, AL, arXiv:2212.04436 [nucl-th]

DILUTE NEUTRON MAT[.]

Low-density neutron matter is characterized by far as the formation of Cooper pairs and the onset of

<u>ک</u> 0.6

0.4

0.2 ·

0.0

We have started using periodic-NQS to investigate properties of the two-components Fermi gas at unitarity and in the BCS- BEC crossover

• We model the 3D unpolarized gas of fermions with the Hamiltonian

 $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{ij} v_{ij}$

 As with the two-body force, we take the Pöschl-Teller potential

$$v_{ij} = (s_i \cdot s_j - 1) V_0 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\mu^2}{\cosh^2(\mu r_{ij})}$$

We consider periodic and NSQ ansätze of the general form

```
\Psi(X) = e^{J(X)} \Phi(X),
```

The antisymmetric part of the Slater-Jastrow (SJ) family of states can be written as

$$\Phi_{SJ}(X) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix} ; \qquad \phi_i^{PW}(\mathbf{x}_j) = e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_j} \langle \sigma_i | s_j \rangle ,$$

The nodal structure can be improved by means of general neural back-flow transformations

$$\mathbf{x}_i \longrightarrow \phi(\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{x}_{j \neq i})$$

Inspired by quantum Monte Carlo studies of dilute neutron matter, we introduce a neural Pfaffian-Jastrow ansatz

$$\Phi_{PJ}(X) = \operatorname{pf} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & 0 & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1) & \phi(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order for the above matrix to be skew-symmetric, the neural pairing orbitals are taken to be

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \eta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) - \eta(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i),$$

Example:
$$pf \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} & \phi_{14} \\ -\phi_{12} & 0 & \phi_{23} & \phi_{24} \\ -\phi_{13} & -\phi_{23} & 0 & \phi_{34} \\ -\phi_{14} & -\phi_{24} & -\phi_{34} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi_{12}\phi_{34} - \phi_{13}\phi_{24} + \phi_{14}\phi_{23}$$

We employ a permutation-invariant message-passing neural network to iteratively build correlations into new one-body and two-body features from the original "visible" features.

- Visible features
 - $\mathbf{v}_{i} = (s_{i}),$ $\mathbf{v}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \|\mathbf{r}_{ij}\|, s_{i}s_{j}),$
- Messages

$$\mathbf{m}_{ij}^{(t)} = \mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{h}_i^{(t-1)}, \ \mathbf{h}_j^{(t-1)}, \ \mathbf{h}_{ij}^{(t-1)})$$

• Iteration:

$$\mathbf{h}_{i}^{(t)} = [\mathbf{v}_{i}, \ \mathbf{F}_{t}(\mathbf{h}_{i}^{(t-1)}, \ \mathbf{m}_{i}^{(t)})], \\ \mathbf{h}_{ij}^{(t)} = [\mathbf{v}_{ij}, \ \mathbf{G}_{t}(\mathbf{h}_{ij}^{(t-1)}, \ \mathbf{m}_{ij}^{(t)})].$$

We benchmark the performances of the neural-network quantum states with DMC calculations

• Slater - Jastrow

$$e^{J(X)} \times \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Pfaffian - Jastrow

$$e^{J(X)} \times \operatorname{pf} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & 0 & \cdots & \phi(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1) & \phi(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We proved that neural-network quantum state can model the BCS - BEC crossover better than DMC

BACK TO NUCLEI, WITH MPNN

Even with just one hidden-nucleon we do better than AFDMC for medium-mass nuclei

CONCLUSIONS

Neural network quantum states are extending the reach of conventional QMC methods

- Favorable scaling with the number of fermions;
- Universal and accurate approximations for fermion wave functions;
- Suitable for confined and periodic systems;
- Scalable to leadership-class hybrid CPU/GPU computers

PERSPECTIVES

 NQS calculations of medium-mass stable and exotic nuclei relevant to FRIB and ATLAS experiments;

- High-precision electroweak transitions, including magnetic moments and beta-decay rates;
- Compute low-density isospin-asymmetric nucleonic matter: the flexibility of NQS will allow us to see self-emerging clustering in the low-density region;

PERSPECTIVES

• Access "real-time" dynamics: the prototypal exponentially-hard problem in many-body theory

$$\mathcal{D}\left(|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t+\delta t})\rangle, e^{-iHt}|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t})\right)^{2} = \arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t+\delta t})|e^{-iHt}|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t})\rangle\langle\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t})|e^{iHt}|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t+\delta t})\rangle}{\langle\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t+\delta t})|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t+\delta t})\rangle\langle\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{t})|\Psi(\mathbf{p}_{\tau+\delta t})\rangle}}\right)^{2}$$

• Relevant for: fusion, lepton-nucleus scattering, and collective neutrino oscillation;

THANK YOU