





Recent LFV results from CMS

<u>Federica Simone</u> on behalf of the CMS experiment Università & INFN Bari

Sep 16-22 2024, Argonne National Laboratory

Outline

• Top quark sector:

- Search for LFV in top quark production and decay to 3I
- Search for LFV in top quark interactions with an up-type quark, a muon and a tau lepton
- Exotic signatures:
 - Search for LFUV via $Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$ + one or two b-jets
- B-Physics:
 - Search for the LFV decays $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$
 - R(K): Test of LFU in $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays
 - $R(J/\psi)$: Test of LFU in $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \tau^+ \nu_{\tau} / B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ decays



LF(U)V and possible New Physics scenarios

Lepton Flavor Violation: no fundamental symmetry enforcing lepton flavor conservation in the SM extended to include neutrino oscillations

• LFV in the charged sector through ν oscillation predicted with $\mathcal{B} \sim 10^{-55}$, any observation would indicate NP!



Lepton Flavor Universality: In the SM, the couplings of the leptons to the gauge bosons (W, Z) are of equal strength

- the Yukawa coupling exhibits a flavour structure, giving each charged lepton family different mass
- additional forces could exhibit similar favour structures, and have enhanced couplings to 3rd generation leptons

Many **BSM theories** predict LFV with $\mathcal{B} \sim 10^{-8}$, **accessible by present-day experiments**!





cLFV in the top quark sector: an EFT interpretation

Effective Lagrangian used to parametrise the cLFV top interactions throught relevant dimention-6 operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_{a} C_a^{(6)} O_a^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^4}\right)$$
Scale of new physics ~ 1 TeV
$$\int \int \frac{Structure Operator Deminton Vinsor Coefficients: 3 Lorentz structures × 2 choices of the structure operator operator Deminton Vinsor Coefficients: 3 Lorentz structures × 2 choices of the structure operator operator demonstrates and the structure operator operator demonstrates operator operator demonstrates operator demonstrates operator operator demonstrates operator demonstrates operator operator demonstrates operator demonstrates operator demonstrates operator demonstrates operator operator demonstrates operato$$

light quark flavor

Search for cLFV in the top quark sector: *µetq*

Probe μ etq coupling in EFT in t production and decay, where q=u/c

Signal signature:

- OS eµ pair
- Leptonic top quark decay → additional lepton + one b-jet
- one/zero light jet (u/c)

Background:

- Prompt (WZ, multiboson, $t(\bar{t}) + X(X)$) from simulation
- Non-prompt data-driven estimation

Statistically dominated, main systematics: lepton reco. and iso, jet modelling, non-prompt leptons

Two Signal regions defined: -



CFLV in single top production



 $t\bar{t}$ production + CLFV in top decay

 $SR + m(e\mu) < 150$ GeV: top quark decay enriched, $SR + m(e\mu) > 150$ GeV: top quark production enriched.

arXiv:2312.03199v1 submitted to PRD

Search for cLFV in the top quark sector: µetq

Most stringent limits

on $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow e \mu q)$ to date



No excess observed. Limits on WCs converted to limits on branching fractions of LFV top decay

	CLFV	Lorentz	${\cal B}({ m t} ightarrow{ m e}\mu{ m q}) imes10^{-6}$				
	coupling	structure	Exp. (68% CL range)	Obs.			
		Tensor	0.027 (0.018–0.040)	0.032			
	eµtu	Vector	0.019 (0.013–0.028)	0.022			
-		Scalar	0.010 (0.007–0.016)	0.012			
		Tensor	0.396 (0.272–0.585)	0.498			
	eµtc	Vector	0.296 (0.203–0.440)	0.369			
		Scalar	0.178 (0.122–0.266)	0.216			





CMS-TOP-22-011

Search for cLFV in the top quark sector: $\mu \tau t q$

Probe $\mu \tau t q$ coupling in EFT in t production and decay, where q=u/c

Signal signature:

- OS μ and τ_h pair
- \geq 3 jets, including one b-jet

Background:

- Prompt backgrounds based on the simulation
- Jets mis-identified as hadronic taus estimated datadriven

Hadronically decaying top quark reconstructed by minimizing χ^2 of top quark and W boson mass



CMS-TOP-22-011

Search for cLFV in the top quark sector: $\mu \tau t q$

DNN for multiclass discrimination: background, ST CLFV, TT CLFV

- Important features: m_{top} , $m_{\mu\tau}$, m_W , p_T of μ and τ
- Probabilities combined into a single score



Uncertainties:

Limited sample size (5-30%), closure between data and MCdriven SF (10-35%)

CMS-TOP-22-011

Search for cLFV in the top quark sector: $\mu \tau t q$

Interaction	Туре	σ [fb]	$C_{\mathrm{tq}\mu\tau}/\Lambda^2[\mathrm{TeV}^{-2}]$	$\mathcal{B}(t ightarrow \mu au q)[10^{-6}]$
	Scalar	2.039 (2.337) [1.574, 3.594]	0.182 (0.194) [0.16, 0.241]	0.040 (0.046) [0.031, 0.071]
tuμτ	Vector	2.384 (2.746) [1.857, 4.213]	0.09 (0.096) [0.079, 0.119]	0.078 (0.09) [0.061, 0.138]
	Tensor	2.834 (3.326) [2.257, 5.063]	0.045 (0.049) [0.04, 0.06]	0.118 (0.138) [0.094, 0.211]
	Scalar	4.269 (5.02) [3.291, 8.142]	0.817 (0.886) [0.717, 1.128]	0.81 (0.953) [0.625, 1.545]
tcμτ	Vector	7.213 (8.552) [5.663 <i>,</i> 13.734]	0.419 (0.457) [0.372, 0.579]	1.71 (2.027) [1.342, 3.255]
	Tensor	7.927 (9.633) [6.427, 15.2]	0.188 (0.207) [0.169, 0.26]	2.052 (2.494) [1.664, 3.936]

No excess observed. Limits on WCs converted to limits on branching fractions of LFV top decay

Comparable limits to the $e\mu$ channel analyses



Search for a low-mass Z' associated with b-jets

- Probing Z' production via enhanced couplings to third quark generation.
- Here extending previous studies (doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2023)) to low-mass region (126-352 GeV)

Signal signature:

- $Z' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$
- One or two jets, min. one b-jet
- Two SRs defined: $SR_b^{\mu\mu}$ (1 jet) and $SR_{b+j/b}^{\mu\mu}$ (2 jets)

Background:

- DY at lower dilepton masses, $t\bar{t}$ at higher
- Fully data-driven background prediction (ABCD method)
 - CRs with di-electron and non b-jet states

$N_{ m b}$	$N_{ m jets}^{ m all}$	$\mu\mu$	ee		
≥ 1	2	$SR^{\mu\mu}_{b+j/b}$	$CR^{ee}_{b+j/b}$		
0	2	$CR_{j+j}^{\mu\mu}$	CR_{j+j}^{ee}		
1	1	$SR_b^{\mu\mu}$	CR_b^{ee}		
0	1	$CR_{j}^{\mu\mu}$	CR_j^{ee}		





CMS-EXO-22-006

Search for a low-mass Z' associated with b-jets

Relevant systematics: background fit, Jet energy scale and resolution

Simultaneous ML fit across data-taking years in both jet multiplicity categories to extract any potential signal contributions.

No deviation from background expectation

Results are provided in model-independent way, i.e. the two SR are not combined to **avoid assumptions on the mix of processes**

 acceptances for each production category is provided in the paper for further interpretation of the results



Search for LFV decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$

- $\tau \to 3 \mu$ transitions: golden channel for CLFV
- Fully reconstructed final state
- Clean experimental signature
- Abundant τ production at the LHC

W channel: low stat, high-pT leptons in the final state + MET





	nn / n = 12 TaV		·						
⇒ ⊑	$\begin{array}{c} PP \sqrt{3} = 13 \ Iev \\ \end{array}$		pp √s = 13 TeV	Year	Collab.	Process	Data	Exp.*	Obs.*
ື 0.25	$- PYTHIA8 LO - D \rightarrow TV$		$V \rightarrow \tau \nu$ PYTHIA8 LO	2010	Belle	$ee \rightarrow \tau \tau$	782 fb ⁻¹	-	2.1
0.2	$\cdots B \to \tau X$	1200		<u>2010</u>	BaBar	$ee \rightarrow \tau \tau$	468 fb ⁻¹	4.0	3.3
				<u>2014</u>	LHCb	$D/B \to \tau X$	3.0 fb⁻¹ (pp 7-8 TeV)	5.0	4.6
0.15		800		<u>2016</u>	ATLAS	$W \rightarrow \tau \nu$	20.3 fb ⁻¹ (pp 8 TeV)	39	38
0.1		600		<u>2023</u>	CMS	D/B and W	131 fb ⁻¹ (pp 13 TeV)	2.4	2.9
0.05		400 - L	7	<u>2024</u>	Belle II	$ee \rightarrow \tau \tau$	424 fb-1	-	1.9
							[*] × 10 ⁻	⁸ @ 90%	% C.L.
Ū	au p _T (GeV)		$ au p_T$ (GeV)	at LEV as suit				12)
			NULULIZUZT NULU	ni lev result					

Search for LFV decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$

Search for a peaking signal in the 3μ invariant mass over smooth background

• 3μ with common displaced vertex

Events **categorised** to enhance sensitivity based on $m(3\mu)$ resolution, production mode, year

Background:

- two real muons plus one fake (typically decayin-flight)
- 3 genuine muons two of which come from resonances ($\phi(1020) \omega(783)$, Ds $\rightarrow \eta (\mu\mu\gamma) \mu\nu$)
- Other combinatorial

Data sidebands as proxy for background

MVA muon ID and BDT classifier for **background** suppression



BDT

Search for LFV decay $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$



Dominant systematics related to signal normalisation, muon reconstruction and identification efficiencies etc

Results dominated by statistical uncertainty

2017+2018 analysis results:

HF channel: observed (exp) upper limit: 3.4x10⁻⁸ (3.6x10⁻⁸) 90% CL

W channel: observed (exp) upper limit: 8.0x10⁻⁸ (5.6x10⁻⁸) 90% CL

Analysis of 2016 data from previous paper: doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)163

Full Run 2 result: 2.9x10⁻⁸ (2.4x10⁻⁸) 90% CL

Tests of LFU in the Heavy Flavor sector

 $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$

$$R(H_s) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(H_b \to H_s \mu \mu)}{\mathcal{B}(H_b \to H_s ee)}$$

- Small BR (loop level)
- Precise theoretical predictions
- Neutrino-less

$$R_{K} = \frac{BF(B \rightarrow \mu \mu K)}{BF(B \rightarrow e e K)}$$

SM: 1.00 ± 0.01

$$b \to c \ell \nu_\ell$$

$$R(H_c) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(H_b \to H_c \tau \nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(H_b \to H_c \mu \nu_{\mu})}$$

- Large BR (tree level)
- Theory and syst. uncertainties
- Neutrinos in the final state

$$R(J/\psi) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\tau^+\nu_{\tau})}{\mathscr{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\mu^+\nu_{\mu})}$$

SM: 0.2582 ± 0.0038 PRL 125, 222003 (2018)

<u>Rep. Prog. Phys. 87 077802</u>

5.6

5.4

 $m(K^+e^+e^-)$ [GeV]

5.2

5

R(K): test of LFU in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays

 $R(K)(q^{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})(q^{2})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})K^{+})} / \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+}e^{+}e^{-})(q^{2})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to J/\psi(e^{+}e^{-})K^{+})}$ **Dataset**: B-parking 2018 sample (https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.16134) 33.6 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS Candidates / 20 MeV 300 Total fit $q^2 \in [1.1, 6.0] \text{ GeV}^2$ **Strategy:** fit the $K\ell\ell$ invariant mass in three ••• B⁺→K⁺u⁺u⁻ Signal: 1257 ± 31 Other B & Comb. 200 q² regions $B^{0/+} \rightarrow K^{*0/+} \mu^+ \mu^ B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ Data $J/\psi CR$ $\psi(2S) CR$ SR 6.0 10.24 12.6 14.44 q² [GeV] 8.41 1.1 Pull 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.5 5.6 5.4 5 Dedicated **low-pT electron** reconstruction $m(K^+\mu^+\mu^-)$ [GeV] 41.6 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS and ID down to 1 GeV Candidates / 50 MeV Total fit PF-PF Category - - B⁺→K⁺e⁺e⁻ $q^2 \in [1.1, 6.0] \text{ GeV}^2$ ----- Combinatorial 30 - Signal: 18 ± 7 $----B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ **Background**: combinatorial, partially Data 20 reconstructed $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \ell \ell$, leakage from resonant J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$

Background suppression via BDT

Pull

4.8

R(K): test of LFU in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays

Results: compatible with the SM **R(K)** in $q^2 \in [1.1; 6.0]$ GeV² in agreement with the world-average, with **unc. reduced by 40%** $= 0.78^{+0.46}_{-0.23} (stat)^{+0.09}_{-0.05} (syst)$

Limited by small stat. in the electron channel. Main syst: background description, trigger turn-on



NuFact2024 - Recent LFV results from CMS

arXiv:2408.00678 submitted to PRL

$R(J/\psi)$: Test of LFU in $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays (leptonic τ)

Dataset: 3µ events collected in 2018

Signal: 3μ +neutrinos \rightarrow both numerator and denominator have same reco. and fit

Discriminating variables:

- $q^2 = (p_B p_{J/\psi})$ for $1\nu/3\nu$ separation
- 3D IP significance between J/ ψ and μ
- significance of J/ψ displacement

Simultaneous binned maximum likelihood template fit of 14 regions:

- bkg. w. J/ψ and μ from hadron decays simulated, and constrained in high mass control region (CR)
- misID: in-flight decay of K^{\pm} and π^{\pm} NN weighting extrapolated from CRs
- Combinatorial modelled from low dimuon mass

Statistical close to syst., main syst.: *B*c form factors, misID, MC stats, kinematic modelling

$$R(J/\psi) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\tau^{+}\nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})}$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 5.5 \text{ GeV} \\\& \text{IP3D}/\sigma_{IP3D} > 2$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 5.5 \text{ GeV} \\\& \text{IP3D}/\sigma_{IP3D} > 2$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 5.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu^{+}\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} > 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} < 6.5 \text{ GeV} \\(B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+}\nu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} \& q^{2} & (B_{c}^{+} \to J/\psi\mu^{+})$$

$$m(3\mu) < m_{B_{c}} & (B_{c}^{+}$$

CMS-PAS-BPH-23-001

$R(J/\psi)$: Test of LFU in $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays (hadronic τ)

Dataset: full Run 2, J/ψ + track trigger

Signal: $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi(+\pi^0)$ neutrinos (dedicated low p_T τ_h reconstruction <u>ref</u>)

Background: non-Bc hadrons $H_b \rightarrow J/\psi X$, $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)}$ and other B_c decays

Background suppression via BDT

 τ flight length significance, particle multiplicity, isolation, vertex fit

Final state pions sorted in p_T and combined in OS pairs (ρ_1 and ρ_2) \rightarrow Unrolled ρ_1 vs ρ_2 used for sim. maximum likelihood fit to the signal region and



NuFact2024 - Recent LFV results from CMS

CMS-PAS-BPH-23-001

$R(J/\psi)$: Test of LFU in $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays (hadronic τ)

CMS *Preliminary*

Results:

 $R(J/\psi)_{had} = 1.04^{+0.50}_{-0.44}$

- Sensitivity driven by 2018
- Dominated by syst. unc.

Hadronic and leptonic channels share same denominator: combined result obtained performing an overall simultaneous fit

LHCb, Run1,
$$\tau_{\mu}$$

Phys. Rev. Lett.
120 (2018) 121801
CMS, 2018, τ_{μ}
CMS, PAS-BPH-22-012
CMS, Run2, $\tau_{3\pi}$
CMS
Combination
0 SM 0.5 1 1.5
R_{J/Ψ}

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{J/\psi}}=0.49\pm0.25\,\mathrm{(stat)}\pm0.09\,\mathrm{(syst)}$$

Conclusions

LFV and LFUV potentially sensitive instrument to look for new physics CMS physics programme embraces LF(U)V searches in different sectors:

- In the top quark sector, an EFT approach provides model-indepentent limits on μetq and $\mu \tau tq$ (new!) interactions
- Connections between LFU and third quark generation is probed by searches for new neutral boson Z' coupling to third family quarks, providing complementary approaches to existing searches
- Extensive flavor physics program explores LFV and LFUV with competitive results
 - Run 2 exclusion limits on $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$
 - First R(K) measurement at CMS
 - New $R(J/\psi)$ result with hadronic τ

 \rightarrow More to come with Run 3 data!