

Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) Evaluation Form

Title				USI Report #	ted]
				. ,	•
USI Eva	aluatior	n Quest	ions		
Part 1 -	- Review	for Cre	edited Control & ASE Violation		
The foll	owing qu	estions	will determine if Credited Controls are/w	ere affected, and if the ASE was	
			stions below associated with USI Screenir	•	
	•	tion/disc	cussion/comments for responses to quest	ions. Attach additional documents	as
needed	•				
USI Ev	aluation	Part 1 C	Questions		
1.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected facility or soil specified as		SE?
2.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected movable shielding specifi SAD/ASE?	ed as Credited Control within the	
3.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected fencing specified as Cred	ited Control within the SAD/ASE?	
4.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected RSIS component specified SAD/ASE?	d as a Credited Control within the	
5.	□ yes	□ no	Is the affected ODH Safety System comp within the SAD/ASE?	ponent specified as a Credited Conti	rol
6.	□ yes	□ no	Are the affected operation authorization Control within the SAD/ASE?	n documents specified as a Credited	ł
7.	□ yes	□ no	Is affected accelerator operator staffing the SAD/ASE?	specified as a Credited Control with	nin
8.	□ yes	□ no	Are the affected operational parameters the SAD/ASE?	s specified as a Credited Control wit	hin
If any o	f the que	stions a	bove are answered "yes", answer the follow	owing:	
□ yes	□ no	Is this	a permanent change?		
□ yes	□ no	Was th	ne ASE violated?		
Part 1 C	Questions	Justifico	ation/Discussion/Comment		



Part 2 – Review for Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 9-10 answered "yes". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 2 Questions							
9a.	□ yes	□ no	Will increased volume of cryogens affect the ODH Posting Classification?					
9b.	□ yes	□ no	Will increased volume of cryogens change the risk evaluation to an					
			unacceptable level of I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD?					
9c.	□ yes	□ no	Will increased volume of cryogens introduce a different type of accident than					
			previously evaluated in the SAD that would result in a Risk Category of I or II?					
10a.	□ yes	□ no	Will installation of the new device result in a new beam-loss accident that has					
			not been evaluated in the SAD?					
10b.	□ yes	□ no	Will installation of the new device result in a beam loss that changes the risk					
			for a previously evaluated accident to an unacceptable level of I or II?					

If any of the questions above are answered "yes", answer the following:

□ yes	□ no	Will any Credited Controls need to be modified, or new Credited Controls identified?	
D 2 0		- Lastification (Discussion (Community	
Part 2 Q	uestions	s Justification/Discussion/Comments	



Part 3 – Review for Non-Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new non-accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 11 answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 3 Questions						
11.a	□ yes	□ no	Is the hazard evaluated in the SAD?				
11.b	□ yes	□ no	Will the hazard change the risk evaluation to an unacceptable level of I or II?				
11.c	□ yes	□ no	If 11.a is "no" or 11.b is "yes" – Is the hazard safely managed by other DOE				
			approved applicable safety and health programs and/or processes? (Including				
			ISM programs such as FESHM & FRCM.)				
11.d	□ yes	□ no	If 11.c is "yes" – Could the hazard initiate or contribute to other evaluated				
			accelerator accidents?				
11.e	□ yes	□ no	If 11.c is "no" or 11.d is "yes" – will additional Credited Controls be required to				
			mitigate risk to acceptable levels of III or IV, and included in the ASE?				

Ра	rt 3 Questions J	Justification/Dis	scussion/Comm	nents		
	_		_	_		



Part 4 – Review for Documentation Related to Accelerator Operation

The following questions will determine if the change to documents that are related to accelerator operation remain within the bounds of the SAD, or if SAD updates are required. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Evaluation Part 4 Questions							
12.a	□ yes	□ no	Were document updates purely editorial in nature? (i.e., updating				
			organizational names or job titles, fixing typos, etc.)				
12.b	□ yes	□ no	If 12.a is "no" – were roles or responsibilities, or procedural steps modified in a				
			way that is different than what is stated in the SAD?				

tification/Disc			



Part 5 – Review for Miscellaneous Items

The following questions look at the proposed activity or discovered condition as a whole. Answer all questions below. Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 5 Questions								
13.	□ yes	□ no	Did/will a new accelerator, accelerator segment, and/or beamline be introduced?						
14.	□ yes	□ no	Did/will an existing accelerator, accelerator segment, and or beamline be decommissioned?						
15.	□ yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD?						
16.	□ yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for a malfunction of a Credited Control identified in the ASE?						
17.	□ yes	□ no	Could the change create the possibility of a different type of accident than previously evaluated in the SAD that would have a Risk Category of I or II?						
18.	□ yes	□ no	Could the change increase the possibility of a different type of malfunction of a Credited Control identified in the ASE?						
19.	□ yes	□ no	Will the SAD need to be updated?						
20.	□ yes	□ no	Will an ASE need to be updated?						

Part 5 Questions	Part 5 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments							



Additional Discussion/Justification	on/Comment	



USI Evaluation Determination

Based on responses to evaluation questions above, utilize the following color coding to make final determination.

The proposed

is NOT a USI, activity may commence [all ?s answered green]

Color Codes:	Is NOT a USI	Is a USI	Is a USI & affects the SAD/ASE	Is a USI and an ASE violation
Approvals:	ESH ASD	+ SRSO	+ Director & FSO* (*ASE only)	FSO
		or CSO		

activity/discovered condition: [select one]			IS a USI, requires SRSO or CSO approval prior to commencing [any? answered gold with no red or purple] IS a USI that affects the SAD/ASE, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO Manager* (*for ASE only) approval prior to commencing [any? answered red with no purple] IS a USI and an ASE violation, requires accelerator operations to be terminated immediately, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO Manager approval prior to commencing [any? answered purple]
Ide	ntified Actions	for I	JSIs
lder	ntify and specify re	eleva	ant documents that need to be created or modified:
	Shielding Assess	men	it
	ODH Analysis		
	SAD Chapter		
	ASE		
	Other		
	Other		
lder	ntify any additiona	al act	tions necessary:



Approvals

The following approvals are required based on the determination above. Once approvals are received, issue is determined to be a Reviewed Safety Issue and the activity and/or operations may resume and/or commence.

Machine Owner [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	ESH Accelerator Safety Department Head [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Determined to be USIs	
Senior Radiation Safety Officer (SRSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	OR Chief Safety Officer (CSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues That Affect the SAD and/ASE	
Fermilab Director [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	FSO Manager* only if ASE affected [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Found to Have Violated the ASE	
FSO Manager [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	

Submit the completed USI Evaluation Form to the ES&H Division Accelerator Safety Department for appropriate records retention and notifications.