Electron scattering from ^{12}C in the Short-Time Approximation

NUINT 2024, São Paulo, Brazil

April 17, 2024

Quantum Monte Carlo Group @ WashU

Jason Bub (GS) Graham Chambers-Wall (GS) Garrett King (GS)

Lorenzo Andreoli (PD) Abraham Flores (PD) Sam Novario (PD)

Saori Pastore and Maria Piarulli

Lorenzo Andreoli

Washington University in St. Louis

Outline

- Ab initio description of nuclei:
  - Nuclear interaction
  - GS wave function
  - Electroweak interaction of leptons with nucleons and clusters of correlated nucleons
- Short-time approximation
- Results
- Conclusions and outlook

Electron-nucleus scattering

Theoretical understanding of nuclear effects is extremely important for neutrino experimental programs: oscillation experiments require accurate calculations of cross sections

Electron scattering can be used to test our nuclear model: (same nuclear effects, no need to reconstruct energies, abundant experimental data)





Lepton-nucleus cross sections $\omega \sim 10^2$ MeV

Many-body nuclear problem

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian in coordinate space: Argonne v_{18} + Urbana X

$$H = \sum_i T_i + \sum_{i<j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i<j<k} v_{ijk}$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_A, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_A, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_A)$$

ψ are complex spin-isospin vectors in 3A dimensions with components $2^A \times \frac{A!}{Z!(A-Z)!}$

$^4\mathrm{He}$: 96

$^6\mathrm{Li}$: 1280

$^8\mathrm{Li}$: 14336

$^{12}\mathrm{C}$: 540572

Many-body nuclear problem

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian in coordinate space: Argonne v_{18} + Urbana X

$$H = \sum_i T_i + \sum_{i<j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i<j<k} v_{ijk}$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_A, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_A, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_A)$$

ψ are complex spin-isospin vectors in 3A dimensions with components $2^A \times \frac{A!}{Z!(A-Z)!}$

http://exascaleage.org/np/

^{4}He: 96

^{6}Li: 1280

^{8}Li: 14336

^{12}C: 540572

Many-body nuclear problem

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian in coordinate space: Argonne v_{18} + Urbana X

$$H = \sum_i T_i + \sum_{i<j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i<j<k} v_{ijk}$$

Quantum Monte Carlo method:
Use nuclear wave functions that minimize the expectation value of E

$$E_V = \frac{\langle\psi|H|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle} \geq E_0$$

The evaluation is performed using Metropolis sampling

Nuclear Wave Functions

Variational wave function for nucleus in J state

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{S}\prod_{i<j}^{A}\left[1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j}^{A} U_{ijk}\right]\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]|\Phi(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

Two-body spin- and isospin-dependent correlations

$$U_{ij} = \sum_p f^p(r_{ij})\, O^p_{ij}$$

$$O^p_{ij} = [1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, S_{ij}] \otimes [1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j]$$

$$U_{ijk} = \epsilon v_{ijk}(\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij}, \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{jk}, \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ki})$$

The trial wave function can be improved by eliminating spurious contaminations via propagation in imaginary time (GFMC)

Nuclear Wave Functions

Variational wave function for nucleus in J state

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{S}\prod_{i<j}^{A}\left[1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j}^{A} U_{ijk}\right]\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]|\Phi(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

Two-body spin- and isospin-dependent correlations

$$U_{ij} = \sum_p f^p(r_{ij})\, O^p_{ij}$$

$$O^p_{ij} = [1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, S_{ij}] \otimes [1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j]$$

$$U_{ijk} = \epsilon v_{ijk}(\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij}, \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{jk}, \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ki})$$

The trial wave function can be improved by eliminating spurious contaminations via propagation in imaginary time (GFMC)

Nuclear Wave Functions

Variational wave function for nucleus in J state

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{S}\prod_{i<j}^{A}\left[1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j}^{A} U_{ijk}\right]\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]|\Phi(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

Two-body spin- and isospin-dependent correlations

$$U_{ij} = \sum_p f^p(r_{ij})\, O^p_{ij}$$

$$O^p_{ij} = [1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, S_{ij}] \otimes [1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j]$$

$$U_{ijk} = \epsilon v_{ijk}(\bar{r}_{ij}, \bar{r}_{jk}, \bar{r}_{ki})$$

The trial wave function can be improved by eliminating spurious contaminations via propagation in imaginary time (GFMC)

Nuclear Wave Functions

Variational wave function for nucleus in J state

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{S}\prod_{i<j}^{A}\left[1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j}^{A} U_{ijk}\right]\left[\prod_{i<j} f_c(r_{ij})\right]|\Phi(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

Two-body spin- and isospin-dependent correlations

$$U_{ij} = \sum_p f^p(r_{ij})\, O^p_{ij}$$

$$O^p_{ij} = [1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, S_{ij}] \otimes [1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j]$$

$$U_{ijk} = \epsilon v_{ijk}(\bar{r}_{ij}, \bar{r}_{jk}, \bar{r}_{ki})$$

The trial wave function can be improved by eliminating spurious contaminations via propagation in imaginary time (GFMC)

Lorenzo Andreoli

Many-body nuclear interaction

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian: Argonne v_{18} + Urbana X

$$H = \sum_i T_i + \sum_{i<j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i<j<k} v_{ijk}$$



Spectra of light nuclei

Piarulli et al. PRL120(2018)052503

Electromagnetic interactions

Phenomenological Hamiltonian for NN and NNN

The interaction with external probes is described in terms on one- and two-body charge and current operators



one-body



two-body

Charge operators

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \rho_i + \sum_{i<j} \rho_{ij} + \ldots$$

Current operators

$$\mathbf{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \mathbf{j}_i + \sum_{i<j} \mathbf{j}_{ij} + \ldots$$

Two-body currents are a manifestation of two-nucleon correlations

Electromagnetic interactions

- One body-currents: non-relativistic reduction of covariant nucleons' isoscalar and isovector currents
- Two-body currents: modeled on MEC currents constrained by commutation relation with the nuclear Hamiltonian (Pastore et al. PRC84(2011)024001, PRC87(2013)014006)
- Argonne v18 two-nucleon and Urbana potentials, together with these currents, provide a quantitatively successful description of many nuclear electroweak observables, including charge radii, electromagnetic moments and transition rates, charge and magnetic form factors of nuclei with up to A = 12 nucleons



Carlson, Schiavilla 1992. Marcucci et al. 2005

Lepton-Nucleus scattering: Inclusive Processes

Electromagnetic Nuclear Response Functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$$

Longitudinal response induced by the charge operator $O_L = \rho$
Transverse response induced by the current operator $O_T = j$

5 responses in neutrino-nucleus scattering

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega d\Omega} = \sigma_M [v_L R_L(\mathbf{q},\omega) + v_T R_T(\mathbf{q},\omega)]$$

One can exploit integral properties of the response functions to avoid explicit calculation of the final states: CC + Lorentz Integral Transform (see Joanna's talk), GFMC + Euclidean

Short-time approximation

S. Pastore, J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, R. Schiavilla, and R. B. Wiringa PRC101(2020)044612

Describe electroweak scattering from A>=12 without losing two-body physics, account for exclusive processes, Incorporate relativistic effects



Response functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$$

Short-time approximation

S. Pastore, J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, R. Schiavilla, and R. B. Wiringa PRC101(2020)044612

Describe electroweak scattering from A>=12 without losing two-body physics, account for exclusive processes, Incorporate relativistic effects



Response functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f)|\langle f|O_\alpha(\mathbf{q})|0\rangle|^2$$

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i(\omega+E_i)t} \left\langle \Psi_i \middle| O_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) e^{-iHt} O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) \middle| \Psi_i \right\rangle$$

The sum over all final states is replaced by a two nucleon propagator

Short-time approximation

S. Pastore, J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, R. Schiavilla, and R. B. Wiringa PRC101(2020)044612

Describe electroweak scattering from A>=12 without losing two-body physics, account for exclusive processes, Incorporate relativistic effects



Response functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$$

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i(\omega+E_i)t} \langle \Psi_i | O_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) e^{-iHt} O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | \Psi_i \rangle$$

The sum over all final states is replaced by a two nucleon propagator

$$\begin{aligned} O^\dagger e^{-iHt} O &= \left(\sum_i O_i^\dagger + \sum_{i<j} O_{ij}^\dagger \right) e^{-iHt} \left(\sum_{i'} O_{i'} + \sum_{i'<j'} O_{i'j'} \right) \\ &= \sum_i O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_i + \sum_{i \neq j} O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_j \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left(O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_{ij} + O_{ij}^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_i \right. \\ &\left. + O_{ij}^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_{ij} \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Short-time approximation

S. Pastore, J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, R. Schiavilla, and R. B. Wiringa PRC101(2020)044612

Describe electroweak scattering from A>=12 without losing two-body physics, account for exclusive processes, Incorporate relativistic effects



The sum over all final states is replaced by a two nucleon propagator

Response functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$$

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i(\omega+E_i)t} \langle \Psi_i | O_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) e^{-iHt} O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | \Psi_i \rangle$$

$$O^\dagger e^{-iHt} O = \left(\sum_i O_i^\dagger + \sum_{i<j} O_{ij}^\dagger \right) e^{-iHt} \left(\sum_{i'} O_{i'} + \sum_{i'<j'} O_{i'j'} \right)$$
$$= \sum_i O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_i + \sum_{i \neq j} O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_j$$
$$+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left(O_i^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_{ij} + O_{ij}^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_i \right.$$
$$\left. + O_{ij}^\dagger e^{-iHt} O_{ij} \right) + \ldots$$

Interference

Short-time approximation

S. Pastore, J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, R. Schiavilla, and R. B. Wiringa PRC101(2020)044612

Quasielastic scattering cross sections are expressed in terms of response function



Response functions

$$R_\alpha(q,\omega) = \sum_f \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$$

Response densities

$$R^{\mathrm{STA}}(q,\omega) \sim \int \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) de\, dE_{cm} \mathcal{D}(e, E_{cm}; q)$$

STA: scattering of external probes from pairs of correlated nucleons

Transverse response density



Electron scattering from ^4He in the STA:

- Provides "more" exclusive information in terms of nucleon-pair kinematics via the Response Densities as functions of (E,e)
- Give access to particular kinematics for the struck nucleon pair

Pastore et al. PRC101(2020)044612

Back-to-back kinematic



We can select a particular kinematic, and assess the contributions from different particle identities

Pastore et al. PRC101(2020)044612

Benchmark

L.A, J. Carlson, A. Lovato, S. Pastore, N. Rocco, RB Wiringa PRC105(2022)014002

- We benchmarked three different methods based on the same description of nuclear dynamics of the initial target state
- Compared to the experimental data for the longitudinal and transverse electromagnetic response functions of ^{3}He, and the inclusive cross sections of both ^{3}He and ^{3}H
- Comparing the results allows for a precise quantification of the uncertainties inherent to factorization schemes

Benchmark

L.A. et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Green's function Monte Carlo

$$|\Psi_0\rangle \propto \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \exp[-(H-E_0)\tau]|\Psi_T\rangle$$

$$E_\alpha(\mathbf{q},\tau) = \int_{\omega_{\rm th}}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-\omega\tau} R_\alpha(\mathbf{q},\omega), \quad \alpha = L, T$$

$$E_\alpha(\mathbf{q},\tau) = \left\langle \Psi_0 \middle| J_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) e^{-(H-E_0)\tau} J_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) \middle| \Psi_0 \right\rangle - |F_\alpha(\mathbf{q})|^2 e^{-\omega_{el}\tau}$$

Stort-time approximation

$$R_\alpha(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{i(\omega+E_0)t} \times \left\langle \Psi_0 \middle| J_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) \middle| \Psi_0 \right\rangle$$

$$J^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J = \sum_i J_i^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_i + \sum_{i\neq j} J_i^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_j + \sum_{i\neq j} \left(J_i^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_{ij} + J_{ij}^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_i + J_{ij}^\dagger \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_{ij} \right) + \cdots$$



Spectral function

$$|\Psi_f\rangle = |\mathbf{p}\rangle \otimes \left|\Psi_n^{A-1}\right\rangle$$

$$R_\alpha(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{\tau_k=p,n} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} dE [P_{\tau_k}(\mathbf{k},E) \times \frac{m_N^2}{e(\mathbf{k})e(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})} \sum_i \left\langle k \middle| j_{i,\alpha}^\dagger \middle| k+q \right\rangle \langle p|j_{i,\alpha}|k\rangle \times \delta(\tilde{\omega} + e(\mathbf{k}) - e(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}))]$$

Benchmark

Longitudinal and transverse response function in ^{3}He



L.A. et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Benchmark

Longitudinal and transverse response function in ^{3}He



L.A. et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Cross sections

^3H



L.A. et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Lorenzo Andreoli

Cross sections

^{3}H



L.A. et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Relativistic corrections

Necessary to include relativistic correction at higher momentum q.

We are currently working on including relativistic corrections within the STA formalism:

R. Weiss, J. Carlson (LANL)

- Relativistic kinematic: allowed by STA factorization scheme
- Relativistic currents: expansion for a large value of the momentum transfer **q**

Responses for ^{12}C

Longitudinal and transverse response for **300 < q < 800 MeV**:



Back-to-back kinematic





We can select a particular kinematic, and assess the contributions from different particle identities

Two-body contributions

Transverse response density at q=570 MeV:



Cross sections results for ^{12}C



Lorenzo Andreoli

Cross sections results for ^{12}C



Preliminary

Cross sections results for ^{12}C

$E_e = 0.62$ GeV, θ=36°



$E_e = 1.108$ GeV, θ=37.5°



GENIE validation using e-scattering



- STA responses used to build the cross sections
- Cross sections are used to generate events in GENIE
- Electromagnetic processes (for which data are available) are used to validate the generator
- Next step: use response densities

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega d\Omega} = \sigma_M \left[v_L R_L(\mathbf{q},\omega) + v_T R_T(\mathbf{q},\omega) \right]$$

Barrow, Gardiner, Pastore, Betancourt et al. PRD 103 (2021) 5, 052001

GENIE HadronTensorModelI Class: https://internal.dunescience.org/doxygen/classgenie_1_1HadronTensorModelI.html

EW interactions:

- The current work on EM interactions allows for a thorough evaluation of the method, and a comparison with the abundant experimental data for electron-nucleus scattering

- **G. King**: neutral weak currents quasi-elastic responses evaluated for ^{2}H



Conclusion:

- The STA responses for ^{12}C are in good agreement with the data, and are accurate up to moderate values of q (and consequently to moderate values of incoming electron beam for cross sections calculations)

- It can describe electroweak scattering from A >= 12 without losing two-body physics, and is exportable to other QMC methods to address larger nuclei, e.g. AFDMC
- Incorporate relativistic effects, pion production
- Use of information from response densities in event generators: collaboration with GENIE Monte Carlo event generator (S. Gardiner, J. Barrow)

Collaborators:

G. King, S. Pastore, M. Piarulli

R. Weiss, J. Carlson

J. Barrow, M. Betancourt, S. Gardiner

Computational Resources awarded by the DOE ALCC, INCITE, SciDAC, NERSC

Thank you!

Washington University in St. Louis

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

McDONNELL CENTER FOR THE SPACE SCIENCES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY INCITE LEADERSHIP COMPUTING

Fermilab

URA