Evaluating radiation impact on transmon qubits in above and underground facilities

Tanay Roy, Francesco De Dominicis, Ambra Mariani, Mustafa Bal, Nicola Casali, Ivan Colantoni, Francesco Crisa, Angelo Cruciani, Fernando Ferroni, Dounia L Helis, Lorenzo Pagnanini, Valerio Pettinacci, Roman M Pilipenko, Stefano Pirro, Andrei Puiu, Alexander Romanenko, David v Zanten, Shaojiang Zhu, Anna Grassellino, Laura Cardani

SQMS Center, Fermilab

RISQ 2024 Workshop

30 May 2024

arXiv 2405.18355

Report number: FERMILAB-SLIDES-24-0118-SQMS

National Quantum Initiative Act (2018)

10 yr plan to accelerate the development of **quantum information science & technology applications.**

*DOE shall establish and operate **NQI Science Research Centers** to conduct basic research to accelerate scientific breakthroughs in quantum information science and technology.*

5 NQI DOE centers (2020)

SQMS SUPERCONDUCTING QUANTUM MATERIALS & SYSTEMS CENTER

C²QA Co-design Center for Quantum Advantage

Quantum Systems Accelerator

Q-NEXT

QUANTUM SCIENCE CENTER

SQMS Center highlights

34 partner institutions

> 535 collaborators

SQMS brings together hundreds of experts from more than 30 DOE national labs, academia, industry and other federal and international entities to bring transformational advances in QIS

The Quantum Garage

Tour tomorrow

Don't miss!!

8 extra large dilution refrigerators, numerous qubits and cavities, nanofab tools and materials science capabilities

Superconducting devices



2D Transmons

3D SRF cavities

Bal et al. npj Quant. Info. 10, 43 (2024)
Roy et al. PoS LATTICE2023, 127

SQMS Science & Technology Innovation Chain

Materials → High-coherence devices → Systems integration → New platforms for quantum computing & sensing → Quantum advantage







Developing a full understanding of sources of decoherence → Demonstrating devices with systematically higher coherence → Integrating devices into quantum processors → Deploying quantum computing and sensing facilities → Experiments with quantum computing and sensing advantage

Decoherence channels in 2D



- Two-level systems (TLS)
- Bulk substrate losses
- Quasiparticles



Surface encapsulation



Average T_1 = 320 μs

Best $\mathbf{T_1}$ = **600 μs**





Bal et al. npj Quant. Info. 10, 43 (2024)

Decoherence channels in 2D



- Two-level systems (TLS)
- Bulk substrate losses
- Quasiparticles

→
- ➢ Thermal
- ➢ Infrared radiation
- ➢ Ionizing radiation

Effect of radiation

$T_1 \sim 1\ \mu s$



Study time dynamics of a single qubit

Radiation resilient: Quantum processor

Radiation sensitive: Particle detector

Martinis, npj Quant. Info. 7:90 (2021)
Wilen *et al.*, Nature 594, 369 (2021)
Cardani *et al.*, Nat. Comm. 12, 2733 (2021)
McEwen *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 18, 107 (2022)
Thorbeck *et al.*, arXiv:2210.04780 (2022)
Cardani *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C 83:94 (2023)
Harrington *et al.*, arXiv:2402.03208 (2024)
Li *et al.*, arXiv:2402.04245 (2024)
McEwen *et al.*, arXiv:2402.15644 (2024)
and others…

Correlated error

Effect of radiation



Study time dynamics of a single qubit

Radiation resilient: Quantum processor

Radiation sensitive: Particle detector

Martinis, npj Quant. Info. 7:90 (2021)
Wilen *et al.*, Nature 594, 369 (2021)
Cardani *et al.*, Nat. Comm. 12, 2733 (2021)
McEwen *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 18, 107 (2022)
Thorbeck *et al.*, arXiv:2210.04780 (2022)
Cardani *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C 83:94 (2023)
Harrington *et al.*, arXiv:2402.03208 (2024)
Li *et al.*, arXiv:2402.04245 (2024)
McEwen *et al.*, arXiv:2402.15644 (2024)
and others…

Correlated error

Experimental locations

Systematic comparative study



FNAL: above-ground

LNGS: deep underground

Simulated rates

- Far sources (can be shielded)
  - Muon particles
  - Environmental gamma rays
- Close sources (can't be shielded)
  - Radioactive contaminations





Source	FNAL (ev/10^3s)	LNGS w. shields (ev/10^3s)
Lab γ rays	46 ± 2	1.3 ± 0.1
Muons	8.0 ± 0.5	$< 10^{-5}$
Contaminations	2.7 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.5
Total	$\mathbf{57 \pm 3}$	$\mathbf{4.0 \pm 0.6}$

Cardani *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C 83:94 (2023)

Devices under study



- 4 transmons
- Similar frequency, geometry
- $T_1 \sim 100\ \mu s$

Parameter	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Units
Material	Nb/Au	Nb/Ta	Nb/Ta	Nb/Ta	N/A
Qubit frequency	4717.4	4455.4	4451.3	4294.8	MHz
Readout frequency	7206.8	7055.0	6886.5	6714.5	MHz
Qubit π pulse length	0.150	0.091	0.124	0.160	μs
Qubit average T_1	84	141	131	214	μs
Readout pulse length	4.5	3.8	4.0	8.0	μs
Waiting period	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	μs
Cooldown period	50.0	70.0	70.0	10.0	μs
One iteration period	64.550	87.929	84.324	31.660	μs

arxiv: 2405.18355

Comparison of standard T_1

FNAL



LNGS









T_1 of same qubit shows similar avg. and fluctuations

Detection protocol

- ❑ Prepare $|e\rangle$ through active reset
- ❑ Measure after 5 μs
- ❑ Wait and repeat



$T_1 \sim 100\ \mu s$

P(g) = 5%

$T_1 \sim 1\ \mu s$

P(g) = 99%





McEwen *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 18, 107 (2022)

Signal detection

Operation	Time (μs)
Readout	4 - 8
π pulse	0.09 – 0.160
Detection	5
Waiting	10 - 60
Total	30 - 90









Different pulse shapes



Fast falling edge

Medium falling edge

Slow falling edge

Milli-second timescale

Similar time-profile observed at both locations

Above-ground measurements

$T_1 > 130\ \mu s$

Qubit #	Measured ev/10^3 s
Q2	10.2 ± 0.5
Q3	10.0 ± 0.2
Q4	6.4 ± 0.1

Predicted ~57 ev/10^3 s

10-20% efficiency

Cosmic μ

Environmental γ rays

Uniform distribution



SUPERCONDUCTING QUANTUM MATERIALS & SYSTEMS CENTER

Missed events



SUPERCONDUCTING QUANTUM MATERIALS & SYSTEMS CENTER

Baseline fluctuations



- Lasts for sub-second to about a minute
- Visible on all qubits
- Not associated with preceding pulses

New analysis strategy



- Compute T_1' using P_{avg} and wait period
- Compute binomial probability P of obtaining a sequence
- Trigger if $P < 1\% \Rightarrow |\log P| > 4.6$



Underground data



Qubit	Rate (ev/10^3s)	Observed /simulated
Q1 (1)	23 ± 4	6
Q1 (2)	10 ± 1	2.5

Comparison with above-ground data



Qubit	Rate (ev/10^3s)	Observed /simulated
Q1 (1)	23 ± 4	5.75
Q1 (2)	10 ± 1	2.50
Q2	5 ± 1	0.09
Q3	1262 ± 12	19.30
Q4	46 ± 5	0.79

Different total rates

Other sources of noise produce radiation-like signatures

Underground measurements with Th sources

Thorium



Transmons are sensitive to strong γ source

Underground measurements with Th sources

Thorium



Linear behavior

Potential for a detector

Study of TLS activation





Check $\log P$ before & after

No significant difference

$|g\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$ transition

- Reset to $|g\rangle$
- Measure after a waiting period





No significant difference

Radiation impact on computation

r = Rate of impact
ΔT = Time window

$$P_{impact} = 1 - e^{-r.\Delta T}$$

$P_{impact} < 0.1\%$ if
$\Delta T < 17$ ms (unshielded)
$\Delta T < 250$ ms (shielded)

$P_{impact} < 10^{-4}$ for modern transmons



Summary

- **Above and underground comparative study using single qubits**
- **QP burst events last for several milli-seconds**
- **Radiation unlikely to play a major role in T_1 drops at short timescales**
- **Radiation should not limit single-qubit errors of contemporary devices**

arXiv: 2405.18355

Next steps

- Understanding the source of QP bursts
- Test on different materials and geometry
- Coincidence measurements on same and different chips
- Investigate sporadic instabilities
- Make qubits resilient against sudden T_1 drops



SUPERCONDUCTING QUANTUM MATERIALS & SYSTEMS CENTER

THANK YOU

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, National Quantum Information Science Research Centers, Superconducting Quantum Materials and Systems Center (SQMS) under contract number DE-AC02-07CH11359, and by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation, grant number US23GR09.