

# **Tracking Detector Challenges**

# **Observations "based" on lessons learned, perhaps the hard way.**



Direct Experience

Friendly Spies, Talks, Rumors...beware!

# P. "Cassandra" Collins, ICHEP 2002



### Silicon for tracking: Large Systems











P. Collins "Future Detector Systems" ICHEP 2002

14

**January 10, 2013** 

# Fortunately, not true

### Momentum Resolution













**January 10, 2013** 

**CPAD Workshop - Tracking Detectors S Nahn** 



**January 10, 2013** 

CPAD Workshop - Tracking Detectors S Nahn

### **Overall, Excellent Performance**

- Running Trackers
  - Operational fraction (100- $\varepsilon_{dead}$ )  $\varepsilon_{dead}$  ~ 2-5%
  - Track and Vertex efficiencies (100- $\varepsilon_{lost}$ )  $\varepsilon_{lost}$  ~ 0.1-0.5%
  - Momentum Resolution sub-percent level
  - No (publicized) catastrophes
- Retired Trackers
  - Fully functional for 5× expected lifetime in both years and dose (!?!)
- "Challenges" = what are the current/recent *common* threads between the various detectors

# Potential Challenges (one possible, and possibly incomplete factorization)

**From Physics** 

#### **Signal to Noise**

- Gets all the focus
- Physics dependence?

### **Multiple Scattering**

• Thinner = better, but constraints vs infrastructure

### **Spatial resolution/Alignment**

Push beyond ~ few μm?

### **Radiation Damage**

- When do things "die"
- Acute damage!

### • From Operations

### **Bandwidth/Occupancy**

- Balance of efficiency and deadtime
- Implication on Pattern reco too

#### **Robustness**

**Redundancy, Efficiency, Resiliency, Rapid Recovery** 

### **Power and DAQ paths**

Meet specs w/minimal complexity, cost

### **Cooling/Environment**

Avoid melting, condensation ...

Be a good neighbor

# Biggest Bugaboo: Dull, drab infrastructure

- Temperature and Humidity Control
  - CDF: ISL Blocked lines, Added "baggy" late in game
  - CMS: Leaks in Cooling Plant, Adding "baggy" ...
- Physical Connections
  - CDF: Delicate electrical connections, Bad Crimping
  - CMS: Bad Crimping
  - ATLAS: Similar concerns with inner connections, cabling (A. Grillo, private communication)
- Power supply systems, monitoring
  - Treated as a necessary evil
- Majority of operations and planning today involve refurbishing the "boring" things

**January 10, 2013** 

7

# Fixing without access

- Detector is unreachable
- Emphasis put on redundancy paying off
  - Remotely configured detours for commands, data
    Lacking in Tevatron experiments (at least CDF)
- Much work on "curing symptoms" externally

   CMS, CDF making firmware/hardware robust
   against corruption, excessive occupancy
  - Everyone fast recovery time for intermittent problems

# Wishlist: Realistic conditions

- Ingredients missing during Integration Tests
  - Interfaces: eg. Trigger, Event Building systems
    - Limits capability, Hides protocol misunderstandings
  - Statistics: Slice tests rely on homogeneity
    - Misses "worst offenders" which hold up DAQ
  - Rate: Cosmics don't come at 20 MHz
    - Need to test at rates commensurate with ASIC timescales
  - Environment: No B field, No Beam, No other detectors
- LHC vs. TeV: "Incident" silver lining
  - Extra time in situ to suss out problems

# Resiliency

- Strive to make sure nothing goes wrong, what happens when it does?
  - Out of Spec by  $2\sigma$  (Voltages, Clocks, Optical levels)
  - Cooling/Gas flow not adequate

**ATLAS: VCSEL Tx, CiS Sensors** 

- **CDF COT:** Wire Aging
- How long will the detector last?
  - Chronic radiation damage well scrutinized
    - Monitoring archive needs to be reliable
  - What about acute radiation?
    - Dump a bunch of charge in your detector, what happens?

**January 10, 2013** 

**CPAD Workshop - Tracking Detectors S Nahn** 

# Person power!

- LHC Exodus to analysis with the arrival of data
   Secondary exodus → Upgrades with detector running
- Few practice fields
  - 100% operational excludes development
  - "If it ain't broke, don't fix it" limits time for learning
    - No "playing" with precious detectors
- How to better recognize "hardware" contributions
   Often contrasted with "Physics"

# **Conclusions**?

- State of the current/recent detectors is pretty good
  Producing the physics they were designed for
  - Little disparity between expectation and performance
- Challenges are dealing with the residual sources of inefficiency
  - Redressing mundane or marginal issues
  - Lessons for how to improve next time
- Is there something CPAD can do to help this? Detector Integration center? Promotion of Instrumentation Importance
   ...<your answer here>