#### Astrophysical tests of gravity

Bhuvnesh Jain University of Pennsylvania

Collaborators Vinu Vikram, Jeremy Sakstein Anna Cabre, Joseph Clampitt, Justin Khoury, Jake VanderPlas

References BJ & Khoury (2010) arXiv: 1004.3294 BJ & VanderPlas (2011) arXiv: 1106.0065 BJ,Vikram & Sakstein (2012) arXiv: 1204.6044 Vikram, Cabre, BJ & VanderPlas (2013) arXiv: 1303.0295

## Outline

- A new opportunity for gravity tests within few-100 Mpc
- Results on tests of gravity using distance indicators: Cepheids, TRGB stars and SMBH masers
- Disks of dwarf galaxies: signatures in warps, rotational and infall motions
- Stepping back: fashioning tests of gravity in the era of dark energy

*Note: Simplified and approximate treatment; see papers for caveats!* 

## **Modified Gravity I**

#### how cosmological effects show up in local galaxies

Why should we do weak field tests outside the solar system?

- Glib answer: because the universe is accelerating!
- **Generically** get scalar-tensor theories: scalar field provides an attractive, fifth-force (Theories:Vainshtein/DGP, Chameleon/f(R), Symmetron...)

-  $a = -\nabla(\Psi_s + \Psi_N)$ , and  $\Psi_{s} \sim \Psi_N$ 

This can enhance effective G & forces on galaxies by 10s of %

• GR restored in the Milky Way via screening mechanisms that work for massive/dense objects. *Vainshtein 72; Khoury & Weltman 2004* 

Unscreened galaxies in the local universe are ideal places to look for signatures of modified gravity

## **Modified Gravity II**

stars, gas and dark matter

• Enhanced forces can alter the luminosities, colors and ages of stars in unscreened galaxies.

- Newtonian potential of Milky Way and of main sequence stars:  $\psi \sim 10^{-6}$
- Giant stars may feel higher G<sub>eff</sub>. *Chang & Hui 2010; Davis et al 2011; BJ, Vikram, Sakstein 2012*

• Dark matter and gas clouds are diffuse -> should feel the fifth/scalar force if their host galaxy is unscreened.

- Stars rotate slower and separate from gas due to external forces
- Black holes and stars may also separate in some scenarios Hui, Nicolis & Stubbs 2009; BJ & VanderPlas 2011; Hui & Nicolis 2012

## **Modified Gravity III**

#### deviations from GR on different scales

- Galaxy infall
  - Redshift space infall motions enhanced
  - Dynamical masses > Lensing masses
- Galaxy kinematics
  - Gas rotates faster than stars
  - Asymmetric rotation curves
- Galaxy morphology
  - Warped disks, aligned with fifth force
  - Stellar disk offset from gas disk (and red giants)
  - SMBH black holes offset from central starlight
- Stars
  - Giant stars are hotter and evolve faster
  - Cepheids pulsate faster



Tests with distance indicators

# Giant stars and SMBH masers as distance indicators

• Cepheids are 3-10  $M_{\odot}$  giant stars that pulsate over days to weeks. The period P and luminosity L are tightly related -> distance indicator

-Newtonian potential in oscillating envelope of star ~  $10^{-7}$ 

-  $P \sim 1/\sqrt{G\rho}$ 

-Scalar force enhances  $G \rightarrow lowers P \rightarrow underestimate distance$ .

• The peak luminosity at the TRGB (tip of the red giant branch) is nearly universal for 1-2  $M_{\odot}$  stars -> distance indicator

- Newtonian potential at surface of He core  $\sim 10^{-5}$
- Distance is affected only for large field values, and has the opposite change from cepheid distance

• Water masers around SMBHs provide a geometric method: independent of G!

## **Cepheid Variables**







#### Stellar evolution beyond the main-sequence



## Tip of the Red Giant Branch



M < 1.8 M<sub>☉</sub>, He core
 L ~ M<sub>c</sub><sup>7</sup>/R<sub>c</sub><sup>5</sup>



Santa Fe Workshop

## Masers



#### Two ways of getting purely geometric distance

## Enhanced G inside giant stars



Modified gravity -> enhanced forces in stellar envelopes

### **Cepheid Period-Luminosity Relation**



Screened and unscreened samples have consistent P-L relations.

## Cepheids vs TRGB distances



Gravity test with 25 galaxies: no sign of deviation from GR

## **Chameleon constraints**



Coupling parameter of scalar to matter

## **Summary: Constraints on Gravity**

- Coupling Strength  $\alpha \rightarrow \Delta G/G$ 
  - $\alpha = 1/3$  for all f(R) gravity models)
- Background field value (aka f<sub>R0</sub>, or, Range of 5<sup>th</sup> force) -> Threshold Newtonian Potential which determines screened vs. unscreened halos
- Astrophysical tests with dwarf galaxies and cepheids probe:
  - $f_{R0} > 10^{-7}$  (Fifth force range of >1 Mpc)
  - $\alpha \sim 1/5 1$
- No deviations from GR are detected
- Our tests are designed for chameleon theories; also apply to symmetron and possibly to dilaton theories.
- Several caveats apply...

Tests with disk galaxies

#### **Small scale tests: warps and offsets**





- Tidal streams study of Kesden & Kamionkowski (2006) constrained dark matter-only fifth force within the Milky Way. Modified gravity effects are universal and suppressed in Milky way.
- Dwarf galaxies are fascinating for many reasons!

#### **Small Scale Tests: Rotating Disks**



- Rotation curves of stars are displaced from HI gas, and are asymmetric BJ & VanderPlas 2011; Hui, Nicolis & Stubbs 2009
- Analysis of radio and optical data ongoing: Vikram et al, in preparation

## How to find (un)screened regions?



## **SDSS** screening map



Cabre et al. 2012: publicly available catalog

Santa Fe Workshop



# 1. Offset in HI vs. Hα rotation curves



Mean velocity offset is 0.76 +/- 1.49 km/s for a sample of over 20 late-type dwarf galaxies. Expected signal: >5 km/s



## 3. Warping of stellar disks



Warps for ~400 galaxies with ALFALFA and SDSS images. *Vikram, Cabre et al, in prep.* 

#### 4. Small Scale Tests: Offset of Gas and Stars



- Offset between HI gas and stars: requires improved resolution/100x bigger sample
- Offset between centroid of red giants in dwarf galaxies within 10 Mpc with HST imaging. No trend..

Vikram, Cabre, BJ, Vanderplas 2013

## Summary of dwarf galaxy tests

Using 4 different tests of gravity effects on disk galaxies, we obtain complementary constraints on modified gravity theories.

Stepping back: tests at higher redshift and cosmological scales

#### Halos: 101.1

$$ds^{2} = -(1+2\psi)dt^{2} + (1-2\phi)a^{2}(t)d\mathbf{x}^{2}$$

*Lensing*: Einstein Rings, Shear, Magnification: Measures (φ+ψ). Relation to mass involves Poisson eqn.

•Dynamics: Velocity dispersion, Rotation, Infall: Measures Newtonian potential  $\psi$ 

 $M_{\text{lensing}} = (1 + \gamma)/(2\gamma) M_{\text{dynamics}}, \qquad \gamma = \psi/\phi$ 

•If we use the same set of galaxies, can compare halo dynamics and lensing without needing the relation of galaxies to host halos.

### Small Scale Tests at z>0.1: Einstein rings



 $\gamma = \psi/\phi = 1.01 \pm 0.05$  from Einstein Rings + velocity dispersion Error bars are statistical.

Bolton et al 2006; Schwab, Bolton, Rappaport 2010

#### **Linear Regime Growth Factors**

$$ds^{2} = -(1 + 2\psi)dt^{2} + (1 - 2\phi)a^{2}(t)d\mathbf{x}^{2} \quad \text{Metric}$$

$$\nabla^{2}(\psi + \phi) = 8\pi G_{eff}a^{2}\overline{\rho}\delta \quad \text{Poisson}$$

$$\eta = \phi/\psi \quad \eta = \phi/\psi \quad \eta \text{ and } G_{eff} \text{ can be scale and time}$$

$$\delta'' + 2H\delta' - \frac{8\pi G_{eff}}{1 + \eta}\overline{\rho}a^{2}\delta = 0 \quad \eta \text{ and } G_{eff} \text{ can be scale and time}$$

Different growth factors for density and metric potentials:

- Density growth factor:  $\mathbf{D}_{\delta}(z,k)$
- Lensing growth factor:  $\mathbf{D}_{\psi+\phi} \propto \mathbf{G}_{eff} \mathbf{D}_{\delta,}$
- Dynamical growth factor  $D_{\psi} = \eta/(1+\eta) D_{\psi+\phi}$

This description is valid on scales of 10s-100s Mpc.

### **Tests on Large Scales: Lensing+Dynamics**



•SDSS data: 20% test of gravity at 10-30 Mpc scale

•Other large-scale tests: CMB, ISW, galaxy clustering, lensing, cluster counts

•Caveat: signal appears to be weak if current models are any indication

Zhang et al 2007; BJ & Zhang 2007; Schmidt, Vikhlinin, Hu 2010; Lombriser et al 2010; Appleby & Linder 2012

## Astrophysical and cosmological probes of gravity



#### Research program for dark energy (BOSS, DES, LSST, MS-DESI) can be augmented to test gravity.

 $\bigcirc$ 

## What next for gravity tests?

- What kind of gravity test will be most powerful?
  - Depends on the theory, and we don't have a working model at the moment, so need to keep an open mind. But screening mechanisms are generic and some signatures of different mechanisms are already known
  - Lab, solar system, stellar, intra- and inter-galactic scales all have potential: see talks by Amol Upadhye, Fabian Schmidt and Andrew Tolley
- What can we do now for astrophysical tests?
  - Study the instrumental capabilities for studying individual galaxies via:
     (a) high resolution imaging, (b) spectroscopy and (c) radio observations of HI disks
  - Scope out other tests, such as via pulsars and supermassive black holes, and figure out the instrumental needs
  - Map out the nearby universe to determine screening level in different scenarios
  - Develop detailed predictions for screening mechanisms: how well can this be done without full models?
- Cosmological scale tests will be carried out by surveys already planned. There is ongoing work on fashioning the tests.