

Unreviewed Safety Issue (USI) Evaluation Form

Title

USI Report # _____-E [to be provided by ESH once Form submitted]

USI Evaluation Questions

Part 1 – Review for Credited Control & ASE Violation

The following questions will determine if Credited Controls are/were affected, and if the ASE was violated. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 1-8 answered "yes". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 1 Questions				
1.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is the affected facility or soil specified as Credited Control within the SAD/ASE?		
2.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is the affected movable shielding specified as Credited Control within the		
			SAD/ASE?		
3.	🗆 yes	□ no	Is the affected fencing specified as Credited Control within the SAD/ASE?		
4.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is the affected RSIS component specified as a Credited Control within the		
			SAD/ASE?		
5.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is the affected ODH Safety System component specified as a Credited Control		
			within the SAD/ASE?		
6.	🗆 yes	□ no	Are the affected operation authorization documents specified as a Credited		
			Control within the SAD/ASE?		
7.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is affected accelerator operator staffing specified as a Credited Control within		
			the SAD/ASE?		
8.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Are the affected operational parameters specified as a Credited Control within		
			the SAD/ASE?		

If any of the questions above are answered "yes", answer the following:

🗆 yes	□ no	Is this a permanent change?			
🗆 yes	□ no	Was the ASE violated?			

Part 1 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comment

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science www.fnal.gov

ESH DOCDB 3361 REV. 11/2023

Part 2 – Review for Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 9-10 answered "yes". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 2 Questions				
9a.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will increased volume of cryogens affect the ODH Posting Classification?		
9b.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will increased volume of cryogens change the risk evaluation to an		
			unacceptable level of I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD?		
9c.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will increased volume of cryogens introduce a different type of accident than		
			previously evaluated in the SAD that would result in a Risk Category of I or II?		
10a.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will installation of the new device result in a new beam-loss accident that has		
			not been evaluated in the SAD?		
10b.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will installation of the new device result in a beam loss that changes the risk		
			for a previously evaluated accident to an unacceptable level of I or II?		

If any of the questions above are answered "yes", answer the following:

🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will any Credited Controls need to be modified, or new Credited Controls
		identified?

Part 2 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments

Part 3 – Review for Non-Accelerator Specific Hazards

The following questions will determine if the change could introduce new non-accelerator specific hazards not previously evaluated in the SAD, or change the risk categorization of a previously evaluated hazard to a Category I or II. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions 11 answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 3 Questions				
11.a	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Is the hazard evaluated in the SAD?		
11.b	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will the hazard change the risk evaluation to an unacceptable level of I or II?		
11.c	🗆 yes	🗆 no	If 11.a is "no" or 11.b is "yes" – Is the hazard safely managed by other DOE		
			approved applicable safety and health programs and/or processes? (Including		
			ISM programs such as FESHM & FRCM.)		
11.d	🗆 yes	🗆 no	If 11.c is "yes" – Could the hazard initiate or contribute to other evaluated		
			accelerator accidents?		
11.e	🗆 yes	🗆 no	If 11.c is "no" or 11.d is "yes" – will additional Credited Controls be required to		
			mitigate risk to acceptable levels of III or IV, and included in the ASE?		

Part 3 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments



Part 4 – Review for Documentation Related to Accelerator Operation

The following questions will determine if the change to documents that are related to accelerator operation remain within the bounds of the SAD, or if SAD updates are required. Answer all questions below associated with USI Screening Questions answered "yes" or "unknown". Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 4 Questions				
12.a	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Were document updates purely editorial in nature? (i.e., updating		
			organizational names or job titles, fixing typos, etc.)		
12.b	🗆 yes	🗆 no	If 12.a is "no" – were roles or responsibilities, or procedural steps modified in a		
			way that is different than what is stated in the SAD?		

Part 4 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments

Part 5 – Review for Miscellaneous Items

The following questions look at the proposed activity or discovered condition as a whole. Answer all questions below. Include justification/discussion/comments for responses to questions. Attach additional documents as needed.

USI Ev	USI Evaluation Part 5 Questions					
13.	🗆 yes	□ no	Did/will a new accelerator, accelerator segment, and/or beamline be introduced?			
14.	🗆 yes	□ no	Did/will an existing accelerator, accelerator segment, and or beamline be decommissioned?			
15.	🗆 yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for an accident previously evaluated in the SAD?			
16.	🗆 yes	□ no	Could the proposed activity or discovered condition change the risk categorization (either by increasing the probability of an occurrence or by increasing the consequence) to a Category I or II for a malfunction of a Credited Control identified in the ASE?			
17.	🗆 yes	□ no	Could the change create the possibility of a different type of accident than previously evaluated in the SAD that would have a Risk Category of I or II?			
18.	🗆 yes	□ no	Could the change increase the possibility of a different type of malfunction of a Credited Control identified in the ASE?			
19.	🗆 yes	□ no	Will the SAD need to be updated?			
20.	🗆 yes	🗆 no	Will an ASE need to be updated?			

Part 5 Questions Justification/Discussion/Comments



Additional Discussion/Justification/Comment

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science www.fnal.gov

ESH DOCDB 3361 REV. 11/2023

USI Evaluation Determination

Based on responses to evaluation questions above, utilize the following color coding to make final determination.

Color Codes:	Is NOT a USI	Is a USI	Is a USI & affects the SAD/ASE	Is a USI and an ASE violation
Approvals:	ESH ASD	+ SRSO	+ Director & FSO* (*ASE only)	FSO
		or CSO		

The proposed	is NOT a USI, activity may commence [all ?s answered green]
activity/discovered condition:	IS a USI, requires SRSO or CSO approval prior to commencing [any ? answered <mark>gold</mark> with no <mark>red</mark> or <mark>purple</mark>]
[select one]	IS a USI that affects the SAD/ASE, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO
	Manager* (*for ASE only) approval prior to commencing [any ? answered <mark>red</mark> with no <mark>purple</mark>]
	IS a USI and an ASE violation, requires accelerator operations to be terminated immediately, requires: SRSO or CSO; Director; and FSO Manager approval prior to commencing [any ? answered purple]


Identified Actions for USIs

Identify and specify relevant documents that need to be created or modified:

Shielding Assessment	
ODH Analysis	
SAD Chapter	
ASE	
Other	
Other	

Identify any additional actions necessary:

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science <u>www.fnal.gov</u>





Approvals

The following approvals are required based on the determination above. Once approvals are received, issue is determined to be a Reviewed Safety Issue and the activity and/or operations may resume and/or commence.

All Issues

Machine Owner [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	ESH Accelerator Safety Department Head [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Determined to be USIs	
Senior Radiation Safety Officer (SRSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	OR Chief Safety Officer (CSO) [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues That Affect the SAD and/ASE	
Fermilab Director [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	FSO Manager* only if ASE affected [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]
All Issues Found to Have Violated the ASE	
FSO Manager [Name, Fermilab ID # & Date]	_

Submit the completed USI Evaluation Form to the ES&H Division Accelerator Safety Department for appropriate records retention and notifications.