

NA61/SHINE MEASUREMENTS FOR NEUTRINOS

Laura Fields, University of Notre Dame NuFact 2024 WGIx3 19 Sept 2024







NEED FOR NEUTRINO FLUX PREDICTIONS

Bin

Events per E

- Accelerator-based neutrino experiments rely heavily on neutrino flux predictions
- Extracting oscillation parameters from data requires comparisons to predictions.
- Neutrino flux predictions underpin all accelerator-based neutrino simulations.





NEUTRINO FLUX SIMULATIONS



Geant4 or Fluka are typically used to predict hadrons produced in the beam line that decay to neutrino. Neutrino flux predictions start with **detailed simulations** of the neutrino beam line.





SIMULATION CHALLENGES

- But we know simulations are imperfect •
- Many models differ significantly from data; • model developers are always trying to improve, but it is not realistic to expect perfect predictions of all processes that matter to flux predictions.

Kaon momenta in 60 GeV/c $\pi^++C \rightarrow K^-+X$ interactions, measured at NA61/SHINE and compared to two Geant4 models, as well as Gibuu and Fluka.







x axis = Particle Momentum (p)



CORRECTING SIMULATIONS

- So we have to fix our predictions
- The only practical way to do this is through reweighting
- An example of how thin target data is used, from NuMI/DUNE:
- Complete information about cascades leading to a neutrino is recorded for each proton on target and stored in the flux tuples
- In MINERvA/NOvA/LBNF analyses, neutrino events are weighted by:

- Weights for events with multiple interactions in the ancestor chain are the product of the weight for each interaction
- A second weight is applied to account for assuming exponential exponential **attenuation of beam**:

$$w_{\rm att} = e^{-L
ho(\sigma_{\rm data} - \sigma_{\rm MC})}$$

ses differential cross sections

Total Inlastic Cross sections



Various total inelastic cross section measurements





FLUX UNCERTAINTIES



• Those interactions with no data dominate our uncertainties almost everywhere

- To construct flux uncertainties, we **assign uncertainties to every interaction** in the simulation, using the PPFX package developed by MINERvA
- In cases where the interaction has been measured, we take the uncertainty from data
- In cases where there is no data, we assign large (~40%) uncertainties based on data/MC disagreements where we do have data



CORRECTING SIMULATIONS

•We need lots of data!





HADRON PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS

This talk



Then Leo Aliaga will talk about what we're going to do with all this data!

The next talk (Robert Chirco)



NA61/SHINE:OVERVIEW



- Azerbaijan
 - National Nuclear Research Center, Baku
- Bulgaria
 - University of Sofia, Sofia
- Croatia
 - Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb
- France
- LPNHE, Paris
- Germany
 - University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt
 - KIT, Karlsruhe
 - Fachhochschule Frankfurt, Frankfurt
 - Institute of Advanced Studies, Frankfurt
- Greece
 - University of Athens, Athens
- Great Britain
 - University of Warwick, Warwick
- Hungary
 - Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest
 - Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest
- Japan
 - KEK, Tsukuba
 - University of Okayama, Okayama
- Norway
 - University of Bergen, Bergen
 - University of Oslo, Oslo

- Poland
 - AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow
 - Institute of Nuclear Physics, PAS, Cracow
 - Jagiellonian University, Cracow
 - University of Silesia, Katowice
 - Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce
 - Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw
 - University of Warsaw, Warsaw
 - National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw
 - Wroclaw University, Wroclaw
- Russia
 - Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna
 - Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow
 - National Nuclear Research Institute MEPhI, Moscow
 - St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg
- Serbia
 - University of Belgrade, Belgrade
- Switzerland
 - University of Geneva, Geneva
- CERN, Geneva
- United States
 - Fermilab, Batavia
 - University of Colorado, Boulder
 - University of Hawaii, Manoa
 - University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame
 - University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh

~150 Collaborators from 35 Institutions in 15 Countries

Fixed target experiment located at CERN's SPS (Super Proton Synchrotron)

• Receives secondary beam initiated by 400 GeV/c SPS protons

 Series of magnets selects desired momentum from I3-350 GeV/c range

• NA61's physics program covers three main topics:

- Nuclear physics Study the phase transition between hadron gas and QGP and search for a critical point
- **Cosmic ray physics** Hadron production measurements relevant to space and ground-based cosmic ray experiments
- Neutrino physics Hadron production measurements used to constrain neutrino flux uncertainties for acceleratorbased neutrino experiments













Beam Position Detectors (BPD) are proportional chambers that measure transverse position of beam particles





An additional scintillator counter downstream of target vetoes beam particles that do not interact





CEDAR and THC (Cerenkov Detectors) provide particle ID of beam particles.









Analysis magnets facilitate charge and momentum reconstruction





New Forward TPCs improve forward acceptance installed in 2016





Time of Flight System provides particle ID (along with dE/dx in TPCs)





"Projectile Spectator Detector" Hadron Calorimeter not used for neutrino program



NA61/SHINE OPERATIONAL FRAS



- Plans continue to evolve for future upgrades and operations

• Multi-phase program of hadron production measurements dedicated for neutrino physics

• Major upgrades during each Long Shutdown; e.g. improving forward TPCs to improve forward acceptance and new electronics for ~factor of 10 increase in DAQ rate (to 1 kHZ)



PHASE 1&2 DATA AND RESULTS

Beam	Target	Year	Measurements
p@31 GeV/c	С	2007	π ^{± 1} , K ^{+ 2} , K ⁰ S, Λ ^{0 3}
p@31 GeV/c	С	2009	π±, K±, p, K ⁰ S, Λ ⁰ 4
π+@31 GeV/c	C,Be	2015	Total Cross Section
π+@60 GeV/c	C,Be	2015	Total Cross Section
K+@60 GeV/c	C,Be	2015	Total Cross Section
π+@60 GeV/c	C,Be	2016	p, π±, K+, K ⁰ S, Λ ⁶
p@60 GeV/c	C, AI, Be	2016	Total Cross Section
p@120 GeV/c	C, Be	2016	Total Cross Section
π+@60 GeV/c	C, AL	2017	In Progress
π+@30 GeV/c	С	2017	In Progress
p@120 GeV/c	C, Be	2017	Spectra Analysis ⁹
p@90 GeV/c	С	2017	In Progress

- n⁵ (Magnet Off)
- n⁵ (Magnet Off)
- n⁵ (Magnet Off)
- ns⁷; Spectra Analysis
- ns⁷; Spectra Analysis^{8,9}

- ¹ Phys. Rev. C84, 034604 (2011).
 ² Phys. Rev. C85, 035210 (2012).
 ³ Phys. Rev C89, 025205 (2014).
 ⁴ Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76: 84
 ⁵ Phys. Rev. D98, No.5 052001 (2018)
 ⁶ Phys. Rev. D100, 112004 (2019)
 ⁷ Phys. Rev. D100, 112001 (2019)
- ⁸ Phys. Rev. D107, 072004 (2023)
- ⁹ Phys. Rev. D108, 072013 (2023)

Thin-Target Measurements





21

RECENT RESULT: 120 GEV/C P+C



- Interacting events are • binned in p/theta of final state particle
- **Dedx distributions** are fit to extract number of protons, kaons and pions
- Those numbers are corrected for **detector** acceptance and backgrounds
- Normalized using total • production cross section (also measured by NA61/SHINE) to produce multiplicities per p/theta bin



dE/dx + Tracks, p:[10.0,12.0]GeV/c 0:[40,50]mrad





RECENT RESULT: 120 GEV P+C

- Measured multiplicities: π⁺, π⁻, p, p

 K⁺, K⁻
- Comparison with Geant4 physics lists shows
 big discrepancies
- **Uncertainties** dominated by statistics, G4 model uncertainties
- Will be used for **DUNE and NuMI** flux prediction, replacing older NA49 data
- Full covariance matrices provided
 - Necessary for evaluating flux uncertainties and bin-to-bin correlations





- Total hadron production uncertainty includes:
 - Pion production (proton + carbon)
 - Kaon production (proton + carbon)
 - Pion production (neutron + carbon)
 - Nucleon production (proton + carbon)
 - Meson incident interactions
 - Nucleon incident interactions
 - Absorption outside the target
 - Absorption inside the target
 - Others not covered by below categories

NA61 p+C 120 GeV/c results can address the red items

Stay tuned for more detail on updating PPFX from Leo Aliaga, later in this session

THIN TARGET DATA IN PPFX

Current PPFX uncertainty





UPCOMING RESULT: 90 GEV P+C

- Also have mature analysis of 90 GeV/c proton+C data
- Combined with 60 GeV, 120 GeV/c will provide important data for validation of energy scaling in flux predictions







REPLICA TARGET MEASUREMENTS

Measure differential multiplicities, normalized by production cross section

Measure differential yields normalized by number of protons



nte						
	Beam	Target	Year	Measurements		
- I	p@31 GeV/c	T2K	2007	π [±] yields ^{1,2,3} , K [±] ,p yields ³ , production c		
	p@120GeV/c	NuMI	2018	In Progress		
ents	p@120 GeV/c	LBNF	2024	In Progress		
Λ,	 ¹ Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A701 99-114 (2013). ² Eur. Phys. J. C76 617 (2016). ³ Eur. Phys. J. C79, no.2 100 (2019). ⁴ Phys. Rev. D103, 012006 (2021)) 					

• Replica target measurements are the gold standard of hadron production measurements



REPLICA TARGET MEASUREMENTS: T2K



• Have ~halved T2K flux uncertainties at focusing peak





REPLICA TARGET MEASUREMENTS: NUMI







- Took high statistics (18M events) in 2018 with 120 GeV/c protons
- **Calibration** in progress for this data set
- Analysis underway on hadron yields from this target
- Complicated geometry of the target, with azimuthal dependence



REPLICATARGET MEASUREMENTS: DUNE







Data with a **LBNF/DUNE** prototype target was collected this summer

Designed and built by RAL target group with expected dimensions of LBNF target

 Includes new long-target tracker designed to mitigate a ant uncertainty in long target

T2K Replica Target Results (Systematic Uncertainties)

b<mark>ackward</mark> extrapolation NA61 Detector

Identification of track vertices along length of target

Track position uncertainty on the target surface. -> Having additional tracker surrounding the target to help track extrapolation

analyses



FUTURE: LOW ENERGY BEAM?

- Many groups are interested in hadron production with • beams in the I-20 GeV region, below the range the current H2 beam is capable of providing
 - Potential significant improvement in **atmospheric** neutrino flux prediction
 - FNAL Booster Neutrino Beam
 - **DUNE 2nd Oscillation Maximum** •
 - T2K/HyperK secondary interactions
 - Spallation sources, cosmic rays, muons...



CONCLUSION

- Accelerator-based flux predictions currently uses models to predict strong interactions that have never been measured
- NA61/SHINE is working to make our beam simulations grounded in data
- We **welcome new collaborators** and can offer young researchers experience in hardware, data collection and data analysis in the coming decade

NEED FOR NEUTRINO FLUX PREDICTIONS

- Near detectors are powerful •
- uncertainties and correlations

Modern oscillation experiments execute complex fits that rely heavily on a priori flux

NA61/SHINE PART

- The TPC system provides highefficiency, high resolution charged-particle tracking
- Particle ID provided by a combination of ToF and TPC dE/dx

