



#### KALMAN FILTER PERFORMANCE STUDY ND-GAR MEETING 19<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2023



Author: Federico Battist



#### ALICE BASED KALMAN FILTER FOR ND-GAR: PERFORMANCE STUDY

- In today's presentation:
  - Study on sample of primary particles  $(\mu^-, p, \pi^+)$  produced in  $\nu_{\mu}CC$  interactions inside the TPC fiducial volume:
    - Discussion of bug fixes for new ALICE-based Kalman Filter
    - Comparison of momentum reconstruction performance of new ALICE-based Kalman Filter with current GArSoft reconstruction
- Previous presentations include:
  - 1. Dune Collaboration meeting 26th January 2022: https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/232480/
  - 2. ND-GAr weekly meeting 15th March 2022: https://indico.fnal.gov/event/53600/contributions/236685/
  - 3. DUNE Collaboration meeting 18<sup>th</sup> May 2022: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50217/contributions/241519/</u>
  - 4. ND-GAr weekly meeting 9<sup>th</sup> August 2022: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/55842/</u>
  - 5. ND-GAr weekly meeting 25<sup>th</sup> October 2022: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/56687/</u>
  - 6. ND-GAr weekly meeting 28th February 2023: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/58350/</u>
  - 7. Dune Collaboration meeting 25<sup>th</sup> May 2023: <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/57487/contributions/267579/</u>

### **SAMPLE 2:** MUONS FROM $\nu_{\mu}CC$ IN TPC FIDUCIAL



- SAMPLE : 4.35 × 10<sup>4</sup> neutrino interactions in active TPC volume produced using GENIE module in GArSoft v2\_18\_00 with standard flux
- Selected only  $\nu_{\mu}CC$  interactions with reconstructed vertex in TPC fiducial volume as defined in ND-CDR :

 $\begin{aligned} R_{fid} &\leq (R_{TPC} - 50cm); \\ \left| z_{fid} \right| &\leq (\left| z_{TPC} \right| - 30cm); \end{aligned}$ 

Considered primary particles from interactions:  $\mu^-$ , p,  $\pi^+$  (previous study only included muons)



## **NEW RESULTS AFTER BUG FIXES**



Profile plots for resolution : ( $\sigma$ ) from momentum residual Gauss fit in each NPoints slice

NPoints1D distribution (NB: in old study garsoft tracks associated with wrong number of points)

- Momentum resolution should go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$  (<u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/58350/</u>)
- Old study seemed to indicate that the new KF out-performed the current garsoft one only for long tracks: new study shows new KF outperforms old one over the whole spectrum.
- Two major bugs found:
  - In track point ordering wrong cutoff parameter was used, reducing length of tracks (fSortDistCut = 10cm instead of 20 cm)
  - Cross Length between points was calculated incorrectly for energy loss corrections

#### **MUONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



- Momentum fractional residuals for muon sample define reconstruction and resolution biases
- $(p_{reco}-p_{MC})/p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:
  - GArSoft:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (56, 0.3\%, 3.2\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (25, 0.6\%, 13\%)$
  - New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (57, 0.04\%, 2.6\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (24, 0.8\%, 11\%)$
  - CDR:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (100, -0.4\%, 3\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (49, -1.4\%, 14\%)$
- New KF improves biases and resolutions overall for the muon sample

#### **MUONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



# **PIONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF VS CDR**



•  $(p_{reco}-p_{MC})/p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:

- GArSoft:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (30, 0.7\%, 3.2\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (17, 6\%, 12\%)$
- New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (31, -0.04\%, 2.7\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (15, 3\%, 11\%)$
- New KF improves biases and resolutions overall for the pion sample very similarly to muon sample (similar dEdx and mass)
- NOTE1: No CDR Results available: no direct comparison possible
- NOTE2: Tracks with less than 50 points are removed as for the muons

## **PROTONS MOMENTUM RESOLUTION : GARSOFT VS NEW KF**



•  $(p_{reco}-p_{MC})/p_{MC}$  distributions are fitted with a double Gauss fit, like in CDR, defining a core and tails sample:

- GArSoft:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (53, 2\%, 4.6\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (88, 12\%, 19\%)$
- New KF:  $(A_{core}, \mu_{core}, \sigma_{core}) = (89, -0.4\%, 4.5\%)$   $(A_{tails}, \mu_{tails}, \sigma_{tails}) = (49, -3\%, 19\%)$
- New KF majorly improves biases and resolutions for the proton sample (similar dEdx and mass)
- NOTE1: No CDR Results available: no direct comparison possible
- NOTE2: Tracks with less than 50 points are removed as for the muons

# **RESOLUTION DEPENDENCIES**

• Analytical formulas derived directly from PDG chapter 34 on detectors give realistic expectations for the  $q/p_T$  resolution dependency: <u>https://pdg.lbl.gov/2019/reviews/rpp2019-rev-particle-detectors-accel.pdf</u>

#### POINT RESOLUTION

$$\sigma_{N} \left( \frac{q}{p}_{T} \right) = \frac{q \sigma_{r}}{0.3BL_{arm}} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N}}$$

#### MULTIPLE SCATTERING

$$\sigma_{MS}\left(\frac{q}{p_{T}}\right) = \left\langle\frac{1}{\beta p_{T}}\right\rangle \frac{q \times 0.016 \times B \times 0.3}{L} \sqrt{\frac{L}{X_{0}}}$$

$$\sigma_{ana} \left( \frac{q}{p_T} \right) = \sqrt{\sigma_N^2 + \sigma_{MS}^2}$$

- $\sigma_r$  = radial resolution
- B = magnetic field
- N = number of points measured
  - L<sub>arm</sub>= Lever arm on XY plane
- L<sup>=</sup>Length of the track on XY plane
- X<sub>0</sub>=Radiation length in cm
- $\beta$  = velocity
- **NOTE:**  $<1/\beta p_T > =$  value of  $1/(\beta p_T)$ averaged along the trajectory to take into account energy loss

NB:  $q/p_T$  scaling for high density materials, such as ND-GAr's gas mixture, should be dominates by the  $\sigma_{MS}$  component



# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: MUONS $\mu^-$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities. This is largely true for the new KF but not in garsoft
- Note that the pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

## MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: MUONS $\mu^-$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/*c* should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{LArm}$  (dependencies on Npoints and Length are similar; see back-up)
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: PIONS $\pi^+$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities.
- Primary pions are on average much lower in momentum than muons
- NB: at lower momenta, for higher mass particles the tracks will tend to be shorter and the resolution will degrade
- NB: pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: PIONS $\pi^+$



- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/*c* should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{LArm}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS P: PROTONS $p^+$



- Momentum resolution should be mostly momentum independent in this range and at these densities.
- Primary protons are on average much lower in momentum than muons and much more similar to pions
- NB: at lower momenta, for higher mass particles the tracks will tend to be shorter and the resolution will degrade
- NB: pT should be averaged through the whole track, which wasn't done here.
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and especially the bias

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LARM: PROTONS $p^+$



- Lever Arm: distance in transverse (yz) plane between first and last point in the track
- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{LArm}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the protons is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- New ALICE-BASED Kalman Filter was tested and compared to the current GArSoft Reconstruction over a sample of primary particles from  $v_{\mu}CC$  interactions with MC vertex in TPC fiducial volume:
  - Selected from a sample of  $4.35 \times 10^4$  neutrino interactions in active TPC volume;
  - Produced using GENIE module in GArSoft v2\_18\_00 with standard flux;
  - Primary protons, pions and muons were considered
- Main Takeaways:
  - 1. After bug fixes, new KF shown to provide significant performance benefits for all analyzed particle types from the core sample of  $v_{\mu}CC$  interactions
  - 2. Proton reconstruction is especially biased at the current state and the new KF can improve this
- Next steps:
  - 1. Finish improving pull tests so that they are as expected for all particle types (not discussed in this presentation)
  - 2. Explore benefits of the improved performance (e.g. TKI hydrogen study <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/59667/</u>)
  - 3. Implement in GArSoft

# **THANK YOU**

......

1

----

ROBERT RATHBUN WILSON

FEDERICO BATTISTI

#### **BACK-UP**



# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: MUONS $\mu^-$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: MUONS $\mu^-$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum and keeps bias mostly the same

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: PIONS $\pi^+$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: PIONS $\pi^+$



- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/*c* should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS LENGTH: PROTONS $p^+$



Profile plots for resolution and bias :  $(\sigma, \mu)$  from momentum residual Gauss fit in each p slice

- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/c should be multiple scattering dominated and go as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{Length}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias: as the mass of the pions is higher than the one of the muons, the dEdx and MS components of the new KF start having a bigger effect

# MOMENTUM RESOLUTION AND BIAS VS NPOINTS: PROTONS $|p^+|$



- Momentum resolution in the range  $p \in [0,6]$  GeV/*c* should be multiple scattering dominated and go • as  $\propto 1/\sqrt{NPoints}$
- New KF improves resolution over the whole spectrum as well as the bias

# RECONSTRUCTION



#### **KALMAN FILTER BASICS**



- Kalman filter: iterative Bayesian algorithm which mediates between system knowledge and measurement. Each iteration divided in three steps:
  - 1. Make A Priori prediction of the state of the system using evolution model for the particle's trajectory
  - 2. Calculate **Residual:** distance between measurement and prediction
  - 3. Mediate between the a priori prediction and the measurement calculating Kalman Gain and produce A Posteriori estimate

Note: See back-up for further reading

#### **KALMAN FILTER BASICS**



FEDERICO<br/>BATTISTI27

#### KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION

- Use parametrization used in ALICE: state vector updated by the Kalman filter is  $s = (y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{p_T})$
- ALICE uses no approximations in the propagation, unlike current ND-GAr model which uses small angle approximation (for full description check back-up and first ND-GAr-Lite presentation <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/2</u> 32480/)



28

## KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION

- Use parametrization used in ALICE: state vector updated by the Kalman filter is  $s = (y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{p_T})$
- ALICE uses no approximations in the propagation, unlike current ND-GAr model which uses small angle approximation (for full description check back-up and first ND-GAr-Lite presentation <u>https://indico.fnal.gov/event/50215/contributions/2</u> 32480/)
- Kalman filter propagated radially: before each propagation, the coordinate system is rotated by an angle  $\alpha = \tan(y/z)$ , so that the track point "sits" on the local *z* axis (i.e. *z* coordinate becomes the radius from center of the detector)



# KALMAN FILTER MODEL AND APPLICATION

- Local  $sin\phi$  defines two yz semi-planes with "mirrored representations": the line separating the two is the one connecting the center of the detector and the center of curvature of the track
- As the track approaches one of the two semi-planes,  $sin\phi$  reaches a point where it cannot be propagated further:  $sin\phi \in [-1,1]$
- Once the limit is reached, the state-vector and Covariance associated with the last reconstructed track point are "mirrored":

$s_{k+1}^- = Rs_k^+$		$P_{k+1}^- = R P_k^+ R^T$			
	/1	0	0	0	0 \
	0	1	0	0	0
with $R =$	0	0	-1	0	0
	0	0	0	-1	0
	$\setminus 0$	0	0	0	-1/

• Finally, the local x coordinate is propagated by calculating the arch between the two mirrored points:

$$x_{k+1}^{-} = x_k^{+} + \operatorname{arch} * \tan \lambda$$





#### **ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln \left( \frac{2m_e \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad [\text{GeV}/(\text{g/cm}^2)]$$

- Energy loss correction applied to helix fit:
  - 1. Get dE/dx with Bethe-Bloch and evaluate momentum loss over trajectory in small "momentum-loss" steps
  - 2. Calculate multiplicative factor to update  $q/p_T$ :

$$\frac{q}{p_T} *= cP4 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta E}{p_{mean}^2} (\Delta E + 2 \times E_{in})\right)$$

- 2. Add factor to diagonal element of 5x5 Covariance Matrix *P* correspondent to  $q/p_T$  (found through error propagation):  $P[4][4] + = \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{p_{mean}^2} \times \frac{q}{p_T}\right)^2$
- Note 1: These formulas are the same as the ones used by Geant4
- Note 2: Applied to both Kalman Filter "step-by-step" and Seeding "globally"

#### **MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\theta_0 = \frac{13.6MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]$$

- Multiple Scattering correction applied to Helix fit:
  - 1. Calculate width of the angular gaussian distribution produced by MS:  $\theta_0$  from Molière formula
  - 2. Propagate the error to the relevant Helix parameters, obtaining their respective  $\sigma$ 's ( $\sigma_{sin\phi}, \sigma_{tan\lambda}, \sigma_{q/p_T}$ )
  - 3. Update covariance matrix diagonal elements:

 $\begin{cases} P[2][2] += \sigma_{sin\phi}^{2} \\ P[3][3] += \sigma_{tan \lambda}^{2} \\ P[4][4] += \sigma_{q/p_{T}}^{2} \end{cases}$ 

- Note 1: These formulas are the same as the ones used by Geant4
- Note 2: Applied to both Kalman Filter "step-by-step" and Seeding "globally"

# **GLOBAL HELIX FIT AND INITIAL COVARIANCE ESTIMATION**

- Seeding for Kalman done with simple 3-point helix fit:
  - c = 1/r and  $\sin \phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$  and r of the yz plane circumference:

$$c = 1/r \qquad \sin \phi_0 = \frac{z_0}{r}$$



# **GLOBAL HELIX FIT AND INITIAL COVARIANCE ESTIMATION**

- Seeding for Kalman done with simple 3-point helix fit:
  - c = 1/r and  $\sin \phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$  and r of the yz plane circumference:

$$c = 1/r \qquad \sin \phi_0 = \frac{z_0}{r}$$

•  $\tan \lambda$  from the *yz* plane arc between the first two points and the correspondent movement in the *x* direction:

 $\tan \lambda = \frac{dx}{arc} = \frac{dx}{d\phi * r}$ 

• Note: Energy loss and MS corrections applied similarly to Kalman Filter



# **ENERGY LOSS AND MS**



# ENERGY LOSS: BETHE-BLOCH FORMULA

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln \left( \frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2 W_{max}}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad [\text{GeV}/(g/cm^2)]$$

- $\rho = 1.032 \ g/cm^3$
- $K = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 = 0.307\ 075\ MeV\ mol^{-1}cm^2$
- $Z/A = 0.54141 \ mol/g$
- Z
- $m_e c^2 = 0.511 \text{ MeV}$
- $W_{max} = 2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2$
- $I = 64.7 \times 10^{-9} \, GeV$

Plastic scintillator density Bethe Bloch constant coefficient Mean atomic number/mass of plastic scintillator Atomic number of incident particle Mass of electron Low energy approximation of maximum energy transfer Mean excitation energy

$$\frac{\delta}{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \ln\beta\gamma < 2.303x_0 \\ \ln\beta\gamma - 1/2C & \ln\beta\gamma > 2.303x_1 \\ \ln\beta\gamma - 1/2C + (1/2C - 2.303X_0) \times \left(\frac{2.303X_1 - \ln\beta\gamma}{2.303(X_1 - X_0)}\right)^3 & \ln\beta\gamma \in [2.303x_0, 2.303x_1] \end{cases}$$

with  $C = 2 - \ln\left(\frac{28.816 \times 10^{-9}\sqrt{\rho(Z/A)}}{I}\right)$ 

 $x_0 = 0.1469$   $x_1 = 2.49$ 1st and 2nd junction points for plastic scintillator

#### **ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln \left( \frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2 W_{max}}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad [\text{GeV}/(g/cm^2)]$$

- Step by step procedure:
  - 1. Convert into:  $dp/dx = dE/dx \times \beta^{-1}$
  - 2. Calculate number of steps:  $n_{steps} = 1 + (dp/dx \times \Delta x)/step$  with step = 0.005
  - 3. Calculate step-wise total momentum loss:  $\Delta p_{tot} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{steps}} \Delta p_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{steps}} \frac{dp}{dx_i} \Delta x_i$
  - 4. Calculate total energy loss  $\Delta E = E_{in} \sqrt{p_{out}^2 + m^2}$  with  $p_{out} = p_{in} \Delta p_{tot}$
  - 5. Apply multiplicative factor:

$$\frac{q}{p_T} *= cP4 = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta E}{p_{mean}^2} (\Delta E + 2 \times E_{in})\right)$$

6. Apply correction to covariance matrix:

$$P[4][4] += \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{p_{mean}^2} \times \frac{q}{p_T}\right)^2$$



#### **KALMAN FILTER: MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\theta_0 = \frac{13.6MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]$$

- $X_0 = 42.54 cm$  Radiation length of plastic scintillator in cm
- x is the step length
- *z* is the charge of incident particle
- Formulas for propagated  $\sigma$ 's:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{\sin\phi} = \theta_0 \cos\phi \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \lambda} \\ \sigma_{\tan\lambda} = \theta_0 (1 + \tan^2 \lambda) \\ \sigma_{q/p_T} = \theta_0 \tan\lambda \frac{q}{p_T} \end{cases}$$



#### **KALMAN FILTER: ENERGY LOSS CORRECTION**

Bethe-Bloch (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dE}{dx} = K \times \frac{Z}{A} \times \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} ln \left( \frac{2m_e \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \quad [\text{GeV/(g/cm^2)]}$$

- Energy loss correction:
  - 1. Use multiplicative factor cP4 (see slide 7) to update  $q/p_T$
  - 2. Add factor to diagonal element of 5x5 Covariance Matrix *P* correspondent to  $q/p_T$  (found through error propagation):

$$P[4][4] += \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{p_{mean}^2} \times \frac{q}{p_T}\right)^2$$

• NOTE:  $\sigma_E = k \times \sqrt{|\Delta E|}$  where k is a tunable parameter set at 0.07

#### **KALMAN FILTER: MS CORRECTION**

Molière Formula (PDG) https://pdg.lbl.gov/2005 /reviews/passagerpp.pdf

$$\theta_0 = \frac{13.6MeV}{\beta p} z \sqrt{x/X_0} [1 + 0.038 \ln(x/X_0)]$$

- Multiple Scattering smearing simulated in three steps:
  - 1. Obtain parameter  $\sigma$ 's ( $\sigma_{sin\phi}, \sigma_{tan\lambda}, \sigma_{q/p_T}$ ) through error propagation as described in slide 6
  - 2. Update covariance matrix diagonal elements:

 $\begin{cases} P[2][2] += \sigma_{sin\phi}^{2} \\ P[3][3] += \sigma_{tan \lambda}^{2} \\ P[4][4] += \sigma_{q/p_{T}}^{2} \end{cases}$ 



#### **KALMAN FILTER**



# **KALMAN FILTER IN GENERAL**

1. Make a priori predictions for the current step's state and covariance matrix using the a posteriori best estimate of the previous step (i.e. updated using measurement)





Note: In the first iteration step we use step 0 estimates for the state vector and the covariance matrix  $(s_0, P_0)$ , which can be made very roughly

# KALMAN FILTER IN GENERAL

2. Calculate the measurement residual and the Kalman Gain

RESIDUAL
$$\tilde{y}_k = m_k^h - H(s_k^-)$$
 $R$  $H$ KALMAN GAIN $K_k = P_k^- H^T (R + H P_k^- H^T)^{-1}$ MEASUREMENT  
NOISE COVARIANCECONVERSION  
MATRIX

#### 3. Update the estimate

STATE VECTOR
$$s_k^+ = s_k^- + K_k \tilde{y}$$
COVARIANCE MATRIX $P_k^+ = (1 - K_k H) P_k^-$ 

Note: in the case where R is a null matrix  $s_k^+ = s_k^h$ and  $P_k^+ = 0$  Note: the conversion matrix is needed to make the dimensions of vectors and matrixes turn out right. For exemple if  $s_k^h$  is a 2-D vector and  $s_k^-$  is 5-D, then H would be a 2 × 5 matrix:  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

## KALMAN FILTER MODEL

• Use parametrization used in ALICE: free parameter z, state vector  $s = (y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{p_T})$  ( $\phi$  azimuthal angle,  $\lambda$  dip-angle,  $p_T$  transverse momentum in yz plane), evolution function:

$$\frac{1}{1} \frac{dy}{dz} = \frac{k * (\sin\phi_0 + \sin\phi_1)}{k * (\cos\phi_0 + \cos\phi_1)}$$

$$\frac{y_1}{y_1} = y_0 + \frac{(\sin\phi_0 + \sin\phi_1)}{(\cos\phi_0 + \cos\phi_1)} * dz$$

$$\frac{1}{1} dx = \operatorname{arch} * \tan\lambda = \theta * r * \tan\lambda$$

$$\theta = \phi_1 - \phi_0 = \operatorname{arcsin}(\sin(\phi_1 - \phi_0)) =$$

$$= \operatorname{arcsin}(\cos\phi_0 \sin\phi_1 - \cos\phi_1 \sin\phi_0)$$

$$x_1 = x_0 + \tan\lambda * \frac{r}{q} * \operatorname{arcsin}(\cos\phi_0 \sin\phi_1 - \cos\phi_1 \sin\phi_0)$$

### KALMAN FILTER MODEL

• Use parametrization used in ALICE: free parameter z, state vector  $(y, x, sin\phi, tan\lambda, \frac{q}{p_T})$  ( $\phi$  azimuthal angle,  $\lambda$  dipangle,  $p_T$  transverse momentum in yz plane), evolution function:

2) 
$$dz = r * \sin \phi_1 - r * \sin \phi_0$$
  
 $\sin \phi_1 = \sin \phi_0 + \frac{dz}{r}$ 



45

#### **HELIX FIT**



1/r

- c = 1/r and  $\sin \phi_0$  estimated by finding  $(z_c, y_c)$ and r of the yz plane circomference passing through the first, last and middle hit point of the particle trajectory
- After traslating the coordinate system to have the origin on the first point  $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (0,0)$  we have the circumference equations:

$$\begin{cases} z_{C}^{2} + y_{C}^{2} = r^{2} \\ (z_{1} - z_{C})^{2} + (y_{1} - y_{C})^{2} = r^{2} \\ (z_{2} - z_{C})^{2} + (y_{2} - y_{C})^{2} = r^{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} z_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \left( z_{2} - y_{2} \frac{z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2}) + y_{1}(y_{1} - y_{2})}{z_{2}y_{1} - z_{1}y_{2}} \right) \\ y_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \left( z_{2} - y_{2} \frac{z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2}) + y_{1}(y_{1} - y_{2})}{z_{2}y_{1} - z_{1}y_{2}} \right) \end{cases}$$

$$r = \sqrt{z_{C}^{2} + y_{C}^{2}}$$



We evaluate tan λ from the yz plane arc between the first two points and the correspondent movement in the x direction (magnetic field direction) using r estimate from previous step:







- Given parameter estimation from global helix fit, estimate uncertainties through error propagation
- Uncertainties associated with x and y:  $\sigma_{xy}$ ; z free parameter with no uncertainty  $\sigma_z = 0$  (as in the Kalman filter)
- Formula for  $\sin \phi_0$  estimation is function of  $f(z_0, y_0, z_1, y_1, z_2, y_2)$  but since  $\sigma_z = 0$ , consider only  $f(y_0, y_1, y_2) \rightarrow$ From error propagation we get:

$$\sigma_{\sin\phi_0} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_0}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f(y_0, y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_3}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2}$$

• This can be approximated as:

$$\sigma_{\sin\phi_0} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{f(y_0 + \sigma_{xy}, y_1, y_2)}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{f(y_0, y_1 + \sigma_{xy}, y_2)}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2 + \left(\frac{f(y_0, y_1, y_2 + \sigma_{xy})}{\sigma_{xy}}\right)^2 \sigma_{xy}^2}$$

- Repeat the process with other parameters to get respective uncertainties
- Estimate for covariance matrix  $P_0$  is diagonal matrix with:

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xy}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{xy}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{sin\phi}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{tan\lambda}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{q/p_T}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Note: off-diagonal elements could also be calculated, but are not at the moment

