

Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) Evaluation Form

Title			USI Report	t #E led by ESH once Form submitted]					
			Tto be provid	ed by Lott office Form Submitted;					
USI Ev	aluatior	า Quest	tions						
Part 1 -	- Review	v for Cre	edited Control & ASE Violation						
The foll	owing qı	uestions	s will determine if Credited Controls are/were affected	d, and if the ASE was					
			estions below associated with USI Screening Questions						
"unkno	wn". Incl	ude just	tification/discussion/comments for responses to ques	tions. Attach additional					
docume	ents as n	eeded.							
USI Ev	aluation	Part 1 C	Questions						
1.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected facility or soil specified as Credited C	ontrol within the SAD/ASE?					
2.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected movable shielding specified as Credit	ted Control within the					
			SAD/ASE?						
3.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected fencing specified as Credited Control						
4.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected RSIS component specified as a Credit SAD/ASE?	ed Control within the					
5.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected ODH Safety System component spec	ified as a Credited Control					
			within the SAD/ASE?						
6.	□ yes	□ no	Were the operation authorization documents speci- within the SAD/ASE?	Were the operation authorization documents specified as a Credited Control					
7.	□ yes	□ no	Was accelerator operator staffing specified as a Cre SAD/ASE?	dited Control within the					
8.	□ yes	□ no	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Were the operational parameters specified as a Credited Control or the basis					
			for a Credited Control within the SAD/ASE?						
□ yes	□ no	If any (of the above questions are answered "yes" – Is the sy	stem affected					
	<u> </u>	1	anent, or was it affected during accelerator operations						
□ yes	□ no		answer to the previous question is "yes" – was acceler						
		-	tted after determining that the affected system was s	pecified as					
		Credite	ted Control within the SAD/ASE?						
Part 1 C	Questions	; Justifico	ation/Discussion/Comment						



Part 2 – Review for Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 9-10 answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	aluation	Part 2 C	Questions						
9.	□ yes	□ no	Will increased volume of cryogens affect the ODH Posting Classification? Or change the risk evaluation to an unacceptable level of I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD? Or will a different type of accident than previously evaluated in the SAD be introduced that would have a Risk Category of I or II?						
10.	□ yes	□ no	Will new device introduce a new worst-case beam loss? Or change the risk evaluation to an unacceptable level of I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD? Or will a different type of accident than previously evaluated in the SAD be introduced that would have a Risk Category of I or II?						
□ yes	□ no	-	of the above questions are answered "yes" – Will any Credited Controls o be modified, or new Credited Controls identified?						
		•	,						
Part 2 C	Questions	: Justifica	ation/Discussion/Comments						



Part 3 – Review for Non-Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new non-accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 11 answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 3 Questions							
11.a	□ yes	□ no	Is the hazard evaluated in the SAD?					
11.b	□ yes	□ no	Will the hazard change the risk evaluation to an unacceptable level of I or II?					
11.c	□ yes	□ no	If 11.a is "no" or 11.b is "yes" – Is the hazard safely managed by other DOE					
			approved applicable safety and health programs and/or processes? (Including					
			ISM programs such as FESHM & FRCM.)					
11.d	□ yes	□ no	If 11.c is "yes" – Could the hazard initiate or contribute to other evaluated					
			accelerator accidents?					
11.e	□ yes	□ no	If 11.c is "no" or 11.d is "yes" – will additional Credited Controls be required to					
			mitigate risk to acceptable levels of III or IV, and included in the ASE?					

Part 3 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments



Part 4 – Review for Documentation Related to Accelerator Operation

The following questions will determine if the change to documents that are related to accelerator operation remain within the bounds of the SAD, or if SAD updates are required. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Evaluation Part 4 Questions							
12.a	□ yes	□ no	Were document updates purely editorial in nature? (i.e., updating organizational names or job titles, fixing typos, etc.)				
12.b	□ yes	□ no	If 12.a is "no" – were roles or responsibilities, or procedural steps modified in a way that is different than what is stated in the SAD?				
Part 4 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments							



Part 5 – Review for Miscellaneous Items

The following questions look at the proposed activity or discovered condition as a whole. Answer all questions below. Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	aluation	Part 5 C	Questions
13.	□ yes	□ no	Did/will a new accelerator, accelerator segment, and/or beamline be introduced?
14.	□ yes	□ no	Did/will an existing accelerator, accelerator segment, and or beamline be decommissioned?
15.	□ yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk
			categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by
			increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for an accident previously
			evaluated in the SAD?
16.	□ yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk
			categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by
			increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for a malfunction of a
			Credited Control identified in the ASE?
17.	□ yes	□ no	Could the change create the possibility of a different type of accident than
			previously evaluated in the SAD that would have a Risk Category of I or II?
18.	□ yes	□ no	Could the change increase the possibility of a different type of malfunction of
			a Credited Control identified in the ASE?
19.	□ yes	□ no	Will the SAD need to be updated?
20.	□ yes	□ no	Will an ASE need to be updated?

Part 5 Questions J	ustification/Disc	ussion/Comme	nts		



Additional Discussion/Justification/Comment	



The proposed

USI Evaluation Determination

Based on responses to evaluation questions above, utilize the following color coding to make final determination.

Color Codes:	Is NOT a USI	Is a USI	Is a USI & affects the SAD/ASE	Is a USI and an ASE violation
Approvals:	ESH ASD	+ SRSO	+ Director & FSO* (*ASE only)	FSO
		or CSO		

is NOT a USI, activity may commence [all ?s answered green]

со	tivity/discovered ndition: lect one]		IS a USI, requires SRSO or CSO approval prior to commencing [any? answered gold with no red or purple] IS a USI that affects the SAD/ASE, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO Manager* (*for ASE only) approval prior to commencing [any? answered red with no purple] IS a USI and an ASE violation, requires accelerator operations to be terminated immediately, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO Manager approval prior to commencing [any? answered purple]
Ide	ntified Actions	for	USIs
Ider	ntify and specify re	eleva	ant documents that need to be created or modified:
	1		
	Shielding Assess	mer	nt
	ODII Arcelesia		
	ODH Analysis		
	SAD Chapter		
	SAD Chapter		
	ASE		
	Other		
	Other		
_			
Ider	ntify any additiona	al act	tions necessary:
	1,,		
П			



Approvals

The following approvals are required based on the determination above. Once approvals are received, issue is determined to be a Reviewed Safety Issue and the activity and/or operations may resume and/or commence.

All Issues	
Machine Owner [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	ESH Accelerator Safety Department Head [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Determined to be USIs	
Senior Radiation Safety Officer (SRSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	OR Chief Safety Officer (CSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues That Affect the SAD and/ASE	
Fermilab Director [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	FSO Manager* only if ASE affected [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Found to Have Violated the ASE	
FSO Manager [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	

Submit the completed USI Evaluation Form to the ES&H Division Accelerator Safety Department for appropriate records retention and notifications.