# Counter Monte Carlo George Iskander, Ralf Ehrlich

### Why MC?

- For best reconstruction, must maximize photon yield in counters
- Highly susceptible to counter geometry, choice of materials, material properties, etc.
- Creating all possible counter designs? Difficult
- Simulating? Easier

### Status of MC

- Iterating including more and more quantities relevant to simulation
- E.g. Mu2e polymer absorption length, TiO<sub>2</sub> reflectivity, POPOP emission spectrum

### MC Sims

- 48 physical configurations (not including beam distance)
- Using 120 GeV protons 1 m away from read out, shot from bottom to top, fiber radius of 0.7 mm
- Counter is 3 m long
- For this short talk, will focus mainly on Mu2e geometry





Left: One cunter used in Mu2e showing fibers Right: Full Mu2e counter assembly



Image: Plot from
Alan showing
reflectivity values
of different
materials as well
as POPOP emission
spectrum

# Mu2e Polymer, New $TiO_2$ Coating

PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with TiO<sub>2</sub> coating with measured reflectivities

PEs = 43



PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with coating of 98% reflectivity

PEs = 60

300

PEx

# Mu2e Polymer, Solaris

### Solaris?

- Fiber holes can be larger than fibers
- If fiber hole > fiber size then a choice
- Can fill hole with Solaris
   Solaris = pure, silicone rubber compound
- Can leave empty with vacuum

PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with TiO<sub>2</sub> coating with measured reflectivities

Fiber hole rad. = 0.9 mm, hole filled with air

PEs = 43





Position 1000mm (z), 0mm (y)

PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with with measured reflectivities

Fiber hole rad. = 0.7 mm, no hole

PEs = 79

# Now, with Solaris

PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with  $TiO_2$  coating with measured reflectivities

Fiber hole rad. = 0.9 mm, hole filled with air

 $\mathsf{PEs} = 43$ 





PEs histogram for Mu2e geometry with TiO<sub>2</sub> coating with measured reflectivities

Fiber hole rad. = 0.9 mm, hole filled with Solaris

PEs = 80

## Brief Overview of Other Configurations

#### Rectangle bar 5cm x 2cm, 2 fibers (1.3mm off-center) Current TiO2 reflectivity no filling in fiber channel(s) Counter length 3000mm Fiber radius 0.7mm, Fiber hole radius 0.9mm (x) 0.9mm (y)



Image: Histograms generated for one configuration of the mu2e geometry, including variations in beam location

Note: We read out fiber on left side (-13 mm), so PE drops the further from this location

### Different configurations

Results are consistent across geometries and beam location

Rectangle bar 5cm x 2cm, 1 fibers (at center) Current TiO2 reflectivity no filling in fiber channel(s) Counter length 3000mm Fiber radius 0.7mm, Fiber hole radius 0.9mm (x) 0.9mm (y)

#### Position 1000mm (z), 0mm (y) PEs (mpv): 49 Reflections at coating (avg): 6.8

Track length in scintillator (avg): 218mm

#### Position 1000mm (z), 3mm (y) PEs (mpv): 50

Reflections at coating (avg): 7.3

Track length in scintillator (avg): 237mm

### Position 1000mm (z), 6mm (y)

PEs (mpv): 47 Reflections at coating (avg): 7.9 Track length in scintillator (avg): 254mm





Image: Histograms generated for one configuration of 1 fiber rectangle bar geometry with 0.9 mm fiber hole: no Solaris, measured TiO2 reflectivities

Note: For this geometry, fiber is at 0 mm. Square bar 1cm x 1cm, 1 fibers (at center) Current TiO2 reflectivity no filling in fiber channel(s) Counter length 3000mm Fiber radius 0.7mm, Fiber hole radius 0.9mm (x) 0.9mm (y)

### Position 1000mm (z), 0mm (y) PEs (mpv): 70

Reflections at coating (avg): 9.7 Track length in scintillator (avg): 99mm

#### Position 1000mm (z), 3mm (y) PEs (mpv): 83 Reflections at coating (avg): 10.2

Track length in scintillator (avg): 104mm

### Position 1000mm (z), 6mm (y)

PEs (mpv): 0 Reflections at coating (avg): 0.1 Track length in scintillator (avg): 1mm Position 1000mm (z), 10mm (y) PEs (mpv): 0 Reflections at coating (avg): 0.0 Track length in scintillator (avg): 0mm



Image: Histograms generated for one configuration of 1 cm x 1 cm geometry with 0.9 mm fiber hole, no Solaris, measured TiO2 reflectivities Triangle bar 4cm (base) x 2cm (height), 1 fibers (at center) Current TiO2 reflectivity no filling in fiber channel(s) Counter length 3000mm Fiber radius 0.7mm, Fiber hole radius 0.9mm (x) 0.9mm (y)

#### Position 1000mm (z), 0mm (y) PEs (mpv): 74 Reflections at coating (avg): 8.7

Track length in scintillator (avg): 172mm

#### Position 1000mm (z), 3mm (y) PEs (mpv): 67 Reflections at coating (avg): 9.1

Track length in scintillator (avg): 180mm

Position 1000mm (z), 6mm (y)

PEs (mpv): 53 Reflections at coating (avg): 9.6 Track length in scintillator (avg): 190mm





Image: Histograms generated for one configuration of triangle geometry with 0.9 mm fiber hole, no Solaris, measured TiO2 reflectivities

Note: For this geometry, PEs drop b/c of triangle shape

### Next steps

- Changing beam location
- Testing different polymers (not just Mu2e)
- Testing with infinite absorption length to isolate impact of coating
- Testing bismuth-207 source: produces 1 MeV electrons