

#### **KALMAN FILTER PERFORMANCE STUDY ND-GAR MEETING 19TH SEPTEMBER2023**



*Author: Federico Battisti*



#### **ALICE BASED KALMAN FILTER FOR ND-GAR: PERFORMANCE STUDY**

- In today's presentation:
	- Study on sample of primary particles  $(\mu^-, p, \pi^+)$  produced in  $\nu_\mu CC$  interactions inside the TPC fiducial volume:
		- Discussion of bug fixes for new ALICE-based Kalman Filter
		- Comparison of momentum reconstruction performance of new ALICE-based Kalman Filter with current GArSoft reconstruction
- Previous presentations include:
	- 1. Dune Collaboration meeting 26th January 2022:<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/>
	- 2. ND-GAr weekly meeting 15th March 2022:<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/53600/contributions/236685/>
	- 3. DUNE Collaboration meeting 18th May 2022: <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50217/contributions/241519/>
	- 4. ND-GAr weekly meeting 9<sup>th</sup> August 2022: <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/55842/>
	- 5. ND-GAr weekly meeting 25<sup>th</sup> October 2022:<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/56687/>
	- 6. ND-GAr weekly meeting 28th February 2023:<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/58350/>
	- 7. Dune Collaboration meeting 25<sup>th</sup> May 2023: [https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57487/contributions/267579/](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57487/contributions/267579/attachments/167401/223367/NDGar_Kalman_DUNECollab_May2023.pdf)

# **SAMPLE 2: MUONS FROM**  $v_{\mu}CC$  **IN TPC FIDUCIAL**



- SAMPLE :  $4.35 \times 10^4$  neutrino interactions in active TPC volume produced using GENIE module in GArSoft v2\_18\_00 with standard flux
- Selected only  $v_{\mu}$ CC interactions with reconstructed vertex in TPC fiducial volume as defined in ND-CDR :  $R_{fid} \leq (R_{TPC} - 50cm);$

 $|z_{fid}| \leq (|z_{TPC}| - 30cm);$ 

• Considered primary particles from interactions:  $\mu^{-}$ ,  $p$ ,  $\pi^{+}$  (previous study only included muons)



# **NEW RESULTS AFTER BUG FIXES**



Profile plots for resolution :  $(\sigma)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each NPoints slice

NPoints1D distribution (NB: in old study garsoft tracks associated with wrong number of points)

- Momentum resolution should go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$  (<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/58350/>)
- Old study seemed to indicate that the new KF out-performed the current garsoft one only for long tracks: new study shows new KF outperforms old one over the whole spectrum.
- Two major bugs found:
	- In track point ordering wrong cutoff parameter was used, reducing length of tracks (fSortDistCut = 10cm instead of 20 cm)
	- Cross Length between points was calculated incorrectly for energy loss corrections

### **MUONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



- Momentum fractional residuals for **muon sample** define reconstruction and resolution biases
- $(\frac{p_{reco} p_{MC}}{p_{MC}}) / p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:<br>• GArSoft: ( $A_{core}$ ,  $\mu_{core}$ ,  $\sigma_{core}$ ) = (56, 0.3%, 3.2%) ( $A_{tails}$ ,  $\mu_{tails}$ ,  $\sigma_{tails}$ ) = (25, 0.6%,
	-
	- **GArSoft**:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (56, 0.3\% , 3.2\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (25, 0.6\% , 13\%)$ <br>New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (57, 0.04\% , 2.6\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (24, 0.8\% , 11\%)$ New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (57, 0.04\% , 2.6\%)$
	- CDR:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (100, -0.4\%, 3\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (49, -1.4\%, 14\%)$
- New KF improves biases and resolutions overall for the muon sample

#### **MUONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



# **PIONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



•  $(p_{reco}-p_{MC})/p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:

- GArSoft:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (30, 0.7\% , 3.2\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (17, 6\% , 12\%)$
- New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (31, -0.04\% , 2.7\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (15, 3\% , 11\%)$
- New KF improves biases and resolutions overall for the pion sample very similarly to muon sample (similar dEdx and mass)
- NOTE1: No CDR Results available: no direct comparison possible
- NOTE2: Tracks with less than 50 points are removed as for the muons

# **PROTONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF**



•  $(p_{reco}-p_{MC})/p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:

- GArSoft:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (53, 2\% , 4.6\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (88, 12\% , 19\%)$
- New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (89, -0.4\% , 4.5\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (49, -3\% , 19\%)$
- New KF majorly improves biases and resolutions for the proton sample (similar dEdx and mass)
- NOTE1: No CDR Results available: no direct comparison possible
- NOTE2: Tracks with less than 50 points are removed as for the muons

# **RESOLUTION DEPENDENCIES**

• Analytical formulas derived directly from PDG chapter 34 on detectors give realistic expectations for the  $q/p_T$  resolution dependency:<https://pdg.lbl.gov/2019/reviews/rpp2019-rev-particle-detectors-accel.pdf>

#### **POINT RESOLUTION**

$$
\sigma_{N}\left(q/p_{T}\right) = \frac{q\sigma_{r}}{0.3BL_{arm}}\sqrt{\frac{720}{N}}
$$

#### **MULTIPLE SCATTERING**

$$
\sigma_{MS} \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) = \left\langle \frac{1}{\beta p} \right\rangle \frac{q \times 0.016 \times B \times 0.3}{L} \sqrt{\frac{L}{X_0}}
$$

$$
\sigma_{ana}\left(\frac{q}{p_T}\right) = \sqrt{{\sigma_N}^2 + {\sigma_{MS}}^2}
$$

- $\sigma$  = radial resolution
- $B =$  magnetic field
- $N =$  number of points measured
	- $L_{arm}$  = Lever arm on XY plane
- $L^{\text{atm}}$  Length of the track on XY plane
- $X_{0}$ =Radiation length in cm
- $\beta$  = velocity
- **NOTE:** <1/ $\beta$ **p**<sub>r</sub>> = value of 1/ $(\beta p_{\tau})$ averaged along the trajectory to take into account energy loss

NB:  $q/p_T$  scaling for high density materials, such as ND-GAr's gas mixture, should be dominates by the  $\sigma_{MS}$  component



# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: MUONS  $\mu^-$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities. This is largely true for the new KF but not in garsoft
- Note that the pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: MUONS <u>µ⊤</u>



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

Correspondent p (GeV/c) distribution (NB: Tracks with  $N_{points}$  < 50 are cut)

- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Larm}$  (dependencies on Npoints and Length are similar; see back-up)
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: PIONS**  $\pi^+$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities.
- Primary pions are on average much lower in momentum than muons
- NB: at lower momenta, for higher mass particles the tracks will tend to be shorter and the resolution will degrade
- NB: pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

#### **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: PIONS**  $\overline{\pi^+}$



- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Larm}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

#### **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: PROTONS**  $p^+$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities.
- Primary protons are on average much lower in momentum than muons and much more similar to pions
- NB: at lower momenta, for higher mass particles the tracks will tend to be shorter and the resolution will degrade
- NB: pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and especially the bias

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: PROTONS** +



- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Larm}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the protons is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

- New ALICE-BASED Kalman Filter was tested and compared to the current GArSoft Reconstruction over a sample of primary particles from  $v_u$ CC interactions with MC vertex in TPC fiducial volume:
	- Selected from a sample of  $4.35 \times 10^4$  neutrino interactions in active TPC volume;
	- Produced using GENIE module in GArSoft v2 18 00 with standard flux;
	- Primary protons, pions and muons were considered
- Main Takeaways:
	- 1. After bug fixes, new KF shown to provide significant performance benefits for all analyzed particle types from the core sample of  $v_{\mu}$ CC interactions
	- 2. Proton reconstruction is especially biased at the current state and the new KF can improve this
- Next steps:
	- 1. Finish improving pull tests so that they are as expected for all particle types (not discussed in this presentation)
	- 2. Explore benefits of the improved performance (e.g. TKI hydrogen study<https://indico.fnal.gov/event/59667/>)
	- 3. Implement in GArSoft



H

ROBERT RATHBUN WILSON

**FEDERICO BATTISTI**

#### **BACK-UP**



# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: MUONS *µ*



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

Correspondent p (GeV/c) distribution (NB: Tracks with  $N_{points}$  < 50 are cut)

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: MUONS <u>µ⊤</u>



- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: PIONS**  $\pi^+$



Correspondent p (GeV/c) distribution (NB: Tracks with  $N_{points}$  < 50 are cut)

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto$  $1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: PIONS**  $\pi^+$



- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: PROTONS**  $p^+$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

Correspondent p (GeV/c) distribution (NB: Tracks with  $N_{points}$  < 50 are cut)

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto$  $1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# **MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: PROTONS** +



- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

# **RECONSTRUCTION**



#### **KALMAN FILTER BASICS**



- **Kalman filter:** iterative Bayesian algorithm which mediates between system knowledge and measurement. Each iteration divided in three steps:
	- 1. Make **A Priori prediction** of the state of the system using evolution model for the particle's trajectory
	- 2. Calculate **Residual:** distance between measurement and prediction
	- 3. Mediate between the a priori prediction and the measurement calculating **Kalman Gain** and produce **A Posteriori estimate**

Note: See back-up for further reading

### **KALMAN FILTER BASICS**



### **KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION**

- Use parametrization used in ALICE: state vector updated by the Kalman filter is  $s =$  $(y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{x})$  $p_T$ )
- ALICE uses no approximations in the propagation, unlike current ND-GAr model which uses small angle approximation (for full description check back-up and first ND-GAr-Lite presentation [https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/2](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/) [32480/](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/) )



**FEDERICO BATTISTI**

# **KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION**

- Use parametrization used in ALICE: state vector updated by the Kalman filter is  $s =$  $(y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{x})$  $p_T$ )
- ALICE uses no approximations in the propagation, unlike current ND-GAr model which uses small angle approximation (for full description check back-up and first ND-GAr-Lite presentation [https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/2](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/) [32480/](https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/) )
- Kalman filter propagated radially: before each propagation, the coordinate system is rotated by an angle  $\alpha = \tan(y/z)$ , so that the track point "sits" on the local  $z$  axis (i.e.  $z$  coordinate becomes the radius from center of the detector)



# **KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION**

- Local  $sin\phi$  defines two  $yz$  semi-planes with "mirrored" representations": the line separating the two is the one connecting the center of the detector and the center of curvature of the track
- As the track approaches one of the two semi-planes,  $sin\phi$  reaches a point where it cannot be propagated further:  $sin \phi \in [-1,1]$
- Once the limit is reached, the state-vector and Covariance associated with the last reconstructed track point are "mirrored":



• Finally, the local x coordinate is propagated by calculating the arch between the two mirrored points:

$$
x_{k+1}^- = x_k^+ + arch * tan \lambda
$$





#### **ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln\left(\frac{2m_e \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2}\right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad \text{[GeV/(g/cm^2)]}
$$

- Energy loss correction applied to helix fit:
	- 1. Get  $dE/dx$  with Bethe-Bloch and evaluate momentum loss over trajectory in small "momentum-loss" steps
	- 2. Calculate multiplicative factor to update  $q/p_T$ :

$$
\frac{q}{p_T} * = cP4 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta E}{p_{mean}^2} (\Delta E + 2 \times E_{in})\right)
$$

- 2. Add factor to diagonal element of 5x5 Covariance Matrix P correspondent to  $q/p<sub>T</sub>$  (found through error propagation):  $P[4][4] +=$  $\sigma_E$  $p_{mean}^2$  $rac{E}{2}$   $\times$  $\overline{q}$  $p_T$ 2
- Note 1: These formulas are the same as the ones used by Geant4
- Note 2: Applied to both Kalman Filter "step-by-step" and Seeding "globally"

### **MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\theta_0 = \frac{13.6 MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]
$$

- Multiple Scattering correction applied to Helix fit:
	- Calculate width of the angular gaussian distribution produced by MS:  $\theta_0$  from Molière formula
	- 2. Propagate the error to the relevant Helix parameters, obtaining their respective  $\sigma$ 's ( $\sigma_{sin\phi}$ ,  $\sigma_{tan\lambda}$ ,  $\sigma_{q/p_T}$ )
	- 3. Update covariance matrix diagonal elements:

$$
\begin{cases}\nP[2][2] += \sigma_{\sin\phi}^2 \\
P[3][3] += \sigma_{\tan\lambda}^2 \\
P[4][4] += \sigma_{q/p_T}^2\n\end{cases}
$$

- Note 1: These formulas are the same as the ones used by Geant4
- Note 2: Applied to both Kalman Filter "step-by-step" and Seeding "globally"

# **GLOBAL HELIX FIT AND INITIAL COVARIANCE ESTIMATION**

- Seeding for Kalman done with simple 3-point helix fit:
	- $c = 1/r$  and sin  $\phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$  and r of the yz plane circumference:

$$
c = 1/r
$$
  $\sin \phi_0 = \frac{z_0}{r}$ 





# **GLOBAL HELIX FIT AND INITIAL COVARIANCE ESTIMATION**

- Seeding for Kalman done with simple 3-point helix fit:
	- $c = 1/r$  and sin  $\phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$  and r of the yz plane circumference:

$$
c = 1/r
$$
  $\sin \phi_0 = \frac{z_0}{r}$ 

• tan  $\lambda$  from the yz plane arc between the first two points and the correspondent movement in the  $x$ direction:

> $\tan \lambda =$  $dx$ arc =  $dx$  $d\phi * r$

• Note: Energy loss and MS corrections applied similarly to Kalman Filter



# **ENERGY LOSS AND MS**



# **ENERGY LOSS: BETHE-BLOCH FORMULA**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}ln\left(\frac{2m_ec^2\gamma^2\beta^2W_{max}}{I^2}\right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad \text{[GeV/(g/cm^2)]}
$$

- $\rho = 1.032 g/cm^3$
- $K = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 = 0.307 075 \text{ MeV mol}^{-1}$
- 
- 
- $m_e c^2 = 0.511 \text{ MeV}$  Mass of electron
- $W_{max} = 2m_ec^2\beta^2\gamma$
- $I = 64.7 \times 10^{-9}$  GeV Mean excitation energy

 Plastic scintillator density Bethe Bloch constant coefficient •  $Z/A = 0.54141 \, mol/g$  Mean atomic number/mass of plastic scintillator • z Atomic number of incident particle Low energy approximation of maximum energy transfer

$$
\frac{\delta}{2} = \begin{cases}\n0 & \ln \beta \gamma - 1/2 C & \ln \beta \gamma < 2.303 x_0 \\
\ln \beta \gamma - 1/2 C + (1/2 C - 2.303 x_0) \times \left(\frac{2.303 x_1 - \ln \beta \gamma}{2.303 (x_1 - x_0)}\right)^3 & \ln \beta \gamma \in [2.303 x_0, 2.303 x_1]\n\end{cases} \n\begin{matrix}\nDENSITY \\
CORRECTION\n\end{matrix}
$$

with  $C = 2 - \ln$  $28.816 \times 10^{-9} \sqrt{\rho(Z/A)}$  $\boldsymbol{l}$ 

 $x_0 = 0.1469$   $x_1 = 2.49$ 1st and 2nd junction points for plastic scintillator

### **ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}ln\left(\frac{2m_ec^2\gamma^2\beta^2W_{max}}{I^2}\right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad \text{[GeV/(g/cm^2)]}
$$

- Step by step procedure:
	- 1. Convert into:  $dp/dx = dE/dx \times \beta^{-1}$
	- 2. Calculate number of steps:  $n_{steps} = 1 + (dp/dx \times \Delta x)/step$  with  $step = 0.005$
	- 3. Calculate step-wise total momentum loss:  $\Delta p_{tot} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{steps}} \Delta p_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{steps}} \frac{dp}{dx}$ dxi  $\Delta x_i$
	- 4. Calculate total energy loss  $\Delta E = E_{in} \sqrt{p_{out}^2 + m^2}$  with  $p_{out} = p_{in} \Delta p_{tot}$
	- 5. Apply multiplicative factor:

$$
\frac{q}{p_T} * = cP4 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta E}{p_{mean}^2} (\Delta E + 2 \times E_{in})\right)
$$

6. Apply correction to covariance matrix:

$$
P[4][4] += \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{p_{mean}^2} \times \frac{q}{p_T}\right)^2
$$



### **KALMAN FILTER: MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\theta_0 = \frac{13.6 MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]
$$

- $X_0 = 42.54$  Cm Radiation length of plastic scintillator in cm
- $x$  is the step length
- $\bullet$  z is the charge of incident particle
- Formulas for propagated  $\sigma$ 's:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\sigma_{\sin \phi} = \theta_0 \cos \phi \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \lambda} \\
\sigma_{\tan \lambda} = \theta_0 (1 + \tan^2 \lambda) \\
\sigma_{q/p_T} = \theta_0 \tan \lambda \frac{q}{p_T}\n\end{cases}
$$



### **KALMAN FILTER: ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln\left(\frac{2m_e \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2}\right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad \text{[GeV/(g/cm^2)]}
$$

- Energy loss correction:
	- 1. Use multiplicative factor  $cP4$  (see slide 7) to update  $q/p_T$
	- 2. Add factor to diagonal element of 5x5 Covariance Matrix P correspondent to  $q/p<sub>T</sub>$  (found through error propagation):

$$
P[4][4] += \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{p_{mean}^2} \times \frac{q}{p_T}\right)^2
$$

• **NOTE:**  $\sigma_E = k \times \sqrt{|\Delta E|}$  where k is a tunable parameter set at 0.07

### **KALMAN FILTER: MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) [https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf) [/reviews/passagerpp.pdf](https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005/reviews/passagerpp.pdf)

$$
\theta_0 = \frac{13.6 MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]
$$

- Multiple Scattering smearing simulated in three steps:
	- 1. Obtain parameter  $\sigma$ 's ( $\sigma_{\text{sin}\phi}$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{tan}\lambda}$ ,  $\sigma_{q/p_T}$ ) through error propagation as described in slide 6
	- 2. Update covariance matrix diagonal elements:

 $P[2][2]$  +=  $\sigma_{\sin\phi}^2$  $P[3][3]$  +=  $\sigma_{\tan \lambda}^2$  $P[4][4]$  +=  $\sigma_{q/ p_T}^2$ 



#### **KALMAN FILTER**



# **KALMAN FILTER IN GENERAL**

1. Make a priori predictions for the current step's state and covariance matrix using the a posteriori best estimate of the previous step (i.e. updated using measurement)





Note: In the first iteration step we use step 0 estimates for the state vector and the covariance matrix  $(s_0, P_0)$ , which can be made very roughly

# **KALMAN FILTER IN GENERAL**

2. Calculate the measurement residual and the Kalman Gain

RESIDUAL

\n
$$
\tilde{y}_k = m_k^h - H(s_k^-)
$$
\nKALMAN GAIN

\n
$$
K_k = P_k^- H^T (R + H P_k^- H^T)^{-1}
$$
\nMEASUREMENT

\nCOMVERSION

\nNOISE COVARIANCE

\nMATRIX

#### 3. Update the estimate

 $s_k^+ = s_k^- + K_k \tilde{y}$  $P_k^+ = (1 - K_k H) P_k^-$ STATE VECTOR COVARIANCE MATRIX

Note: in the case where R is a null matrix  $s_k^+ = s_k^h$ and  $P_k^+ = 0$ 

Note: the conversion matrix is needed to make the dimensions of vectors and matrixes turn out right. For exemple if  $s_k^h$  is a 2-D vector and  $s_k^-$  is 5-D, then H would be a  $2 \times 5$  matrix:  $H = ($ 1 0 0 0 0 )

0 1 0

**FEDERICO BATTISTI** *43*

0 0

# **KALMAN FILTER MODEL**

• Use parametrization used in ALICE: free parameter z, state vector  $s = (y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{x})$  $p_T$ ) ( $\phi$  azimuthal angle,  $\lambda$ dip-angle,  $p_T$  transverse momentum in  $yz$  plane), evolution function:

\n $\frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{k * (\sin \phi_0 + \sin \phi_1)}{k * (\cos \phi_0 + \cos \phi_1)}$ \n	\n $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{(\sin \phi_0 + \sin \phi_1)}{(\cos \phi_0 + \cos \phi_1) * dz}$ \n	\n $y_2 = \phi_1 - \phi_0$ \n	\n $y_3 = \frac{\sin(\phi_0 + \sin \phi_1)}{\cos \phi_0 + \cos \phi_1} * dz$ \n
\n $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{(\sin \phi_0 + \sin \phi_1)}{(\cos \phi_0 + \cos \phi_1)} * dz$ \n	\n $r$ \n		
\n $y = \phi_1 - \phi_0$ \n	\n $k * \sin \phi_1$ \n		
\n $\theta = \phi_1 - \phi_0$ \n	\n $k * \sin \phi_1$ \n		
\n $y = \frac{\phi_1 - \phi_0}{k}$ \n	\n $k * \sin \phi_1$ \n		
\n $x_1 = x_0 + \tan \lambda * \frac{r}{q} * \arcsin(\cos \phi_0 \sin \phi_1 - \cos \phi_1 \sin \phi_0)$ \n	\n $k * \cos \phi_0$ \n	\n $k * \cos \phi_1$ \n	\n $k * \cos \phi_1$ \n

*44*

**BATTISTI**

# **KALMAN FILTER MODEL**

• Use parametrization used in ALICE: free parameter z, state vector  $(y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{x})$  $p_T$ ) ( $\phi$  azimuthal angle,  $\lambda$  dipangle,  $p_T$  transverse momentum in  $yz$  plane), evolution function:

2) 
$$
dz = r * \sin \phi_1 - r * \sin \phi_0
$$
  

$$
\sin \phi_1 = \sin \phi_0 + \frac{dz}{r}
$$
  
3) & (4) are static



*45*

#### **HELIX FIT**



 $\rm{z_{0}}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{r}$ 

- $c = 1/r$  and  $\sin \phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$ and  $r$  of the  $yz$  plane circomference passing through the first, last and middle hit point of the particle trajectory
- After traslating the coordinate system to have the origin on the first point  $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  we have the circumference equations:

$$
\begin{cases}\nz_c^2 + y_c^2 = r^2 \\
(z_1 - z_c)^2 + (y_1 - y_c)^2 = r^2 \\
(z_2 - z_c)^2 + (y_2 - y_c)^2 = r^2\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
z_c = \frac{1}{2} \left( z_2 - y_2 \frac{z_1(z_1 - z_2) + y_1(y_1 - y_2)}{z_2y_1 - z_1y_2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
y_c = \frac{1}{2} \left( z_2 - y_2 \frac{z_1(z_1 - z_2) + y_1(y_1 - y_2)}{z_2y_1 - z_1y_2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
r = \sqrt{z_c^2 + y_c^2}
$$
\n
$$
r = \sqrt{z_c^2 + y_c^2}
$$



**FEDERICO BATTISTI** *47*

• We evaluate  $\tan \lambda$  from the yz plane arc between the first two points and the correspondent movement in the  $x$  direction (magnetic field direction) using  $r$ estimate from previous step:

$$
d\phi = 2 \arcsin\left(\frac{chord}{2r}\right)
$$
  
= 2 \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{(y\_1 - y\_0)^2 + (z\_1 - z\_0)^2}}{2r}\right)  

$$
\tan \lambda = \frac{dx}{arc} = \frac{dx}{d\phi * r}
$$





- Given parameter estimation from global helix fit, estimate uncertainties through error propagation
- Uncertainties associated with x and y:  $\sigma_{xy}$ ; z free parameter with no uncertainty  $\sigma_z = 0$  (as in the Kalman filter)
- Formula for sin  $\phi_0$  estimation is function of  $f(z_0, y_0, z_1, y_1, z_2, y_2)$  but since  $\sigma_z = 0$ , consider only  $f(y_0, y_1, y_2) \rightarrow$ From error propagation we get:

$$
\sigma_{\sin\phi_0} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_0}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_3}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2}
$$

• This can be approximated as:

$$
\sigma_{\sin\phi_0} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{f(y_0 + \sigma_{xy}, y_1, y_2)}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{f(y_0, y_1 + \sigma_{xy}, y_2)}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{f(y_0, y_1, y_2 + \sigma_{xy})}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2}
$$

- Repeat the process with other parameters to get respective uncertainties
- Estimate for covariance matrix  $P_0$  is diagonal matrix with:

$$
P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xy}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{xy}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{sin\phi}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{tan\lambda}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{q/p_T}^2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• Note: off-diagonal elements could also be calculated, but are not at the moment

