BARYON OSCILLATIONS

Nikhil Padmanabhan, Yale Snowmass@SLAC, 3/6/2013

Questions

- Where are we today in BAO experiments?
 - BOSS, WiggleZ
- What is the current portfolio of planned BAO experiments?
 - Where will this leave us?
- What opportunities are there, both on short and long term timescales?
 - Our portfolio needs to include options for lower-cost and near-term experiments as well as larger/future ones →very important that we show our program has science results coming out in a regular schedule (Siegrist, CAA)

Next steps

- Projects
 - Redshift range
 - Probes
 - Eg. 21 cm intensity mapping
- Analysis techniques
 - Are we extracting all the information we can?
 - Error estimation (simulations)
- Systematics
 - Simulations

The Standard Ruler in the Galaxy Correlation Function



Measuring $d_A(z)$ and H(z)



- Transverse scale measures angular diameter distance
- Radial scale measures the Hubble constant
- Internal consistency tests
- H(z) unique amongst dark energy probes
- H(z) important to constrain dark energy at high redshifts

Observables

- Positions on the sky and redshifts
 - 3D map of the Universe
 - Precision redshifts require a spectroscopic survey
- Galaxy surveys are much more than just BAO
 - Redshift space distortions
 - Non-gaussianities
 - Cross-correlations
 - More than just the same survey, same observables



Why BAO?

- Simple measurement
 - Only requires positions
- Underlying theory is simple
 - Mostly linear physics (fluctuations are 1 part in 10⁴)
 - Exquisitely calibrated by the CMB (~1% with WMAP, much better with Planck)
 - 3D feature (hard to mimic)
 - Very large scales >> scales of astrophysical complications
 - Can be treated perturbatively

What is BOSS?

- Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey
- BAO with galaxies, Lyman-alpha forest
- On going dark energy experiment
 - Funded by DoE, NSF, Sloan Foundation and Participating Institutions
- The definitive low redshift BAO measurement
- 1% distance measurements at z=0.35, 0.6
- First results with 1/3 of the data out!

BOSS surveys a larger volume and redshift range



The BAO Feature clearly detected



Anderson et al, 2012

The BAO Feature clearly detected

BAO measure the expansion history

Anderson et al, 2012

BAO measure the expansion history

Anderson et al, 2012

BAO measure the expansion history

The complementarity of BAO and SN

BOSS as a precursor survey : Observational Systematics

Ross et al, 2012

BOSS as a precursor survey : Analysis Techniques

Anderson et al, 2012

BOSS as a precursor survey : Analysis Techniques

Anderson et al, 2012

BAO Experiments : Past, Present and Future

| Survey | Redshift | Years | Precision |
|------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 2dFGRS | 0.2 | Completed | detection |
| SDSS-I/II | 0.35 | Completed | 2% |
| WiggleZ | 0.7 | Completed | 4% |
| BOSS | 0.35, 0.55, 2.5 | 2009-2014 | 1.7% at z=0.57, 3.4% at z=2.3 |
| BOSS | 0.35, 0.55, 2.5 | 2009-2014 | 1% (0.35, 0.55), 1.5% (2.5) |
| HETDEX | 3.0 | 2013-2015 | 1% |
| eBOSS | 0.6-1.0, 1-2, 2- | 2014-2020 | 0.8%-2.0%, 1.5%, |
| Sumire PFS | 0.6-2.4 | 2017 | ~1% (6 bins) |
| MS-DESI | 0.2-1.7, 2-3.5 | 2018- | <1% (multiple bins) |
| WFIRST | 1.5 – 2.5? | ?? | <1% (multiple bins) |
| Euclid | 0.7 – 2.0 | 2021 | <1% (multiple bins) |

BAO Surveys : Future

| | BOSS | e-BOSS | MS-DESI | SuMIRe PFS |
|---------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | 2009-2014 | 2014-2020 | 2018- | 2017- |
| Telescope | 2.5m | 2.5m | 4m @KPNO or CTIO | 8.2 Subaru Tel. |
| Imaging survey | SDSS | SDSS, WIRO, SCUSS, WISE | PTF, Pan-Starrs, DES? | Hyper SuprimeCam |
| Redshift | 0.2 <z<0.7< td=""><td>0.6<z<2< td=""><td>0.2<z<2+z~2-3.5 (lya)<="" td=""><td>0.6<z<2.4 [oii]<="" td=""></z<2.4></td></z<2+z~2-3.5></td></z<2<></td></z<0.7<> | 0.6 <z<2< td=""><td>0.2<z<2+z~2-3.5 (lya)<="" td=""><td>0.6<z<2.4 [oii]<="" td=""></z<2.4></td></z<2+z~2-3.5></td></z<2<> | 0.2 <z<2+z~2-3.5 (lya)<="" td=""><td>0.6<z<2.4 [oii]<="" td=""></z<2.4></td></z<2+z~2-3.5> | 0.6 <z<2.4 [oii]<="" td=""></z<2.4> |
| Sky coverage | 10000 deg ² | 1500-7500 deg ² | 14000 deg ² | $\sim 2000 \text{ deg}^2$ |
| Field-of-view | 6.7 deg^2 | 6.7 deg^2 | 6.7 deg^2 | 1.8 deg^2 |
| Number of fibers | 1000 | 1000 | 5000 | 2400 |
| Wavelength range | 360-1000nm | 360-1000nm | 340-1000nm | 400-1300 nm |
| Spectral resolution | 1600-2600 | 1600-2600 | 2300-5000 | ~3000 |
| Target galaxies | LRGs | ELGs+QSOs | LRGs+[OII] emitters | LRGs+[OII] emitters |

Kyle Dawson, priv. comm.

MS-DESI is **BIG**

Distance Constraints

Mike Blanton, priv. comm.

Distance constraints

- **MS-DESI** has <1% distance errors
- Probe the expansion history over the widest redshift range

Beyond dark energy

Systematics

More work remains here – simulations

Mehta et al, 2010

Next steps

- Projects
 - Redshift range
 - Probes
 - Eg. 21 cm intensity mapping
- Analysis techniques
 - Are we extracting all the information we can?
 - Error estimation (simulations)
- Systematics
 - Simulations