

# Fermilab testbeam data analysis

Hui-Chi Lin On behalf of the analysis team

Calvision meeting Jan 18 2024

## Introduction

- Last time we saw that the PWO time spectrums at 0 degree are similar between the filtered and non-filtered sides, and therefore hard to distinguish the Cherenkov component [slides].
- At 0 degree, the thickness that the protons pass through is 2.5 cm and we only expect on average 2 Cherenkov photons after the filter is applied, it's hard for us to detect.
- Therefore, this time we analyzed the data of larger angles (longer lengths that protons can pass through). We see starting from +80 degree there are larger differences between filtered and nonfiltered sides.



## PbF2 vs PWO time spectrums (real size) at +90 degree

- PWO/PbF2 spectrum comparison at large angles [80 degree result].
- Filters are applied on PWO for ch0-3. No filters are applied on PbF2.
- are also mainly from showering [backup].



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

• Amplitude of average spectrum is comparable to or even larger for PWO than PbF2 on the filtered side. This trend is related to the long tail of the amplitude distribution for PWO [backup]. In addition, the PWO events





## PbF2 vs PWO time spectrums (normalized) at +90 degree

[80 degree result]



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

• At large angles, the shape of PWO spectrums for the filtered side matches the PbF2 spectrum!



## <u>Compare the time spectrums from filtered and non-filtered sides</u> Check if the spectrums from both sides are distinguishable

### **PWO time spectrums at +90 degree (real size)**

- Time spectrum comparison of channels w/ and w/o filters at 90 degree.
- the crystal surface.
- amplitude from the filtered side is larger (5%) [other examples].



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024



• Ch 0,1,2,3 are compared to Ch7,6,5,4 respectively as they are symmetric across the line perpendicular to

• Amplitude of ch0-3 (w/ filters) is on average smaller than that of ch4-7. However, for some individual events



### **PWO time spectrums at +90 degree (normalized)**

- degree.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

## Compare the time spectrums at $\pm 90$ degree Check the directionality of the Cherenkov light

## **PWO** average time spectrum comparison of $\pm 90$ degree



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

### Compare the time spectrums at +80 and +90 degree

Check how the size of the amplitude changes w.r.t angles for filtered and non-filtered side.

# **Comparison between 80/90 degrees (Real Size)**

- the amplitude of 90 degree is larger.
- the scintillation light is isotropic).



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

• Filtered side: amplitude is similar between 80 and 90 degrees for ch 1 and 3, while for ch 0 and 2

• Non-filtered side: amplitude is similar between 80 and 90 degrees for all channels (can tell us that



# **Comparison between 80/90 degrees (normalized)**

Shape of time spectrums is similar between 80 and 90 degrees.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

# **PWO time spectrums at different angles (Real Size)**

• Time spectrums of larger positive angels have larger amplitude on the filtered side.



### Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024



# **PWO time spectrums at different angles (normalized)**

• Time spectrums of larger positive angels have narrower spectrums on the filtered side.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024



## Conclusion

- angles (+80 and +90) degrees.
- Checked that the shape of PWO spectrums (filtered side) is consistent with that of PbF2 spectrums.
- to have the directional property of Cherenkov light.
- function) for a potential publication.

• Time spectrums from the filtered and non-filtered side are possible to be distinguished at large

• From the comparison between +90 and -90 degrees, the PWO events from the filtered side seem

• In addition, we will in parallel work on re-analyzing the PbF2 data (e.g. with more appropriate fit



Back up

## PbF2 vs PWO time spectrums (real size) at +80 degree

PWO/PbF2 spectrum comparison at large angles.



### Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024







## PbF2 vs PWO time spectrums (normalized) at +80 degree



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

### • At large angles, the shape of PWO spectrums for the filtered side matches the PbF2 spectrum!

[back]



## Amplitude of PbF2 and PWO at +90 degree

- PbF2 peaks around 70 ADC while PWO is only a decaying spectrum (showering events).
- espectially for PWO.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

• The amplitude of the average time spectrum is affected largely by the large amplitude events,







## Amplitude of PWO at +90 degree

- Left: events passing amplitude>5\*noise for ALL channels  $\rightarrow$  shower-like
- Right: events passing amplitude>5\*noise for Ch4-7 ONLY  $\rightarrow$  MIP-like



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024





# **PWO time spectrums at +80 degree (real size)**

- Time spectrum comparison of channels w/ and w/o filters at 80 degree.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024



# **PWO time spectrums at +80 degree (normalized)**



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

• Time spectrum (normalized to the same amplitude) comparison of channels w/ and w/o filters at 80 degree.



# **PWO time spectrums at +90 degree (real size)**

• Events whose amplitude from the filtered side is larger than that from the non-filtered side.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024

[back]







# **PWO time spectrums at +90 degree (real size)**

• Events whose amplitude from the filtered side is larger than that from the non-filtered side.



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024







### **PWO spectrum comparison of ±80 degree**



Calvision meeting | Jan 18 2024





## June 2023 Test Beam @Fermilab Setup

- 120 GeV protons, ~45k protons evenly distributed in 4 s
- Only one spill per minute, 8 mm horizontally and 4 mm vertically
- Only at most one proton expected in our readout window







### Calvision Testbeam Backup

## June 2023 Test Beam @Fermilab Datasets

Crystal	Size	Filter (S side only)	Run #	Angle (°)	# of events	Satuarated-event ra (%)
PbF2	6x2.5x2.5 cm <sup>3</sup>	No filter	11-29	0 to ±90 (10° interval)	~40k-70k	θ <30°: 2% 30°< θ <60°: 10% 60°< θ : 30%
PWO		R60	31-66	0 to ±90 (5° interval, except ±85°)	~30k-70k	$ \theta  < 30^{\circ}$ :2% $30^{\circ} <  \theta  < 60^{\circ}$ :15% $60^{\circ} < \theta$ :20% $\theta < -60^{\circ}$ :35%
		No filter	103-121	0 to -50 (5° interval), 0 to +25 (5° interval), ±90	~20k-40k	θ <30°: 5% 30°< θ <60°: 15% 60°< θ : 45%
BGO		U330	68-101	0 to -45 (5° interval), 0 to +50 (5° interval), -55, -65, -75, ±90	~50k-60k	θ <30°: 7% 30°< θ <60°: 20% 60°< θ : 40%

### Calvision Testbeam Backup



## **Data pre-processing**

- Events with at least one channel readout value saturated are thrown away
- Pedestal correction: Average over the ADC counts in the time range (0,  $t_{peak} 20$ ) ns as the base count. Subtract all points in the event by the base count.
- Time correction.

ADC count



### After time shifting

# Waveform for each crystal ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ )

• PbF2: no filter for all channels; PWO and BGO: w/ fiter for ch 0-3, w/o filter for ch 4-7







Calvision Testbeam Backup

200

# Average Time Spectrum ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ )

- run.
- PbF2: no filter for all channels; PWO and BGO: w/ fiter for ch 0-3, w/o filter for ch 4-7



Calvision Testbeam Backup

• The spectrums shown are the average over all events (without the saturated ones) in the same