

# Gamma diagnostics for SRF cavities and cryomodules

E. Cenni

On behalf of:

G. Devanz, O. Piquet, M. Baudrier, L. Maurice (DACM/LISAH), Paris-Saclay University









- ESS cryomodule and cavities specification in CEA
- Motivation
- Diagnostic development
  - Some results and simulations
- Outlook



### **ESS CM and cavities parameters**

	MB	HB			
β	0.67	0.86			
Cell number	6	5			
Eacc (MV/m)	16.7 <b>+ 10%</b>	19.9 <b>+ 10%</b>			
Qo	> 5 10 <sup>9</sup>				
Rep. rate (Hz)	14				
RF pulse length (ms)	3.2 <mark>3.6</mark>				
italics = CM test values at Saclay					



# **Motivation and background**



- One of the main causes for the degradation of superconducting cavity quality factor and machine final performance
- Field emission:
- Mostly originates from "dust" particle contamination
  It can be enhanced by gas contamination (HC adsorption)



Clean room	Clean room Diagnostics	
Cavity preparationClean environment is mandatory topreserve the cavity package highperformance.Improvement inmanipulation,pumping/ventingprocedures and automation can bevaluable for high performance and massproduction.	X-ray detection X-ray pattern emerging from the cryomodule is an effective method to diagnose field emission and evaluate recovery or mitigation methods.	Surface treatment Develop treatments capable to recover cavities performance or mitigate detrimental effects in the most cost effective way.

#### Accelerator R&D Roadmap (European Strategy for Particle Physics )

Field emission will become even more relevant for future high gradient machine









### $\gamma$ –Diagnostic system for high performance cavities and cryomodule

- > We are interested in versatile and large-area coverage detectors:
  - Plastic scintillators can be shaped in different forms
  - Reasonably cheap with respect to the area coverage
  - Largely used in particle physics (e.g. Sci-Fi Tracker in LHCb)
- We started by testing a plastic block (10x50x1500mm) and fibers (Ø1x1500mm) as a proof of concept
- We are developing dedicated Geant4 applications for cryomodule and cavity testing allowing us to optimize detectors with respect to the radiation emerging from the cavities







Base plastic is Polyvinyl toluene (PVT)



ESS cryomodule installed in the test stand at Saclay

Scintillator block installed on ESS cryomodule during power test in Saclay, close to a NaI(Tl) scintillator.

- Detectors are at room temperature (easy to install and change configuration)
- Possibility to study field emission radiation pulse by pulse, with time resolution within the pulse



### **Detector development (***generation***)**

Generation	Set up	Time resolution	Pros/Cons
Ι	Photomultiplier + LPS	~10 µs	Implementation/ "slow"
II	Photomultiplier + fast amplifier	~1ns	Response speed / cost per detector, read out speed (scope)
III	MPPC* + dedicated readout	~1ns	Cheaper cost per detector, Fast acquisition/analysis / need dedicated ASIC

We have collected data for Gen. I at CEA and ESS, Gen. II is ongoing, we have some preliminary data from ESS (TS2), Gen. III is under development (tested in mid-2024)

### $\gamma$ –Diagnostic system for high performance cavities and cryomodule



Proof of concept during ESS cryomodule test in CEA and Lund



CAV1 excited with **nominal pulse**, the maximum Eacc is about <u>21.2MV/m</u> (black), radiation detected by block at GM1 position, close to cavity (red), radiation detected by block (green) and from fiber (blue). *Right: zoomed and normalized view of the same pulse where it is possible to appreciate closely the change in the radiation amplitude due to Lorentz force detuning.* 

#### Time-resolved radiation detection pulse by pulse



- ✓ 2D axial symmetry dedicated particle tracking code
- Customizable particle-matter interaction application
   7

#### Particle tracking code



**Single emitter** trajectories calculation with <u>one cavity</u> <u>powered</u> (CAV4) while the adjacent is off (CAV3). Trajectory colours are determined with respect to the electrons kinetic energy. All the impact on the beam tubes and adjacent cavity have energies between 12 and 15MeV.

### $\gamma$ -Diagnostic system for high performance cavities and cryomodule



CAV1 excited with **nominal pulse**, the maximum Eacc is about <u>21.2MV/m</u> (black), radiation detected by block at GM1 position, close to cavity (red), radiation detected by block at GM6 position (green) and from fiber (blue). Right: zoomed and normalized view of the same pulse where it is possible to appreciate closely the change in the radiation amplitude due to Lorentz force detuning.



#### Radiation is clearly detected during cavity pulse









# Time-resolved radiation measurements (*details*)

### More details within the pulse structure

Bock 63-200 Fibre 62-206 Eacc Pfwd Block Block Fibres **Fibres** radiation from the cavity radiation from the cavity follows Eacc variations follows Eacc variations More on this in the next slides radiation spike during cavity decay: radiation spike at the end of filling time : coincides with e- detection coincides with e<sup>-</sup> detection in the coupler in the coupler, while

Using the plastic scintillators with PMTs (Gen I)

crossing a MP band

## Test Stand 2 @ESS





### Saclay Gen II detector



"First time we can compare data from different detectors generation"

# **FPC electron emission**











#### Dashed line= cavity field as reference

"thanks to 10µs time resolution, we can distinguish between FE and FPC electron emission"

### Data taken during CM36 test @ESS (light pulse count wrt time)





### **Light pulse amplitude wrt Eacc**



- \* We are in a "small detector" approximation, only primary interactions are responsible for energy deposit (scheme below)
- X More \*statistic is needed, to estimate the full-energy peak
- X Calibration with known gamma sources is planned

\*more detectors/coverage and more acquisition time



### Outlook

Cez

Along with current data acquisition at ESS (TS2), we are developing a detection system based on Saclay\_gen III to be equipped in our vertical cryostat.



Please check the talk and paper at SRF2023 for more details doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2023-FRIBA02





# Thank you for your attention

# A big thank you to all the TS2 team at ESS







### **Back up slides**

# **Case 2: FPC electron emission (CEA TEST)**



CAV4 excited with **500µs square pulse**, the maximum Eacc is about <u>20MV/m</u> (black). It is possible to appreciate the **electron current detected by the pick up in the fundamental power coupler** (**gold**) and the radiation detected by the plastic scintillator at the cryomodule ends, block at GM1 position (red) block at GM6 position (green) and fiber (blue).

"thanks to 10µs time resolution, we are able to distinguish between FE and FPC electron emission"

FPC4 low energy electrons (here 100 eV ~ threshold SEY>1 ) are captured by the cavity field, generate secondary tracks

Optical photons detected with incident gamma from 0.1 to 1 MeV (top to bottom)









Figure 3.36: Dependencies of mean number of produced photons per MIP (a) and ratio of photons reaching one fibre end  $\varepsilon$  (b) on distance |y| of the MIP's trajectory from fibre axis. The dashed line in (a) shows a trend proportional to the MIP's path length in the fibre core. Values in (b) are obtained at three distances x of the excitation from the fibre end.

# **Scintillation process**



Fig. 2.1 a—Simple molecular orbital representation of singlet and triplet excited states; b— Triplet–triplet annihilation (TTA), and c—Energy level diagram illustrating basic processes leading to the formation of the prompt and delayed light emission



Parameters	Block	Fibers	PM
Rise time [ns]	0.9	1.0	0.57
Decay time [ns]	2.1	3.3	/
Att. Length [m]	3.8	4.0	/

# Why investigate radiation induced by FE

Many projects/machines report concerns about FE and degradation with beam operation

Within projects with many contributors, comparison between radiation measurements on a given cavity

- at different test facilities
- at different stages of testing (VT, CM test bunker)

is not straightforward, unless they have the exact same setup

Need for quantitative measurements of the radiation source(s) especially in the development phase of prototypes, to qualify preparation and assembly tooling and procedures

Characterization has more value (emitter(s) position and electronic current) but is probably very challenging

A combination of dedicated instrumentation and simulation models can improve the situation

# **Some options for radiation measurement**

#### Area monitors:

- Our area monitors measure H\*(10) equivalent dose rate
- GM tubes
  - are not calibrated above ~1.3 MeV
  - saturate earlier than spec when radiation is pulsed (dead time)
- · ionization chambers are more suited
- neutron detector (rem type,...)

cannot be placed close to the cavity, the environment is always interfering. usable in a cryomodule test environment as long as a set of reproducible placements is defined and applied

#### Scintillator based detectors:

Scintillating medium coupled to a photodetector

- Inorganic scintillators are widely used i.e. Nal (spectrometry)
- plastic (PS, PVT,...) is a good candidate (low cost, any shape)
  - in the form of fibres, provide the transport of the scintillation photons
  - fast scintillators : extra functionnality based on coincidence can be added