

EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE



"Field emission experience with ESS elliptical cryomodule in TS2"

On behalf of ESS SRF team

PRESENTED BY CECILIA MAIANO

2023-12-05 TTC

Agenda



- 1 Introduction on cryomodules measurement flow at Lund Test Stand
 - FE equipment
- 2 Cryomodules field emission operation experience
 - Analysis tools
 - Measurements results
 - Comparison between CEA Montecarlo simulation and measurements
 - MC prediction of outcome + MC explaining outcome

Cryomodules Measurements flow at ESS TS2





ELL CM: ESS Test Stand

The workflow is split in phases

- CM lifecycle is intensively documented
- => Cryomodule Assembly from (INFN/STFC) CEA
- => Cryomodule testing phase (Mec/Vac/Cryo/SRF)

(this includes FE reports)



ess

Phase Areas То From CM-IRA Cryomodule reception G02-CXL 1 Cryomodule preparation CM-IRA 2 3 Cryomodule installation CM-IRA Bunker Cryomodule Warm Validation 4 5 Cryomodule Cold Validation TS2 Bunker 6 Cryomodule Warm-up Cryomodule Disconnection CM-IRA Bunker 8 Cryomodule Preparation for Dispatch CM-IRA G02-CXL G02-CXL 9 Cryomodule Dispatch HLB Hall or Storage



=> **RP surveys:**

Table 1. Phases of the CM Workflow

- Daily during HB cryomodule operation 3-7
- Before moving modules from Supervised Area (max 3 uSv/h) to storage white area (< 0.5 uSv/h) 8

Equipment description

Nal(tl) detectors with Pb shielding (AMPTEK Gammarad5 76x76mm)

https://www.amptek.com/-/media/ametekamptek/documents/resources/products/user-manuals/gammarad5-usermanual-b0.pdf?la=en&revision=afb7309f-7ab0-4490-8e10-db88





Cryomodules field emission experience





Acquisition and Analysis tool

0

ata from: 2023-11-17T09:29:02.146857

2000

4000

- Nal(TI) integrated in EPICS
- Tools with python scripts



8000

2029-119% [key] b2-xRS-Data.hdf5

6000

10000 12000 14000

✓ Use Energy Calibration ✓ LogPlot ✓ Background peaks — Activation Peaks

Real Time:62019.4 Slope:1.867313915857605

FEManager.p

Slow peaking time:10.0 Slow threshold:0.0

activation

A=[1.75],B=[-42.70], E=A#+B

FE as limiting mechanism – at high fileds - (1)



Ex: high beta cryomodule cm37, cav2 conditioning (PI 500 us, open loop)



FE as limiting mechanism (2)



Ex: high beta cryomodule cm37, cav2 conditioning (PI 500 us, open loop)



FE fed by coupler MP: HV suppression





2023-12-05 FE EXPERIENCE AT ESS/C.MAIANO



Ongoing activity to discriminate MP arcing from radiation scintillation (lambda)



Montecarlo simulation CEA predicts outcome All cavities at 20 MV/m

CM is **asymmetric** : the FPC e- has to be accelerated by the cavity on its right first

Cavity FE in general low in comparison to FPC induced radiation (checked with time domain measurements)

- Cavity within Pforward pulse
- FPC radiation mainly in TW at cavity discharge

TS2-CM33_36 Valid for CM37



The dots are either **gammas** or **electron** interactions in the cryomodule, so see electrons and radiation spreads all along the beam line can be seen. On the right also radiation in the lead shield of RAD1 and the table its installed on





Vertical Test vs Cryomodule test



CM	Cav	FE	FE	FE	FE		СМ	Cavity	VT field	C	M
		energy	energy	during	during		from emission			field emission	
		det. 1	det. 2	VT	CM		1 to 4 [MV/m]			[MV/m]	
		[MeV]	[MeV]							Detector1	Detector2
2	M007			V	V	-	4	M014	From 17	From 17	From 17
3	IVI007	4	3	I	I				to 19	to 18	to 18
	M018	4	4	Y	Y			M016	none	none	none
	M020	3	4	N	Y	7		M030	none	From 15	From 15
	M011	5	5	V	V					to 19	to 19
		5	5		1			M031	From 15	From 15	From 15
4	M014	4	3	Y	Y				to 23	to 18	to 18
	M016	none	none	N	N		5	M023	From 12	From 10.5	From 12
	M030	N/A	N/A	Ň	N	1		1025	to 18	to 14.5	to 17.5
	M031	3.2	5.8	Y	Y			M025	at 19	From 15	From 15
	10001	5.2	5.0	1	1			16000		to 17	to 17
5	M023	6	6	Y	Y			M029	none	none	none
	M025	<4	6	Y	Y			M034	none	From 15	From 15
	M029	none	none	N	N	1				10 18	10 18
	M034	6	6	N	Y		Qua	litative	check: a	ffected by	y different
		-		-		-	geo	meτry (etticienc	y) and sei	isitivity

FE in VT && CM

Concern: HB produce neutrons



E=934.0 keV,Counts=32509

Furoped

Spallation Source ERIC

CM31 at TS2, Nb activation by Field emission from cavities



Will it harm operation?

Severe radiation background already affects cavity protection diagnostics (fibers for arc detectors), how do we differentiate from beam losses? Activity with BI to mitigate by filtering background

HB testing shows much more radiation than MB



Joint work with RP to document TS2 rad measurements during RF excitation

Scintillators - Energy Spectrum (dN/dE) count rate



Warm coupler conditioning

Evidence of no radiation => licensing aspects (SSM)

CAVITY 1 1.

Coupler trends

Duration 11

Start	2023-06-14 10:00
End	2023-06-14 16:09
Duration	06:09 h
Events	Some barriers approximately at the same power level (300 kW). Vacuum, arcs and
	EPU activity correlation observed.

1.2. Trends during the conditioning process

Coupler Conditioning History Cavity 1 Cavity 2 Cavity 3 Cavity 4 Selected C1 System 2, first digitizer, LLRF1 Coupler 1 Conditionin 11:28, 336 kV 14-03, 318 kW 16:09.291

4.4. Radio Protection data taken during warm couplers conditioning

Data taken with Pandora detector, which belongs to RP, are shown in

background data (acquired on a Sunday before and after warm couple measurements data (with RF on) are reported: they show no activity a

4.4.1. Background measurement

4.4.2. Couplers

N Pulsed-Redietic

Coupler radiation data

Data (RP Pandora system) taken during couplers conditioning are shown below.



Activity started with scintillators data and landed with radioprotection certified Pandora data

Figure 2 Background Data: RP data (Pandora system) taken on a Sunday after CM32 couplers have been warm conditioned. Nothing above background detected.



18

rm coupler conditioning required 16 h and 05 m of uninterrupted RF operation.

Thanks

Aknowledgments

* ESS: TS2 SRF, IFJ, CRYO, VAC, RP teams

* CEA in-kinds: Guillaume Devanz, Enrico Cenni, Olivier Piquet

2023-12-05 TTC

