# Rare Neutrino Interactions in MicroBooNE

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

V

Christopher Thorpe (Formerly of Lancaster University) MANCHESTER 1824

**HBOONE** 

The University of Manchester

### **The Importance of Cross Sections**



Figure from Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 10, 978.

- Neutrino oscillation ↔ the observed flavour content of a neutrino beam changes with distance from the source.
- So we just measure the flux....?

### **The Importance of Cross Sections**



Figure from *Nature* 599, 565–570 (2021).

- This is not straightforward:
  - No monoenergetic beams.
  - Can't observe the neutrino
     energy directly, estimate from
     other quantities.

 In principle, if a neutrino interacts with a single, stationary nucleon, the neutrino energy may be calculated from the kinematics of the final state.



 However, the nucleon isn't stationary, it lives inside a nucleus, and has some initial momentum.



• Furthermore, the neutrino can interact with multiple nucleons, and

additional processes can occur inside the nucleus.



- All of these effects modify the relationship between the neutrino energy and observable quantities, often in very complex ways.
- You detector can't always see all final state particles either!



Cross sections are the bridge between

neutrino energy and observable quantities!





Figures from <u>Phys. Rev. D 103, L011101</u> and <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 24, 241801</u>.



**Observable Quantities** 

Cross sections are the bridge between

neutrino energy and observable quantities!





Our understanding of cross sections is far from complete!

Figures from <u>Phys. Rev. D 103, L011101</u> and <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 24, 241801</u>.



**Observable Quantities** 

### **MicroBooNE's Cross Section Program**

#### **CC** inclusive

- 1D v<sub>μ</sub> CC inclusive @ BNB
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 131801 (2019)
- 1D v<sub>μ</sub> CC E<sub>ν</sub> @ BNB
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 151801 (2022)
- 3D CC E<sub>v</sub> @ BNB arXiv:2307.06413, submitted to PRL
- 1D v<sub>e</sub> CC inclusive @ NuMI
   <u>Phys. Rev. D105, L051102 (2022)</u>
   <u>Phys. Rev. D104, 052002 (2021)</u>

#### **Pion production**

• v<sub>μ</sub>NCπ<sup>0</sup> @ BNB <u>Phys. Rev. D 107, 012004 (2023)</u>

#### СС0π

- 1D v<sub>e</sub> CCNp0π @ BNB
   <u>Phys. Rev. D 106, L051102 (2022)</u>
- 1D & 2D  $v_{\mu}$  CC1p0 $\pi$  Transverse Imbalance @ BNB Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 101802 (2023)
  - Phys. Rev. D 108, 053002 (2023)
- 1D & 2D  $v_{\mu}$  CC1p0 $\pi$  Generalized Imbalance @ BNB arXiv:2310.06082, submitted to PRD
- 1D v<sub>µ</sub> CC1p0π @ BNB
   <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 201803 (2020)</u>
- 1D v<sub>µ</sub> CC2p @ BNB <u>arXiv:2211.03734</u>
- 1D v<sub>µ</sub> CCNp0π @ BNB
   Phys. Rev. D102, 112013 (2020)

### **Rare Event Searches**

- The topics of this talk are two recent measurements that exploit MicroBooNE's large dataset:
- 1.  $\eta$  meson production.
- **2.** Λ baryon production.

Look out for the logos!



### **Λ Production - Why?**

#### **Unique Nuclear Effects!**



Figures from Phys.Rev.C 104 (2021) 3, 035502

### **Hyperons**

The Λ<sup>0</sup> baryon is a hyperon - a baryon containing a strange quark\*.



\*Sometimes this term means multiply strange baryons and charmed baryons. Here we just care about the  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  baryons.

Λ

### **Hyperons**

- The Λ<sup>0</sup> baryon is a hyperon a baryon containing a strange quark\*.
- The lightest hyperons are the spin  $\frac{1}{2} \Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  baryons, with

masses around 1.1-1.2 GeV.



\*Sometimes this term means multiply strange baryons and charmed baryons. Here we just care about the  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  baryons.

.....

### **CCQE-like Hyperon Production**

- Cabibbo suppressed counterpart of CCQE.
- Hyperons are heavier than nucleons.
- Only available to anti-neutrinos.

• All make this a rare interaction!





### **CCQE-like Hyperon Production**

- Cross sections of  $\Sigma$  production channels are predicted to be very



Measure multiple channels - disambiguate FSI from other effects!

### **The Hyperon Puzzle**

- Creation of hyperons in dense neutron stars not well understood.
- Long range interactions between hyperons and nucleons are a factor - we can study these through hyperon production in neutrino scattering.

Figure from EPJ Web Conf .271(2022), p. 09001.



Mass limits of neutron stars, with and without including hyperons in the EOS. Conflict with observation (horizontal line).

### **CCQE-like A Baryon Production - Measurements**

 Only a handful of low-statistics bubble chamber measurements, all several decades old at this point.







### **η** Meson Production - Why?

## Neutrino-nucleon cross section physics!



#### Super-K PRD 96, 012003 $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+} \pi^{0}$ $\Delta O$ 0 $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$ $\Diamond$ $\triangle O$ \* $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e^+} \eta$ $\Diamond$ $\land \circ$ \* $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \eta$ $\Diamond \land \bigcirc$ $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+} \rho^{0}$ SK I-IV $\land$ $\land$ \* SK I-II $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mu^+ \rho^0$ $\wedge \star$ $\Diamond$ OIMB-3 ∧ Kam-I+II $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+} \omega$ $\wedge$ ()♦ FREJUS $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mu^+ \omega$ $\Diamond$ $\Delta O$ $\Diamond \square$ $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^+ \pi^-$ \* $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^ \Diamond$ $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{e^+} \rho^ \Diamond/\bigstar$ $\bigcirc$ $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mu^+ \rho^ \wedge \star$ 0 LILL 10<sup>32</sup> 10<sup>33</sup> 10<sup>31</sup> 10<sup>34</sup> 10<sup>35</sup> Lifetime limit (years)

#### **Proton decay!**



Super-K Limits

### **η** Mesons and Resonance Structure

The most common resonance in neutrino interactions is the Δ
 (1232).

 Creation of higher resonances above this in neutrino interactions very poorly understood.



### **η** Mesons and Resonance Structure

 Creation of higher resonances above this in neutrino

interactions very poorly understood.

η cannot be produced in Δ
 (1232) decays, equip us with
 less ambiguous handle to study
 higher resonances.



### $\pmb{\eta}$ Production Measurements





### **So Can We Measure Them?**



### **The Micro-Booster-Neutrino-Experiment**



### **The Micro-Booster-Neutrino-Experiment**



Alas, the bison pen does not fit onto this slide...

### **The Micro-Booster-Neutrino-Experiment**



Alas, the bison pen does not fit onto this slide...

### **The Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber**



### **The LArTPC - Tracking**



+ 2 other images from different angles

### **LArTPC - Calorimetry**

 Measure intensity of energy loss in the detector - main ingredient in particle ID.



Figure from <u>Phys. Rev. D 102, 112013</u>.

### **MicroBooNE Reconstruction**

 For both analyses, we employ the <u>Pandora reconstruction framework</u>.

• This classifies reconstructed particles as either tracks or showers:

Track-like	Shower-like
ρ, $μ$ , π <sup>±</sup> , K <sup>±</sup>	<b>e</b> , γ



Figure from Phys. Rev. D 99, 092001.

### **The Beams**

Figure from <u>arXiv:1503.01520</u>.



### **The Beams**

#### The BNB:

On-axis. Neutrino mode only.



### NuMI:

Off-axis. Mixture of both modes.



### **The Beams**

MicroBooNE's off axis location significantly enhances wrong sign component.

#### The BNB:

On-axis.

Neutrino mode only.



NuMI:

Off-axis.

Mixture of both modes.



Figure source.

### Analysis #1 - Single Λ Production

- CCQE-like hyperon production is anti-neutrinos only - use data taken with NuMI flux.
- Single ∧ with no other strange final state particles:

$$ar{
u}_{\mu} + \mathrm{Ar} 
ightarrow \mu^+ + \Lambda + X$$

• Search for dominant decay mode,  $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^{-}$ .



### Analysis #1 - Single Λ Event Selection

- Rare process search lots of background to contend with.
- Prior to selection, expected signal of 34 events, BG of 1.6M events.
- Three main elements to the selection: topological

finding.

cuts,kinematic cuts and island



### **A Selection - Topological Criteria**


#### **A Selection - Kinematic Criteria**



## **A Selection - Kinematic Cuts**

• Estimate momenta of proton and pion from their range.



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

### **A Selection - Kinematic Cuts**



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

• Convert wire responses from each plane into a 2D histogram:



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

.. 4

x axis is the wire number, y axis is drift time.

• Remove all cells with less than a given amount of activity.

From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.



x axis is the wire number, y axis is drift time.

.. -

....

- Project the start points of the 3D reconstructed tracks back into 2D.
- Identify the contiguous regions activity they belong to.



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

x axis is the wire number, y axis is drift time.

- Project the start points of the 3D reconstructed tracks back into 2D.
- Identify the contiguous regions activity they belong to.



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

x axis is the wire number, y axis is drift time.



x axis is the wire number, y axis is drift time.

From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

.....

## **A Selection - Background Sources**

• After applying the event selection, we obtain the following predictions for the data from our simulation:

Category	Predicted Events
Signal	2.5
Other A	0.7
Other hyperons	1.0
Neutrons	0.3
Mis-reconstruction	0.9

• Final purity is approximately 50%. Background reduction of ~ 10<sup>6</sup>.

....

# Analysis #2 - η Meson Production

Selection inclusively targets
 CC and NC interactions:

 $\nu + \mathrm{Ar} \rightarrow \eta + X$ 

• Only restriction on other FS particles is there must be no  $\pi^0$ .

• Search for dominant decay mode,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  (BF = 40%).



Figure from <u>arXiv:2305.16249</u>.

## **η** Selection - Topological Criteria

Figure from <u>arXiv:2305.16249</u>.



#### **η** Selection - Kinematics

Figure from <u>arXiv:2305.16249</u>.



### **η** Selection - Kinematics

Blue curve - expectation for  $\eta$  decays.

Black curve expectation for π<sup>0</sup> decays.





Red points - reco  $\eta$  decays.

Tiles - reco  $\pi^0$  decays.

### **η** Selection - Kinematics

Blue curve - expectation for  $\eta$  decays.

Black curve expectation for π<sup>0</sup> decays.





Red points - reco  $\eta$  decays.

Tiles - reco  $\pi^0$  decays.

After cutting events with diphoton mass < 250 MeV.

## **Selected Backgrounds**

• After applying the event selection, we obtain the following predictions for the data from our simulation:

Category	Prediction Events
Signal	56.1
Other $\eta$	0.8
1 π <sup>0</sup>	13.2
2 π <sup>0</sup>	24.6
Other v Interaction	6.8
Other	11.0

• Final purity is approximately 50%.



### **Selected Backgrounds**

....

Category	Prediction
Signal	2.5
Other A	0.7
Other hyperons	1.0
Neutrons	0.3
Mis-reconstruction	0.9

Mis-reconstruction background really problematic. Solution explained in a moment.



Category	Prediction
Signal	56.1
Other $\eta$	0.8
1 π <sup>0</sup>	13.2
2 π <sup>0</sup>	24.6
Other v Interaction	6.8
Other	11.0

Pion backgrounds addressed through constraint.

## **Systematics**

- In most MicroBooNE cross section analyses we consider five main sources of systematic uncertainty:
- 1. Neutrino flux.
- 2. Cross sections of background neutrino interactions.
- 3. Secondary interactions of hadrons outside the nucleus.
- 4. Detector response modelling.
- 5. Background from neutrino interactions outside the cryostat ("dirt" backgrounds) + **other analysis specific uncertainties**.

## **n** Production - Background Constraint

350 MicroBooNE 6.79 ×10<sup>20</sup> POT MicroBooNE 6.79 ×10<sup>20</sup> POT  $1 \pi^{0}$  $2 \pi^{0}$ 300  $2 \pi^{0}$  $1 \pi^{0}$ Entries / 10.00 MeV 1200 Totol MeV 1200 Totol MeV Cosmics Cosmics out of FV out of FV **BNB** Data v other v other **BNB** Data 10 50 2.0 1.50 data / brediction 1.25 1.00 0.75 data / prediction 1.2 0.2 0.2 1.25 0.50 0.0 300 400 500 200 600 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 0 100 700  $M_{\gamma\gamma}$  [MeV]  $M_{\gamma\gamma}$  [MeV]

Single n<sup>0</sup>



**2+** п<sup>0</sup>

Reduce background uncertainty by leveraging two sidebands.

## **η** Production - Background Constraint

Obtain sideband-constrained background prediction and uncertainty with:

$$\begin{split} N_{\mathrm{M}C}^{\mathrm{S},constrained} &= N_{\mathrm{M}C}^{\mathrm{S}} + \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{corr}}}{\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}} \times \left(N_{\mathrm{data}}^{\mathrm{B}} - N_{\mathrm{M}C}^{\mathrm{B}}\right),\\ \left(\sigma^{\mathrm{S},\ \mathrm{constrained}}\right)^{2} &= \left(\sigma^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{2} - \frac{\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{corr}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}} \end{split}$$

- Reduce uncertainty in π<sup>0</sup> induced background by around a quarter.
- Overall uncertainty reduced form 48% to 37%.

- Events contributing to mis-reconstruction background are extremely rare\*, and there is no practical way to manufacture enough.
- This causes problems when trying to evaluate related systematic uncertainties, especially those related to the detector response.

- Two approaches explored to resolve this:
  - Visual inspection of event displays.
  - Invert some cuts to produce a sideband, use this to generate a data driven constraint on the size of this background.

\*We have 9 MC events across all of our samples, only a subset of which can be used to evaluate detector response systematics.

- Events contributing to mis-reconstruction background are extremely rare\*, and there is no practical way to manufacture enough.
- This causes problems when trying to evaluate related systematic uncertainties, especially those related to the detector response.

- Two approaches explored to resolve this:
  - Visual inspection of event displays.
  - Invert some cuts to produce a sideband, use this to generate a data driven constraint on the size of this background. In backup slides!

\*We have 9 MC events across all of our samples, only a subset of which can be used to evaluate detector response systematics.

Avoid the need for systematic

uncertainties on mis-reconstruction

background by removing from the data entirely through visual scanning (aka. hand scanning).

 Used blinded study with five different scanners to evaluate background rejection, efficiency, and reliability of this technique.





Ask the scanners to corroborate information from all three planes

- Obtain corrected predictions after incorporating results of visual scan.
- Spread in scan results included as an additional systematic\*.

Category	Prediction	Prediction after VS
Signal	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$2.3 \pm 0.6$
Other A	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$
Other hyperons	1.0 ± 0.5	$0.7 \pm 0.5$
Neutrons	0.3 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1
Mis-reconstruction	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	0.1 ± 0.1

....

### **Cross Section Extraction**

• Both analyses present their result in the form of a flux integrated total cross section, related to the measured data event count by:



### **Uncertainties**

- All of the quantities in this calculation have uncertainties.
- The  $\eta$  analysis can assume roughly Gaussian statistics, for the  $\Lambda$

measurement the process is a little more unorthodox.



## **A Statistical Uncertainties**

• To capture the entire shape of the statistical uncertainties, we employ **Bayesian posterior probability distributions**.

 $(B|B_{\rm MC})$  $P(N|N_{\rm obs})$  $P(\epsilon | \epsilon_{\rm MC})$ 

...

### **A MC Statistics**

 If a Beta distribution is used as a prior of ε, it can be shown that the posterior distribution of ε for an ensemble of weighted binomial trials is also a Beta distribution:

$$P(\epsilon | \epsilon_{\rm MC}) \sim {\rm Beta}(\epsilon; a, b)$$

- Same treatment to obtain the posterior of the selected background.
- Both use uniform priors.

.....

### **A Data Statistics**

- The number of data events we see in the signal region N<sub>obs</sub>, is a single observation of a Poissonian random variable.
- This variable has a true mean N.

• The Bayesian posterior probability this true mean value is N is:

$$P(N|N_{obs}) \sim Poiss(N_{obs}; N)P(N)$$

P(N) = Bayesian prior = uniform distribution over [0,20] events.

## **A Cross Section Extraction - Systematics**

- Calculate the covariance matrix of the selection efficiency  $\epsilon$ , integrated muon anti-neutrino flux  $\Phi$ , and selected background B.
- Construct 3D Gaussian distribution:

$$P(\alpha_{\epsilon}, \alpha_{\Phi}, \alpha_{B}) \sim \operatorname{Gaus}(\alpha_{\epsilon}, \alpha_{\Phi}, \alpha_{B}; \operatorname{Cov}(\epsilon, \Phi, B))$$

• Gives the probability of  $\epsilon$ ,  $\Phi$ , and B deviating away from their default values by  $\alpha_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\alpha_{\Phi}$ , and  $\alpha_{B}$ .

.....

## **A Cross Section Extraction - Putting it Together**

- Construct pseudo-experiments:
  - Sample a values of  $\epsilon$ , B and N from their distributions.
  - Sample values of  $\alpha_{e}$ ,  $\alpha_{\phi}$ , and  $\alpha_{B}$  from their joint distribution.
- Calculate the cross section with:

$$\sigma_* = rac{N - (B + lpha_B)}{(\Phi + lpha_\Phi)(\epsilon + lpha_\epsilon)\Gamma T}$$
  
 $\Gamma = 0.64 = \mathrm{BF}(\Lambda o \mathrm{p} + \mathrm{n}^-)$ 



Repeat, and plot the distribution of the values. This is the Bayesian posterior on  $\sigma$ .

#### We Found Five Λ Candidates!

From supplemental material of <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802</u>.





## **A Measured Cross Section**

- Final cross section
  presented as a Bayesian
  posterior distribution.
- Measured cross section:

$$\sigma_R = 2.0^{+2.2}_{-1.7} \times 10^{-40} \ \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{Ar}$$

 Consistent with generator predictions (for now).



Figure from Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

### **η** Measured Cross Section





- After unblinding we selected 93 η meson candidates! Predicted background was 55.4 events.
- Final measured cross section:

 $3.22 \pm 0.84$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.86$  (syst.)  $10^{-41} \text{cm}^2/\text{nucleon}$ 

### **Invariant Mass Spectra**

• A nice check is the look at the invariant masses of our selected  $\Lambda$  and  $\eta$  candidates.



Lots of  $\Lambda$ s around the true mass!

## **η** Hadronic Invariant Mass



Superimpose invariant mass spectra of hadronic final states from  $\eta$  selection and  $\pi^0$  selection.

 Two distinct peaks! - first at about 1.2 GeV (around the Δ resonance), the second at 1.5 GeV (the N(1535) resonance).


- MicroBooNE has the largest neutrino-Ar scattering dataset yet recorded.
- We've successfully exploited this to start measuring rare neutrino interaction cross sections.
- Λ analysis first of its type in over 30 years, and the first ever with fully automated reconstruction/selection.
- First ever measurement of  $\eta$  production on argon!

#### Outlook

- These analysis only utilise a portion of MicroBooNE's dataset.
- Expect fourfold increase in statistics with the full dataset in the  $\Lambda$  analysis.
- $\eta$  analysis will have twice the statistics with the full dataset.

• All of the analysis techniques described here will translate into other SBN experiments and DUNE, which will obtain far larger datasets.

#### **Other Rare Event Searches**

#### MICROBOONE-NOTE-1071-PUB



y shower 7 cm

Charged kaons! (Two ongoing analyses)



#### **Further Reading**

- Our Λ production paper: <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 23, 231802</u>.
- Much more detailed description of Λ measurement: <u>C Thorpe PhD</u> <u>Thesis (Lancaster University)</u>.
- Theory comparisons to our measurement: <u>arXiv:2305.17004</u>.

- Preprint on our  $\eta$  production measurement: <u>arXiv:2305.16249</u>.
- Theory predictions for  $\eta$  production: <u>Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 5</u>, <u>053009</u>.



# **Backup Slides**

#### MicroBooNE's BSM Program

#### **Published Results**

- Long-lived Heavy Neutral Leptons and Higgs Portal Scalars <u>Phys. Rev. D 106 9, 092006 (2022)</u>.
- Higgs Portal Scalar Decaying to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> <u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 15, 151803 (2021)</u>.

#### Submitted

- Heavy Neutral Leptons in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> and π<sup>0</sup> Final States arxiv:2310.07660.
- Neutron-antineutron Oscillation <u>arxiv:2308.03924</u>.

#### Ongoing

- Higgs Portal Scalars in NuMI.
- Dark Tridents.
- Millicharged Particles.
- Heavy Axions.

#### **Electron/Photon Discrimination**

Study the dE/dx profile near the start of the shower.

 Photon pair produces - two electrons depositing energy at the start of the shower → dE/dx 2x larger than that of an electron.



## **A Partial Phase Space Definition**



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

- MicroBooNE is insensitive to protons and charged pion of momenta < 0.3 GeV and < 0.1 GeV respectively.
- Calculate what fraction of Λ baryons that will produce decay products above those thresholds.

#### **A Partial Phase Space Definition**



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

$$\begin{split} f(p_{\Lambda}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A > B \\ \frac{B-A}{2} & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ A &= \max\left(\frac{\sqrt{M_p^2 + |p_p^{\text{thresh}}|^2} - \gamma E_p}{\beta \gamma p}, -1\right), \\ B &= \min\left(\frac{-\sqrt{M_\pi^2 + |p_\pi^{\text{thresh}}|^2} + \gamma E_\pi}{\beta \gamma p}, 1\right), \\ E_p &= \sqrt{M_p^2 + p^2}, \\ E_\pi &= \sqrt{M_\pi^2 + p^2}. \end{split}$$

#### **A Sideband Constraint**

• Invert cuts on invariant mass and angular deviation. Split data into

#### two regions. Rescale pale-pink prediction.



#### **A Sideband Constraint**

• Get the MC prediction for sideband bin b, rescale the mis-reco component by k:

 $R_b(k) = S_b + B_b^{\text{Hyperon}} + B_b^{\text{Neutron}} + B_b^{\text{Out-of-cryo}} + B_b^{\text{Cosmic}} + kB_b^{\text{Mis-reco}}$ 

• Minimise  $\chi^2$  score. Use data/MC statistical uncertainties only:

$$\chi^2(k) = \sum_{b=1,2} \frac{(R_b(k) - D_b)^2}{\sigma_b^2},$$

- Fitted value of k used to correct mis-reco prediction in signal region.
- Systematics: fit k for each universe. Correct the CV prediction in the signal region to obtain alt universe prediction.

#### **A Sideband Constraint - Result**

• Final cross section result:

$$\sigma_*^{\rm SC} = 1.8^{+2.0}_{-1.6} \times 10^{-40} \ {\rm cm}^2/{\rm Ar}$$

 Consistent with the value obtained through the

visual scan method.



From supplemental material of Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 231802.

## **Proton/MIP Discrimination**

- MIPs = minimally ionising particles = muons and charged pions.
- Compare dE/dx profiles along tracks, with corrections for non-isotropy of detector.
- Calculate likelihood ratio:

LLR PID = 
$$\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan\left(\ln \frac{\mathcal{L}\left(\mu | dE/dx, r, \theta\right)}{\mathcal{L}\left(p | dE/dx, r, \theta\right)}\right)$$

Figure from <u>JHEP 12 (2021) 153</u>.



## **Λ Proton, Pion, Muon - Which is Which?**

• Muon identification is relatively

easy - we select the longest track

that has a PID score consistent

#### with that of a muon.





Use LLR PID score to separate muons from protons.

### **Λ Proton, Pion, Muon - Which is Which?**

 Proton and pion selection is more complicated. There can be a few tracks to choose from, and crucially, we have to assign the proton/pion labels the right way round.



## **Λ Proton, Pion, Muon - Which is Which?**

- Select two tracks, assign the proton label to one, and the pion label to the other.
- Calculate seven useful variables assuming these hypotheses.
- 3. Feed this information into an array of BDTs, get response score.
- 4. Select the combination with the best response.



When we assign the  $p/\pi$  labels to the correct tracks. When we assign the  $p/\pi$  labels to two other tracks/the wrong way round.

- In most MicroBooNE cross section analyses we consider five main sources of systematic uncertainty:
- 1. Neutrino flux.
- 2. Cross sections of background neutrino interactions.
- 3. Secondary interactions of hadrons outside the nucleus.
- 4. Detector response modelling.
- 5. Background from neutrino interactions outside the cryostat ("dirt" backgrounds) + **other analysis specific uncertainties**.

- 1. Neutrino flux:
  - $\eta$  analysis: we use the MiniBooNE flux with its uncertainties, updated for MicroBooNE's baseline.
  - A analysis we employ the <u>Package to Predict Flux (PPFX)</u>.
    Considers hadron production and beamline geometry uncertainties.

Hadron production dominates.



- Background neutrino interactions. Both analyses employ uncertainties from <u>our GENIE tune</u>. This is based on T2K and MINERvA data.
  - $\eta$  leverages sideband constraint described earlier.
  - $\Lambda$  assumes 100% uncertainty on irreducible  $\Sigma^0$  background.

3. Secondary interactions outside the Ar nucleus. MicroBooNE uses <u>Geant4Reweight</u>, combined with fits to pion data. Apply uncertainties to protons, charged pions and  $\Lambda$  baryons.



The  $\Lambda$  analysis also includes an uncertainty on the neutron-Ar cross section, tuned to <u>data from</u> the CAPTAIN experiment.

- 4. Detector response. Nine variations compared to default model:
- Light yield, three variations: 25% reduction, alternative attenuation and rescattering models (very small for both analyses).
- Wire Response: four data driven variations based on <u>fits to size and</u> <u>shapes of wire signals from MicroBoonE cosmic data</u>.
- Space Charge: alternative correction map based off measurements using <u>cosmic rays</u> and <u>a laser</u>.
- Alternative recombination model.

5. Neutrino interactions outside the cryostat:

- Fit to dirt rich sideband obtain uncertainty of ±71%.
- No dirt uncertainty included in the  $\eta$  analysis.

#### **Bayesian Efficiency Uncertainty**

• Posterior probability of selection efficiency  $\epsilon$  given weighted events w<sub>i</sub>.

 $P(\epsilon) = \text{Beta}(\epsilon; a, b)$ 

 $a = \alpha + k$   $b = \beta + n - k$ 

$$k = \hat{\epsilon}\hat{N}, \qquad \hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\sum_{\text{sel}} w_i}{\sum_{\text{all}} w_i} \qquad \hat{N} = \frac{\left(\sum_{\text{all}} w_i\right)^2}{\sum_{\text{all}} w_i^2} \qquad n = \hat{N}.$$

• See root documentation for derivation.