# Dark Matter Search in the Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab Byungchul Yu, University of Mississippi μ On behalf of Muon g-2 collaboration

### 

Sep. 18. 2024 (NuFact 2024)





# Outline

## • Muon g - 2 experiment at Fermilab

- Aim to measure the magnetic anomaly of the muon,  $a_{\mu}$  at 140 ppb precision ( $\omega_a = a_{\mu} \frac{e}{m_{\mu}} B$ ).
- Measurement of  $\omega_a$  in the effort to track the time evolution of muon spin subject to magnetic field.
- Measurement of **B** by using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) technique with a shielded water sample.
- Please refer to these talks: Anomalous Spin Precession Frequency Analysis in the Muon g-2 Experiment at Fermilab Magnetic Field Analysis in the Muon g-2 Experiment Beam dynamics corrections of the Muon g-2 Experiment at Fermilab
- Dark Matter search in the Muon g 2 experiment at Fermilab
  - Why do we search for DM in the Muon g 2 experiment?
  - 2.
  - How can we observe Dark Matter signal from the Muon g 2 data? 3.
  - **Timeline with the future plans** 4.



– On Kim – David Kessler – David Tarazona

A Dedicated Period of Magnetic Field Systematics Studies in the Muon g-2 Experiment at Fermilab – Matthew Bressler

Which DM models interact with muon particle in the Muon g - 2 experiment?



# Why do we search for Dark Matter in Muon g - 2 experiment?







# **Motivation**

### **1.** Dark Matter could be a major component of a complete fundamental description of nature -> Since we don't know their identity, we need to scan all possible DM mass range in all sectors





# Motivation

- 2. Muon g 2 experiment may have some hints of new physics.
  - -> DM may be responsible for the potential discrepancy between SM prediction and experimental measurement.
  - indications of new physics.





### -> It's a region unexplored before, potentially one of the most crucial areas to investigate due to the





# **Motivation**

- 3. Muon g 2 experiment enables the direct search for two ultralight DM candidates (Scalar and **Pseudoscalar DM) that primarily interacts with muons.** 
  - -> The sensitivity to these candidates greatly contributes to the area of wavelike(=ultralight bosonic) DM search from the muon sector.



### This would be the first-ever direct DM search with muons in a storage ring





# Which Dark Matter model interact with muon particle?





# Signatures of DM in the Muon g - 2 experiment

- Scalar DM may induce apparent oscillations of the muon mass at DM frequency:  $\omega_a(t) = a_\mu \frac{q}{m(t)} B$



It causes a modulation of magnitude of  $\omega_a$  at  $m_{DM}$ ( $\omega_a$  time series plot is required)





### Two DM candidates that primarily interact with muon may alter muon spin precession in different ways



# Signatures of DM in the Muon g - 2 experiment

- Scalar DM may induce apparent oscillations of the **Pseudoscalar DM may cause the muon's spin** muon mass at DM frequency:  $\omega_a(t) = a_\mu \frac{q}{m(t)} B$ precession plane to be tilted and swing at DM frequency.



It causes a modulation of magnitude of  $\omega_a$  at  $m_{DM}$ ( $\omega_a$  time series plot is required)



Two DM candidates that primarily interact with muon may alter muon spin precession in different ways



It causes a modulation of  $A_N$  (Amplitude of asymmetry in distribution of  $e^+$ ) at  $m_{DM}$  $(A_N \text{ time series plot is required })$ 



# Signatures of DM in the Muon g - 2 experiment

- Scalar DM may induce apparent oscillations of the Pseudoscalar DM may cause the muon's spin muon mass at DM frequency:  $\omega_a(t) = a_\mu \frac{q}{m(t)} B$ precession plane to be tilted and swing at DM frequency.



It causes a modulation of magnitude of  $\omega_a$  at  $m_{DM}$ ( $\omega_a$  time series plot is required)



### Two DM candidates that primarily interact with muon may alter muon spin precession in different ways



asymmetry in distribution of  $e^+$ ) at  $m_{DM}$  $(A_N \text{ time series plot is required })$ 



# **Expected DM sensitivity from experimental time scale**

### Maximum time scale

Last update: 2023-07-10 10:26 ; Total = 21.90 (xBNL)





### **Expected** Dark Matter mass range with its corresponding frequency



# **Expected DM sensitivity from experimental time scale**

### Maximum time scale





### Minimum time scale



# **Expected DM sensitivity from experimental time scale**

### Maximum time scale



Byungchul Yu (byu1@go.olemiss.edu) | University of Mississippi | NuFact 2024 (09. 18. 2024)



### Minimum time scale



# How can we observe the DM signals from the Muon g - 2 data?





# Data preparation: Extracting $\omega_a$ from wiggle plots

- The  $\omega_a$  is determined by the frequency of the modulation in the wiggle plot.





• From the Muon g - 2 data, specific dataset ( $\omega_a$  time series) for the scalar DM search needs to be produced. • This requires the generation of "wiggle plot", showing the number of decay positrons as a function of time.



# Weighted Log Likelihood Estimation (WLLE)

- WLLE takes into account both the Poisson nature of the count data and their uncertainty through the **assigned weights**. (assuming the Weighted Poisson Distribution)

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda|x_1 \cdots x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}\right)^{w_i} \to \text{approximation}: -\log \mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \log \frac{e^{-n_{\text{eff}}} n_{\text{eff}}^{x_i}}{N!},$$
where the effective counts  $n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\left(\sum_j w_j\right)^2}{\sum_j w_j^2}$  (not necessarily be an integer) and the scale factor  $S_i$ 





Poor statistics in sub-run level wiggle plots lead to a significant bias on the fit results from the  $\chi^2$  method.



# **Generalized Lomb-Scargle (GLS) periodogram**

- The GLS periodogram is designed for analyzing unevenly spaced time series data for detecting and characterizing periodic signals.
- This method includes a floating mean in sinusoidal wave model, effectively for fitting with an adjustable baseline and optimizing both the frequency and amplitude:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\left(y_{i} - f(t_{i}; \vec{p})\right)}{\sigma_{i}} \right)^{2}$$

$$, \text{ where } f(t_{i}; \vec{p}) = a \cos \omega t + b \sin \omega t + c$$

$$P_{N}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{0}} \left[ \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}(y_{i} - \overline{y}) \cos \omega(t_{i} - \tau) \right\}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \cos^{2} \omega(t_{i} - \tau)} + \frac{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}(y_{i} - \overline{y}) \sin \omega(t_{i} - \tau) \right\}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \sin^{2} \omega(t_{i} - \tau)} \right]$$

- FFT, which is limited by the sampling rate of the data.
- that potential dark matter signals are not missed.





• One of the key advantages of GLS method is the ability to customize the frequency domain settings, unlike

• This flexibility allows for a precise tuning of the frequency resolution and range, which is essential to ensure



# **Frequency grid optimization**

• The structure of the  $\omega_a$  time series data fundamentally determines the frequency grid optimization.

$$\left(f_{\min} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\min} \times T}, f_{\max} = \frac{\beta_{\max}}{(2 \times dT_{ave})}, df = \frac{1}{\alpha \times T}\right)$$
, where  $\beta_{\min} = 1 \sim 10$ ,  $\beta_{\max} = 1 \sim 10$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ 

Time series data structure		Frequency grid	
Total time span $(T)$	2.99e7 s ≈ 346 days	Minimum frequency $(f_{\min})$	3.3e-8 Hz
Average time spacing $(dT_{ave})$	45.98 s	Maximum frequency $(f_{max})$	1.1e-1 Hz
Time sample size $(N_T)$	6.51e5	Frequency spacing $(df)$	1.7e-8 Hz
_	-	Trial frequency size (N <sub>trial</sub> )	6.51e6

- There is a risk of missing peaks in the periodogram if we arbitrary reset the frequency resolution.
- depends predominantly on T, which in our case is  $10^{-8}$  Hz.



Need to keep using  $df < 10^{-8}$  Hz over the whole frequency range because the width of the potential signal









assessment.

• To solidify our confidence, we are planning to implement a bootstrapping technique for a comprehensive final











# **Projected sensitivity plot for Scalar DM**

### Scalar DM (Yukawa coupling)



### <u>Scalar DM search from Muon g-2 data will improve the projected sensitivity plot</u>



Ryan Janish, Harikrishnan Ramani PRD 102, 115018 (2020)



# **Rough timeline with future plans**

- Remaining tasks in the data analysis framework will be completed ( $\sim$  Dec).
- Systematic study is currently working progress in parallel ( $\sim$  Dec).
- Scalar DM search analysis for Run-2/3 Muon g 2 data will be completely done (~2025 May).
- Pseudoscalar DM search data extraction will be launching in parallel (2025  $\sim$ ).

# Thank you for your attention!







# Back up slides





# **Physics signature**

- Ultralight scalar field DM :  $\phi(t) = \phi_0 \cos(m_{\phi} t)$ - Scalar coupling  $\mathcal{L} \supset y\phi\bar{\mu}\mu$
- Ultralight Scalar DM may lead to induce apparent oscillations of the  $m_{\mu}$  in a parallel direction to  $\omega_a$ :

$$\omega_a(t) = a \frac{q}{m(t)} B = \omega_a^{SM} + \delta \omega_a^{\text{scala}}$$

, where  $m(t) \rightarrow m_0(1 + \phi'_0 \cos m_{\rm DM} t)$ 

- Therefore, it causes a modulation of magnitude of  $\omega_a$  at  $m_{DM}$ .
- Since DM mass is unknown,  $\omega_a$  can be modulated at any frequency.
- Need to search for a wide spectrum of DM mass as much as as we can based on the estimation of DM sensitivity.



Pseudoscalar axion-like DM 





# Data analysis framework for the Muon g - 2 data





# Looking at the entire parameter space

- observed one among all frequencies.
- Several approaches to obtain  $P_{FA}$ :
- **1. Independent frequency method:** find out the effective number of independent frequencies ( $N_{eff}$ ).

 $e^{-P}$ : local p-value (probability of getting a PSD as extreme as an observed one at a particular frequency)  $1 - e^{-P}$ : cumulative probability (probability of getting a PSD as common as an observed one at a particular frequency)  $[1 - e^{-P}]^N$ : Probability of getting at least one PSD as common as an observed one among all frequencies.  $1 - [1 - e^{-P}]^N$ : Probability of getting at least one PSD as extreme as an observed one among all frequencies. that to express analytically.

$$P_{\rm FA} \approx 1$$

Eventually, we will determine the global p-value  $(p_G)$ , from the FAP





• False Alarm Probability  $(P_{FA})$  is the probability of getting at least one PSD as extreme as or more than an

- Note that, in the periodogram, the PSD at one frequency is closely correlated with the value at adjacent frequencies in a way





# Looking at the entire parameter space

2. Baluev Method: All relevant information about correlation and mutual dependence of adjacent frequencies is contained in the window function.

$$P_{\rm FA} \approx 1 - P_{\rm single}(z)e^{-\tau(z)}$$
  
Where,  $\tau(z) \approx W(1-z)^{(N-4)/2}\sqrt{z}$ 

and 
$$W = f_{\max} \sqrt{4\pi \operatorname{var}(t)}$$

**3. Bootstrap Method:** the statistic is computed repeated on my random resamplings of the data in order to approximate the distribution of that statistic.  $10^{-3}$ This method produce most robust estimate of FAP, but computationally intensive.



False Alarm Probability







# **Pseudoscalar DM signature (Perpendicular perturbation)**

- **Ultralight Pseudoscalar DM works as an anomalous** magnetic field that interacts only with the muon spin, making the muon's spin precession plane tilted and swing at DM frequency.
- The decay positron is preferentially emitted to the spin direction, leading to the "up-down number" asymmetry".
- Up-down number asymmetry refers to a difference in the number of decay positrons accepted at the crystals in a calorimeter's upper and lower parts.
- Therefore, it causes a modulation of  $A_N$  (Amplitude) of up-down number asymmetry) at  $m_{DM}$







# **Pseudoscalar DM exclusion plots**





Ryan Janish, Harikrishnan Ramani PRD 102, 115018 (2020)

