Assessing MAGIS capabilities to measure the properties of GW signals from compact binaries

210X.XXXXX w/ Zach Bogorad & Peter W Graham

Sebastian Baum

Stanford University

What is special about AI GW detectors?

- Single baseline
	- ⇒ different antenna functions
- Two timescales (atom free-fall time, laser travel time) \Rightarrow different shape of the sensitivity curve
- Terrestrial or mid-Earth orbit

⇒ detector re-orientation on hour-timescales

- Small ratio of GW frequency⁻¹/GW wavelength to effective "baseline"
	- ⇒ excellent sky localization of sources

Quick reminder of sky-localization capabilities

Three options:

- High signal-to-noise ratio measurement of the waveform in many spatial directions (very hard)
- Time-of-flight between multiple detectors
- Accelerated motion of detector wrt the GW source

Quick reminder of sky-localization capabilities

Three options:

- High signal-to-noise ratio measurement of the waveform in many spatial directions (very hard)
- Time-of-flight between multiple detectors
- Accelerated motion of detector wrt the GW source

Ultimately, these both lead to the diffraction limit:

$$
\sigma_{\text{V}\Omega} \boldsymbol{\propto} \lambda_{\text{GW}} / L_{\text{baseline}}
$$

Quick reminder of sky-localization capabilities

Three options:

- High signal-to-noise ratio measurement of the waveform in many spatial directions (very hard)
- Time-of-flight between multiple detectors
- Accelerated motion of detector wrt the GW source

Ultimately, these both lead to the diffraction limit:

$$
\sigma_{\rm v\Omega}\propto \lambda_{\rm GW}\,/\,L_{\rm baseline}
$$

$$
\sigma_{\Omega}^{\text{LIGO}} \sim 10^{\circ} \left(\frac{1 \text{ kHz}}{f_{\text{GW}}} \right) \; ; \quad \sigma_{\Omega}^{\text{AI}} \sim 0.3^{\circ} \left(\frac{1 \text{ Hz}}{f_{\text{GW}}} \right) \; ; \quad \sigma_{\Omega}^{\text{LISA}} \sim 30^{\circ} \left(\frac{10 \text{ mHz}}{f_{\text{GW}}} \right)
$$

Leading-order inspiral waveforms (pol. basis)

 $\frac{df_{\rm GW}}{dt} = \frac{96}{5} \pi^{8/3} \mathcal{M}_c f_{\rm GW}^{11/3}$ Frequency evolution ("chirp")

 $\mathcal{M}_c = \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/3}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}}$ with the (detector frame) "chirp mass"

Polarization basis waveforms: $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{h}}}(t) = h_+(t)\underline{\underline{\mathbf{e}}}_+ + h_\times(t)\underline{\underline{\mathbf{e}}}_\times$

$$
h_{+/\times}(t) = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_c^{5/3} \left[\pi f_{\rm GW}(t)\right]^{2/3}}{d_L} \left\{ \left[1 + \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)^2\right] \cos \Phi_{\rm GW}(t) \right\}
$$

$$
\cos t \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{L}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \right\}
$$

Leading-order inspiral waveforms (antenna func.)

• The response of a single-baseline detector is then

$$
h(t) = \hat{\bm{l}}(t)\underline{\bm{h}}(t)\hat{\bm{l}}(t) = F_{+}(t)h_{+}(t) + F_{\times}(t)h_{\times}(t)
$$

$$
F_{+/\times}(t) = \hat{\bm{l}}(t) \cdot \underline{\bm{e}}_{+/\times} \cdot \hat{\bm{l}}(t)
$$

Parameters describing the binary

Overview of the code

Fisher-matrix approach to forecast parameter reconstruction

- Computationally "cheap"
- Only reliable in high signal-to-noise ratio limit

• The detector records a time-domain signal: $h(t)$

- The detector records a time-domain signal: $h(t)$
- Fourier-transform into the frequency domain,

$$
\widetilde{h}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{2\pi i f t} h(t)
$$

- $h(t)$ The detector records a time-domain signal:
- Fourier-transform into the frequency domain,

$$
\widetilde{h}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{2\pi i f t} h(t)
$$

Define the inner product: $\langle h, g \rangle = 4 \operatorname{Re} \left| \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} df \frac{\widetilde{h}^*(f) \widetilde{g}(f)}{S_n(f)} \right|$

one-sided detector noise PSD

- The detector records a time-domain signal: $h(t)$
- Fourier-transform into the frequency domain,

$$
\widetilde{h}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{2\pi i f t} h(t)
$$

- Define the inner product: $\langle h, g \rangle = 4 \operatorname{Re} \left| \int \inf \frac{\widetilde{h}^*(f) \widetilde{g}(f)}{S_n(f)} \right|$
- Signal-to-noise ratio and Fisher information matrix

Overview of the code

Fisher-matrix approach to forecast parameter reconstruction

- Computationally "cheap"
- Only reliable in high signal-to-noise ratio limit $\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \Gamma_{ij}^{-1}$

Overview of the code

Fisher-matrix approach to forecast parameter reconstruction

- Computationally "cheap"
- Only reliable in high signal-to-noise ratio limit $\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \Gamma_{ij}^{-1}$
- Calculate (3.5/3.0 PN) waveforms in time-domain (good for accelerated det., but computationally expensive)
- FFT to frequency domain (requires choosing window functions... current implementation uses Planck window)
- Some subtleties due to the accelerated detector frame (what do we mean by "detector frame" masses? What is the clock? …)
- Include priors on periodic parameters $(\alpha, \delta, \iota, \psi)$

Detector benchmarks

● (Network of) terrestrial MAGIS-1 km detectors

Detector benchmarks

(Network of) terrestrial MAGIS-1 km detectors

- MAGIS-space in mid-Earth orbit
	- \circ 20,000 km radius / 7.8 h period orbit
	- 3,600 km separation between the satellites
	- \circ Consider orbits inclined $\{0^\circ, 45^\circ, 90^\circ\}$ to ecliptic
	- \circ For comparison, study also heliocentric orbits at $\{0.5, 1, 2\}$ AU

Results: SNR in $\mathcal{M}_c - d_L$ plane

Heuristics of parameter reconstruction

Results: $\sigma(d_{L})$ in $\mathcal{M}_{c} - d_{L}$ plane

Results: $\sigma(\sqrt{\Omega_n})$ in $\mathcal{M}_c - d_L$ plane

Space detector. SNR in skymap

Space detector. SNR in skymap

Space detectors. SNR vs $\sigma(d_{L})$ in skymap

Space detectors. SNR vs $\sigma(\sqrt{\Omega_n})$ in skymap Parallel to ecliptic 45° to ecliptic 90° to ecliptic $\mathcal{M}_c = 25.0 \, M_\odot, d_L = 900 \, \text{Mpc}$ $\mathcal{M}_c = 25.0 \, M_\odot, d_L = 900 \, \text{Mpc}$ $\mathcal{M}_c = 25.0 \, M_\odot, d_L = 900 \, \text{Mpc}$ α ≤ 15 17 19 21 $\frac{1}{23}$ ≥ 25 SNR, ρ δ α $\frac{1}{10}$ 0.1 < 0.01 >100

 $\sqrt{\sigma_{\Omega_n}}$ [deg]

Space detectors. SNR vs $\sigma(d_{_L})$ in skymap

Space detectors. SNR vs $\sigma(\sqrt{\Omega_n})$ in skymap

Space detector - the role of Earth

Space-detector. SNR in L-space

Space-detector. $\sigma(d_{L})$ in L-space

Space-detector. $\sigma(\sqrt{\Omega_n})$ in L-space

Summary and comments

- Flexible code allows one to explore the capabilities of MAGIS to reconstruct the parameters of GW signals, i.e. to better understand the science case of MAGIS
- Evaluate possible sites for terrestrial MAGIS / different orbits for MAGIS-space
- The mid-band offers unique possibilities for the sky-localization of GW sources
	- Allows for an early warning system for electromagnetic follow-up!
- The mid-band is quite poor at measuring the luminosity distance
	- Combine with terrestrial laser-interferometers?

ICRS: Heliocentric equatorial coordinate system

