

FD-CAFMaker update

Pierre Granger



Some context

Overhaul of the CAF format by Jeremy Wolcott

- Richer true interaction informations
 - Hierarchical structure with common fields among all detectors and specific branches for specific detectors
 - MCTruth particles are saved
 - Reco particles/tracks/showers are saved
-
- In use for ND studies. Wanna follow to use common format.
 - Also provides some fields required for the atmospheric that were not available before.

```
class StandardRecord
{
public:
  /// Metadata about the detectors
  SRDetectorMetaBranch meta;
  /// Information about the beam configuration and beam pulse for this event
  SRBeamBranch beam;
  /// Truth information
  SRTruthBranch mc; ← Need to add info for atmo HERE
  /// Reconstructed info expected to be common to all (?) detectors
  SRCommonRecoBranch common;
  /// Reconstructed info unique to the FDs
  SRFDBranch fd; ← Need to add info for atmo HERE
  /// Reconstructed info unique to the ND complex
  SRNDBranch nd;
};
```

Draft pull request in duneana

First initial implementation of the new CAFMaker

<https://github.com/DUNE/duneana/pull/40>

Hierarchical CAF #40

Edit <> Code

 Draft **pgranger23** wants to merge 3 commits into `DUNE:deveLop` from `pgranger23:Hierarchical-CAF`

Conversation 0

Commits 3

Checks 0

Files changed 5

+906 -225



pgranger23 commented last week • edited

Don ...

Overhaul of the CAFMaker to produce CAFs with the new hierarchical format. Only part of the information is filled for now, the exact implementation of the remaining part has to be discussed, hence the reason for this draft pull request.

Here is a nonexhaustive list of discussion points:

Topics to discuss

1. What to do of the "old" CAFMaker?
2. Need to see if the beam info can actually be retrieved
3. How to store the reco information (PFPS, all showers + tracks, ahowers + tracks with some PID, ...)
4. How to select the detector we are using: fcl parameter or infer based on the geometry name?
5. Discuss about the SRFDBranch branch in general.



 **Pierre Granger** added 3 commits last week

Save the previous CAFMaker while fixing a pointer going out of scope

b76ecba

First version of the updated CAFMaker

752b73c

Merge commit '49b3562e43b03988210fbcbaaadfa4856dde2fa0' into Hierarch...

d1dd65a

Reviewers

No reviews

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues.

None yet

Notifications

Customize

Unsubscribe

Add more commits by pushing to the `Hierarchical-CAF` branch on `pgranger23/duneana`.

CAFMaker versioning

Main options:

1. Getting rid of the previous CAFMaker version
2. Keep supporting the previous CAF format in the new CAFMaker
3. **Keeping the previous CAFMaker as a CAFMaker_legacy module**

-> Might be tricky to keep both as they require different duneanaobj versions!

Fixed a bug in the CAFMaker that (luckily) did not seem to have an impact before (lead to segfaults with the newer CAFMaker).

SetBranchAddress to pointer going out of scope

```
caf::StandardRecord sr;  
  
if(fTree){  
    caf::StandardRecord* psr = &sr;  
    fTree->SetBranchAddress("rec", &psr);  
}
```

Some fields are not filled

Beam info

```
// TODO: Need to see if these info can be retrieved
// inter.baseline ///< Distance from decay to interaction [m]
// inter.prod_vtx ///< Neutrino production vertex [cm; beam coordinates]
// inter.parent_dcy_mom ///< Neutrino parent momentum at decay [GeV; beam coordinates]
// inter.parent_dcy_mode ///< Parent hadron/muon decay mode
// inter.parent_pdg ///< PDG Code of parent particle ID
// inter.parent_dcy_E ///< Neutrino parent energy at decay [GeV]
// inter.imp_weight ///< Importance weight from flux file
```

Not sure any of this can actually be retrieved. Does someone know?

I imagine this could be used for some uncertainty treatment

Matching right detector

```
// full FDs (Phase II modules TBD...)
SRDetectorMeta fd_hd;    ///< Horizontal drift (a.k.a. module 1)
SRDetectorMeta fd_vd;    ///< Vertical drift (a.k.a. module 2)

// FD prototypes (add VD ProtoDUNE if/when we CAF it?)
SRDetectorMeta pd_hd;    ///< Horizontal drift prototype
```

Should parse geometry name?
Or rather use a fcl parameter?

Storing the energy reco information

```
class SRNeutrinoEnergyBranch
{
private:
    static constexpr float NaN = std::numeric_limits<float>::signaling_NaN();

public:
    float calo      = NaN; ///< Calorimetric estimate using all hits
    float lep_calo  = NaN; ///< Lepton (longest track or largest shower) + calorimetric estimate from remaining hits
    float regcnn    = NaN; ///< Regression CNN (assumes nue hypothesis)
};
```

Do we want to stick with these 3 different energy reconstructions, or add some more granularity to the info? (See H. Souza slides in next talk)

- Lepton E reco method (MCS/CSDA)
- Different methods to measure the MCS -> (chi2, LogL)

Storing the reco information

```
/// A FD reconstructed neutrino interaction
class SRFDInt
{
public:
  // these are just placeholders.
  // need to coordinate w/ FD reco folks to put something sensible in here
  std::vector<SRTrack> tracks;
  std::size_t ntracks = 0; // these counters used by SRProxy

  std::vector<SRShower> showers;
  std::size_t nshowers = 0;
};

/// The information needed to uniquely identify a FD reco object
struct SRFID
{
  FD_RECO_STACK reco = kUnknownFDReco; ///< reco stack
  int ixn = -1; ///< interaction ID
  int idx = -1; ///< index in container
};

class SRFD
{
public:

  std::vector<SRFDInt> pandora; ///< Reconstructed interactions
  std::size_t npandora = 0;

  /// Convenience function:
  /// Given a specific reco pathway (specified with a SRFD::RECO_STACK value),
  /// an interaction index, and a track index, return the associated reco object
  template <typename T>
  const T & Reco(const SRFID& id);
};
```

SRFD object to put reco informations for FDs.

What to actually save there?

- All objects as track and shower?
- PFP based on their track/shower likeliness?
- More algos than pandora?

Some more reco info in SRInteraction/SRRecoParticlesBranch

```
class SRRecoParticlesBranch
{
public:
  int ndlp = 0; // need these counters for SRProxy
  std::vector<SRRecoParticle> dlp; ///< Particles reconstructed by DeepLearnPhysics machine learning stack

  int npandora = 0;
  std::vector<SRRecoParticle> pandora; ///< Particles reconstructed by Pandora

  int npida = 0;
  std::vector<SRRecoParticle> pida; ///< Particles bearing weights from PIDA algorithm
};
```

What to put there?
PFP/Track/Shower?

Objects to be saved in the CAF file

Currently implemented:

- **cafTree:** StandardRecord tree
- **meta:** Meta tree with pot/run/subrun infos
- **genieEvt:** genie::NtpMCEventRecord for systematics calculation

Anything more needed?

Conclusion

- First version of the updated CAFMaker.
- Still some fields to be filled. Requires some choices to be made.
- Anyone interested/concerned, please take a look at the draft PR (<https://github.com/DUNE/duneana/pull/40>) and make any comment
- We can discuss here the various topics if you already have some opinions

Waiting for your comments!