

Ab Initio Approach

NuSTEC 2024 School, São Paulo, Brazil

April 11, 2024 Lorenzo Andreoli

Quantum Monte Carlo Group - Washington University in St Louis

Jason Bub (GS) Graham Chambers-Wall (GS) Garrett King (GS) Lorenzo Andreoli (PD) Abraham Flores (PD) Sam Novario (PD) Anna McCoy (ANL visiting researcher) Saori Pastore and Maria Piarulli



Electron-Nucleus Scattering Cross Section



Energy and momentum transferred (ω ,q)

Current and planned experimental programs rely on theoretical calculations at different kinematics

Outline

Ab initio description of nuclei:

- Many-body nuclear problem
- Nuclear interaction
- Quantum Monte Carlo methods
- Many-body currents

Lepton nucleus scattering:

- Inclusive processes
- Beyond inclusive: Short-Time approximation

From Quarks to Nuclei

- Nuclei are complex systems made of interacting protons and neutrons, which in turns are composite objects made of interacting constituent quarks
- All fundamental forces are at play in nuclei
- EFTs low-energy approximations of QCD whose d.o.f. are bound states of QCD (e.g., protons, neutrons, pions, ...); used to construct many-nucleon interactions and currents
- Accurate inputs at the single- and few-nucleon level are required (e.g., from LQCD)



Microscopic (or ab initio) Description of Nuclei

Comprehensive theory that describes quantitatively and predictably nuclear structure and reactions

Requirements:

- Accurate understanding of the interactions/correlations between nucleons in **paris**, **triplets**, ... (two- and three-nucleon forces)
- Accurate understanding of the electroweak interactions of external probes (electrons, neutrinos, photons) with nucleons, correlated nucleon-pairs, ... (one- and two-body electroweak currents)
- **Computational methods** to solve the many-body nuclear problem of strongly interacting particles



Erwin Schrödinger

 $H\Psi = E\Psi$

Many-body Nuclear Problem

Nuclear Many-body Hamiltonian (in coordinate space)

$$H = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,...,\mathbf{r}_A,\mathbf{s}_1,\mathbf{s}_2,...,\mathbf{s}_A,\mathbf{t}_1,\mathbf{t}_2,...,\mathbf{t}_A)$$



 Ψ are complex spin-isospin vectors in 3A dimensions with components $2^A \times \frac{A}{Z!(A-T)}$

$$A \times \frac{A!}{Z!(A-Z)!}$$

Develop Computational Methods to solve (numerically) exactly or within approximations that are under control the many-body nuclear problem ⁴He : 96 ⁶Li : 1280 ⁸Li : 14336 ¹²C : 540572

Current Status



Many-body Nuclear Interactions

Many-body Nuclear Hamiltonian

$$H = T + V = \sum_{i=1}^{A} t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \dots$$

 v_{ij} and V_{ijk} are two- and three-nucleon operators based on experimental data fitting; fitted parameters subsume underlying QCD dynamics



Contact term: short-range Two-pion range: intermediate-range $r\propto (2\,m_\pi)^{-1}$ One-pion range: long-range $r\propto m_\pi^{-1}$





Hideki Yukawa

AV18+UIX; AV18+IL7 Wiringa, Schiavilla, Pieper *et al.*

chiral πNΔ N3LO+N2LO Piarulli *et al.* Norfolk Models

Nucleon-Nucleon Potential



Quantum Monte Carlo Methods

Stochastic methods that allow to solve the Schrödinger equation with controlled error

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

Toy example:

$$I = \int_{\Omega} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) d\overline{\mathbf{x}} \qquad \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_N \in \Omega$$

then



And the error on the estimate decreases as

Quantum Monte Carlo Methods

Minimize the expectation value of the nuclear Hamiltonian: $H = T + v_{ij} + V_{ijk}$

$$E_V = \frac{\langle \Psi_V | H | \Psi_V \rangle}{\langle \Psi_V | \Psi_V \rangle} \ge E_0$$

using a trial wave function for a nucleus in J state:

$$|\Psi_V\rangle = \left[\mathcal{S}\prod_{i< j} (1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j} U_{ijk})\right] \left[\prod_{i< j} f_c(r_{ij})\right] |\Phi_A(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

Quantum Monte Carlo Methods

m

$$|\Psi_V\rangle = \left[\mathcal{S}\prod_{i< j} (1 + U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j} U_{ijk})\right] \left[\prod_{i< j} f_c(r_{ij})\right] |\Phi_A(JMTT_3)\rangle$$

$$U_{ij} = \sum_p f^p(r_{ij}) \, O^p_{ij}$$

$$O_{ij}^p = [1, oldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot oldsymbol{\sigma}_j, S_{ij}] \otimes [1, oldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_j]$$

$$U_{ijk} = \epsilon v_{ijk}(ar{r}_{ij},ar{r}_{jk},ar{r}_{ki})$$

Quantum Monte Carlo Methods $|\Psi_V\rangle = \left[S\prod_{i< j} (1+U_{ij} + \sum_{k\neq i,j} U_{ijk})\right] \left[\prod_{i< j} f_c(r_{ij})\right] |\Phi_A(JMTT_3)\rangle$

Further improve the trial wave function by eliminating spurious contaminations via a Green's Function Monte Carlo propagation in ⁻²⁴ imaginary time

$$\Psi(\tau) = \exp[-(H - E_0)\tau]\Psi_V = \sum_n \exp[-(E_n - E_0)\tau]a_n\psi_n$$
$$\Psi(\tau \to \infty) = a_0\psi_0$$

Carlson, Wiringa, Pieper et al.



Energies



Piarulli et al. PRL120(2018)052503

Neutrino cross section anatomy



Quasi-elastic: dominated by single-nucleon knockout

Resonance: excitation to nucleonic resonant states which decay into mesons

Deep-inelastic scattering: where the neutrino resolves the nucleonic quark content

Each of these regimes requires knowledge of both the **nuclear ground state** and the **electroweak coupling** and **propagation of the struck nucleons, hadrons, or partons**

A challenge for achieving precise neutrino-nucleus cross-section is **reliably bridging the transition regions which use different degrees of freedom**

Many-body Nuclear Electroweak Currents



Nuclear Charge Operator

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \rho_i + \sum_{i < j} \rho_{ij} + \dots$$

Nuclear (Vector) Current Operator

Two-body currents are a manifestation of two-nucleon
$$\mathbf{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mathbf{j}_i + \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{j}_{ij} + \dots$$
 correlations

- Electromagnetic two-body currents are required to satisfy current conservation
- Currents: phenomenological, or derived within Chiral Effective Field Theory

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{j} = [H, \rho] = [t_i + v_{ij} + V_{ijk}, \rho]$$

Lepton-Nucleus scattering: Inclusive Processes

Electromagnetic Nuclear Response Functions

$$R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \delta\left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) |\langle f|O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q})|0\rangle|^2$$

Longitudinal response induced by the charge operator $O_L = \rho$ Transverse response induced by the current operator $O_T = j$ 5 Responses in neutrino-nucleus scattering

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d \,\omega d \,\Omega} = \sigma_M \, \left[v_L \, R_L(\mathbf{q}, \omega) + v_T \, R_T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \right]$$



Inclusive Cross Sections with Integral Transforms $R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \delta\left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) |\langle f | O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2$

Exploit integral properties of the response functions and closure to avoid **explicit calculation of the final states** (Lorentz Integral Transform **LIT**, **Euclidean**, ...)





Sobczyk et al, PRL127 (2021)

Lovato et al. PRX10 (2020)

Lepton-Nucleus scattering: Data

5

Transverse Sum Rule

 $S_T(q) \propto \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j} | 0 \rangle \propto \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j}_{1b} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \mathbf{j}_{2b} | 0 \rangle + \dots$



Observed transverse enhancement explained by the combined effect of two-body correlations and currents in the interference term

$$\langle \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \ \mathbf{j}_{1b} \rangle > 0$$

Leading one-body term

$$\langle \mathbf{j}_{1b}^{\dagger} \; \mathbf{j}_{2b} \; v_{\pi} \rangle \propto \langle v_{\pi}^2 \rangle > 0$$

Interference term



Transverse/Longitudinal Sum Rule Carlson *et al.* PRC65(2002)024002

Beyond Inclusive: Short-Time-Approximation

Short-Time-Approximation Goals:

- Describe electroweak scattering from A
 > 12 without losing two-body physics
- Account for exclusive processes
- Incorporate relativistic effects



Subedi et al. Science320(2008)1475



Stanford Lab article



Short-Time-Approximation

Short-Time-Approximation:

- Based on Factorization
- Retains two-body physics
- Correctly accounts for interference



$$R(q,\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{i(\boldsymbol{\omega}+E_0)t} \,\langle 0|O^{\dagger}\,\mathrm{e}^{-iHt}\,O|0\rangle$$

$$O_i^{\dagger} e^{-iHt} O_i + O_i^{\dagger} e^{-iHt} O_j + O_i^{\dagger} e^{-iHt} O_{ij} + O_{ij}^{\dagger} e^{-iHt} O_{ij}$$

$$H \sim \sum_i t_i + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij}$$

Short-Time-Approximation

Short-Time-Approximation:

- Based on Factorization
- Retains two-body physics
- Response functions are given by the scattering from pairs of fully interacting nucleons that propagate into a correlated pair of nucleons
- Allows to retain both two-body correlations and currents at the vertex
- Provides "more" exclusive information in terms of nucleon-pair kinematics via the Response Densities

Response Functions ∞ Cross Sections

$$R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \delta\left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) \left|\langle f | O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle\right|^2$$

Response *Densities*

$$R(q,\omega) \sim \int \delta \left(\omega + E_0 - E_f\right) dP' dp' \mathcal{D}(p',P';q)$$

P' and *p*' are the CM and relative momenta of the struck nucleon pair

Transverse Response Density: *e*-⁴He scattering

Transverse Density q = 500 MeV/c



Pastore et al. PRC101(2020)044612

e-⁴He scattering in the back-to-back kinematic



GFMC SF STA: Benchmark & error estimate

Green's function Monte Carlo

 $|\Psi_0
angle \propto \lim_{ au
ightarrow \infty} \exp[-(H-E_0) au]|\Psi_T
angle$

$$E_lpha({f q}, au)=\int_{\omega_{
m th}}^\infty d\omega e^{-\omega au}R_lpha({f q},\omega), \quad lpha=L,T$$

$$E_lpha({f q}, au) = \Big\langle \Psi_0 \Big| J^\dagger_lpha({f q}) e^{-(H-E_0) au} J_lpha({f q}) \Big| \Psi_0 \Big
angle
onumber \ - |F_lpha({f q})|^2 e^{-\omega_{el} au}$$

Stort-time approximation

$$egin{aligned} R_lpha(\mathbf{q},\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty rac{dt}{2\pi} \mathrm{e}^{i(\omega+E_0)t} \ & imes ig\langle \Psi_0 ig| J^\dagger_lpha(\mathbf{q}) \mathrm{e}^{-iHt} J_lpha(\mathbf{q}) ig| \Psi_0 ig
angle \end{aligned}$$

$$J^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J = \sum_{i} J_{i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} J_{i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J_{j}$$
$$+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left(J_{i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J_{ij} + J_{ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J_{i} + J_{ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}^{-iHt} J_{ij} \right) + \cdots$$

Spectral function

$$\ket{\Psi_f} = \ket{\mathbf{p}} \otimes \left| \Psi_n^{A-1}
ight
angle$$

$$egin{aligned} R_lpha(\mathbf{q},\omega) &= \sum_{ au_k=p,n} \int rac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} dE[P_{ au_k}(\mathbf{k},E) \ & imes rac{m_N^2}{e(\mathbf{k})e(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})} \sum_i \Bigl\langle kig| j_{i,lpha}^\dagger ig| k+q \Bigl
angle \langle p | j_{i,lpha} | k
angle \ & imes \delta(ilde{\omega}+e(\mathbf{k})-e(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}))] \end{aligned}$$

See

LA et al. PRC105(2022)014002

GFMC SF STA: Benchmark & error estimate



LA et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Importance of relativistic corrections



LA et al. PRC105(2022)014002

Response Densities in heavier systems: ¹²C



$$R_lpha(q,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty rac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i(\omega+E_i)t} ig\langle \Psi_i ig| O^\dagger_lpha({f q}) e^{-iHt} O_lpha({f q}) ig| \Psi_i ig
angle \qquad imes$$

Response Densities in heavier systems: ¹²C



LA et al. in preparation

STA for ¹²C: cross sections



LA et al. in preparation

EW interactions

- Calculations of EM interactions allows for a thorough evaluation of our methods and a comparison with the abundant experimental data for electron-nucleus scattering
- **G. King:** neutral weak currents quasi-elastic responses evaluated for 2H



• Event generator are necessary: interface between ab initio nuclear calculations and EG

GENIE validation using e-scattering

Z = 2, A = 4, Beam Energy = 0.64 GeV, Angle = $60^{\circ} \pm 0.25^{\circ}$



- STA responses used to build the cross sections
- Cross sections are used to generate events in GENIE
- Electromagnetic processes (for which data are available) are used to validate the generator

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d \,\omega d \,\Omega} = \sigma_M \left[v_L \, R_L(\mathbf{q}, \omega) + v_T \, R_T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \right]$$

Barrow, Gardiner, SP *et al.* PRD 103 (2021) 5, 052001 GENIE HadronTensorModell Class

Conclusions

- Ab initio calculations of light nuclei yield a picture of nuclear structure and dynamics where many-body effects play an essential role in explaining available data
- Nuclear theory input will be fundamental for the success of the neutrino experimental program
- Factorization schemes like the STA can extend the reach of ab initio calculations to heavier nuclei (for example using Auxiliary Field Diffusion Monte Carlo, based on imaginary time propagation)