

ND-GAr timing (discussion)

- Imperial College group: P.Dunne, A.Klustova, N.Khan,
 I.Xiotidis
- Meeting: ND-GAr weekly
- Date: 19-Sep-2023



Imperial College London

Overview

- Scope of discussion
- DUNE Timing system summary
- Experience from TOAD
- Scaling to ND-GAr
- Summary

ND-GAr timing

19-Sep-2023



ND-GAr timing

19-Sep-2023

Imperial College London

Scope of the discussion

- Contacted by ND-DAQ coordinator (A. Kaboth) to have an ND-Timing discussion during the CM (Thursday)
- Following questions addressed to all ND sub-detectors:
 - How many and which electronics do you expect to be connected to the timing system? (Endpoint count)
 - What clock/timestamp alignment do you require within the detector
 - What clock/timestamp alignment do you require with respect to the neutrino spill start
 - Will you need to be able to identify bunches within the spill
 - What clock jitter (pref. expressed in phase noise spectrum) can you tolarate at each timing endpoint)
 - What clock/timestamp alignment do you require to any other ND detector (e.g. ND-LAr)



ND-GAr timing

19-Sep-2023

Imperial College London

DUNE Timing System summary

- Definitely not an expert on this
- Default clock freq. for DUNE: 62.5MHz
 - Typical: <20ps jitter on clock
- Generation at master
 - Using GPS + Accelerator signals
- Distribution over a 312MHz data stream
 - Over optical fibre
- Clock recovery and synchronisation in Firmware
- Produce: 64-bit timestamp (ns precision)
 - At the end-point



Imperial College London Experience from TOAD

- Clock recovered in FPGA with DUNE endpoint firmware
- Synchronisation of atpressure electronics via Serial Transceivers
 - O(ns) time differnence with respect to TS
- FEC sampling at 20MHz
 - O(us) resolution
- Local clock compared with DUNE clock to adjust time stamp
 - O(10ns) resolution for phase difference
- Timestamps from start of spill as spill length constant



ND-GAr timing

NGAr timing 19-Sep-2023

Imperial College London

Scaling to ND-GAr TPC

- O(700k) channels on ND-GAr charge readout:
 - Requires: O(220) TIP cards = O(220) timing endpoints
 - With current design we are looking at micron precision on incoming diffused signals (20MHz from SAMPA)
 - Do we need more?
 - With new electronics design seems like a fractional division for SAMPA clock is needed (worse case a few ns, but always deterministic), can we discuss about a common sampling frequency?
 - Timestamps for TPC should be synchronised with ECAL signal at least
 - What precision does the ECAL have in readout?
 - Do we need to be syncrhonised with ND-LAr?
- In case readout is optical, what are the requirements there in terms of timing?



Imperial College London

Summary

- From the TOAD experience we can answer some of the question for ND-GAr:
- How many and which electronics do you expect to be connected to the timing system? (Endpoint count): Charge readout O(220)
- What clock/timestamp alignment do you require within the detector: Integer division from DUNE clock (62.5 isn't really great) - synch'd timestamps between TPC and ECAL
- What clock/timestamp alignment do you require with respect to the neutrino spill start: We want start of beam spill (end as well if not fixed)
- Will you need to be able to identify bunches within the spill: ?
- What clock jitter (pref. expressed in phase noise spectrum) can you tolarate at each timing endpoint): Charge readout requires <100 dBc/Hz for transceivers to work
- What clock/timestamp alignment do you require to any other ND detector (e.g. ND-LAr): We will need alignment with ND-LAr/SAND (?)



Imperial College London

THANKS

