Muon Detection at Colliders: Part 2

Kevin Black UW-Madison

Complications and Future Directions

- Some Complications
	- Space Charge
	- Aging
	- Green house-gas mixtures
- Future directions
	- Micropatterns to improve spatial resolution
	- Timing improvements for future colliders

Recall Basic Principle: Ionization, Amplification, Readout

CHARGE MULTIPLICATION IN UNIFORM FIELD

Mean free path for ionization:

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{N\sigma}
$$
 N: molecules/cm³

Townsend coefficient:

 $\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ Ionizing collisions/cm $\frac{\alpha}{p} = f\left(\frac{E}{p}\right)$

Incremental increase of the number of electrons in the avalanche:

 $dn = n \alpha dx$

Multiplication factor (Gain): $M(x) = \frac{n}{n_0} = e^{\alpha x}$

Maximum Avalanche size before discharge (Raether limit):

 $Q_{MAX} \approx 10^7$ e

H. Raether, Electron Avalanches and **Breakdown in Gases (Butterworth 1964)**

S.C. Brown, Basic Data of Plasma Physics (MIT Press, 1959)

Space Charge

RATE-DEPENDENT GAIN REDUCTION

SPACE CHARGE NEAR THE ANODE: BUILDUP OF SLOW POSITIVE IONS MODIFIES THE ELECTRIC FIELD

RELATIVE GAIN AS A FUNCTION OF RATE: DC gain-rate 1.2 Ar-iC₄H₁₀ 70-30 ┄╊┡╊╼╂╉╉╅╼_╋ RELATIVE GAIN
C C C C C C C C A 0.4 $\approx 10^4$ mm⁻¹s⁻¹ 0.2 0 10^{3} $10⁴$ 10^{5} $10⁶$ 10^2 $10⁷$ PARTICLE FLUX (mm⁻¹ s⁻¹) A. Breskin et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 124(1974)189

SPACE CHARGE

• With higher particle flux the build up of slow positive ions can get large enough that it significantly shields and modifies the electric field lowering the effective gain

Space Charge: Resolution Effects

POSITIVE ION BACKFLOW

SPACE CHARGE

D. Friedrich, et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 158(1979) 81

Space Charge: Track Distortion

POSITIVE ION BACKFLOW

SLOW POSITIVE IONS ACCUMULATE IN THE DRIFT VOLUME AND MODIFY THE FIELD RESULTING IN TRACKS **DISTORTIONS:**

MWPC: IBF \approx 30%

SPACE CHARGE

CONTROLLED TRANSPARENCY

POSSIBLE AT LOW RATES: Maximum electron drift time < Time between events

Aging

AGING

SECONDARY PROCESSES

Polymerization of organic compounds with formation of deposits on thin wires:

O. Ullaland, LBL-21170 (1986)107

I. Juric and J. Kadyk, LBL-21170 (1986)141

I. Juric and J. Kadyk, LBL-21170 (1986)141

M. Binkley et al. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A515(2003)53

- In the plasma that is created there can be complicated chemical reactions between gas and various pollutants.
- Result is highly reactive hydrocarbons with high electronegativity created
- This leads to insulating deposits on cathode and anode
- Field distortion, gain reduction, track distortion, resolution worsens

GREENHOUSE GASES

Scientists at CERN hunt for greener gases for particle detectors

The Large Hadron Collider discovered the Higgs boson. Now the facility's researchers are searching for more environmentally friendly gases to run its detectors

by Sam Lemonick

April 27, 2019 | A version of this story appeared in Volume 97, Issue 17

What makes a gas a greenhouse gas?

- Recall that the greenhouse effect is caused when radiation from the sun (peaking in the optical and UV range) heats up the earth
- Part of that energy absorbed is radiated by the earth as it cools down
- However, earth radiates significantly in the IR region
- Greenhouse gases in the earth's atmosphere are those that are relatively transparent to optical frequencies but highly absorptive in IR region
- The presence of these gases tends to lead a warming of the earth as they effectively let heat in but keep it from leaving

Quencher Gas

- Recall from yesterday that besides the main 'absorber' gas we also need a 'quenching' gas
- The purpose of the quencher is to absorb photons produced in the avalanche and stop the process of a "continuous" avalanche from forming which makes the detector useless as a detector
- The main properties of the quencher are the ability to absorb photons with less energy than typical simple atoms. Typically diatomic or polyatomic molecules with many rotational and vibration lower energy modes available
- This is exactly the property that makes a greenhouse gas!

Gas Systems

 \blacksquare

Surface Gas Building SGX

Gas Monitoring

- Mix and monitor the composition of the gas
- Typical results shown below

Leaks!

Total leak rate: 673 l/h (2018), (11 channels were disconnected during TS2/2017) 860 l/h (2017), 682 l/h (2016).

> Nearly ~1000 liters of gas per hour leaked Into the atmosphere!

Future Directions

- Moving forward we would like to continue or quest for
	- Radiation Hard detectors that can withstand high radiation environments
	- Improved spatial resolution to improve on measurement on momentum of particles in the spectrometer
	- Improved timing resolution to help identify hits from primary particles of interest from background particles

Future Technology … according To AI

Evolution to smaller microstructures

Examples of Amplification Structures

Resistive Plate Chamber (RPC): amplification in the gas gap

Multi-Wire Proportional Counter (MWPC): amplification in the vicinity of the anode wires

MicroMesh Gaseous Detector (MicroMegas): Amplification between a microscopic mesh and the anode plane

> **Micro-Strip Gas** Chambers (MSGC): amplification in the vicinity of the anode micro-strips

First Micropattern Gas Detectors (MPGD)

- First developed in 1988, smaller structure , more intense electric fields
- Reduction of space charge effects leads to higher rate capability with constant gain

Discharges

Some limitations:

- ❖ Good performance in high-rate environment, but the operation is limited by the discharge probability
	- \triangleright Small distance between electrode boosts the possibility for a large avalanche to $develp$ into a stream between anode and cathode = discharge
	- \triangleright The energy released during a discharge can permanently damage the electrodes

New Solutions:

- Modern MPGD introduced with the MICRO-MEsh GASeous (Micromegas 1992) and the Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM - 1996) technologies
	- \triangleright Specifically developed for high-rate applications with improved longevity and reliability
	- \triangleright Start of the golden age of MPGDs
	- > Many variants and daughter technologies based on Micromegas and GEM concepts

Micromegas

GEMs

Electrons entering the GEM holes will accelerate in the intense electric field $(\sim 80 \text{ kV/cm})$ and provoke the ionization of gas molecules, giving rise to an electron avalanche Multiplication: 1 e^t input \rightarrow 20 e^t output (max 10³ e^t)

Tripple GEM

Basic Principle

- GEM foils can be used in a gas volume with a Cathode (Drift) and Anode strips (Readout) to form a full particle detector
- Using several GEMs in series, we can multiply electrons with gains up to $10^4 10^5$
- \rightarrow This is the famous **Triple-GEM** detector

The effective gas gain: a central parameter

$$
Gain = \frac{I_{out}}{Rate \times Nb_{primaries} \times q_e}
$$

Below threshold = not detected

time

All signals below the threshold are not detected

How to measure the timing resolution

- Measuring the time resolution is **complex** ... it requires \bullet a full understanding of all elements in the system and a sufficient **precision** to identify fluctuations of the order of the **nano** second
- In practice, we compare the **time of arrival** of a reference signal coming from a set of scintillators and the **output signal** of the detector under test
- Both signals are sent to a Time to Digital Converter (TDC) which measures precisely the time difference:
	- **Asynchronous mode:** the time resolution is extracted from the time difference distribution directly from the TDC
	- **Synchronous mode:** the reference signal is synchronize with a 25 ns clock to represent the actual bunch crossing scheme in CMS

Future Colliders and Muon Detection

- Many possible machines being discussed
	- FCC-ee/CPEC/ILC Large either circular or linear electron machine with the goals of producing a large number of Higgs, Z, W events with very little background and complete kinematic constraints (**conventional technology for muons available today should be good for physics goals – concentrate on best resolution possible**)
	- FCC-hh 100 TeV pp machine after FCC-ee (**high pileup, high radiation environment)**
	- MuCol 1-10 TeV **(central region very similar to ee, forward region very high beam induced background)**

Future electron/positron

- Electrons are fundamental particles so essentially every collision is a fundamental interaction between two point particles
- In particular, in comparison to the the LHC there is no "underlying" event
- Accelerator run such that ~1 fundamental interaction per crossing, very little background except for some minor Bhabba **Scattering**
- Completely kinematically constrained in proton proton collisions it is quarks and gluons that are colliding which we don't know the z component of the momentum.
- In e+e- collisions total momentum of initial state is 0 for the collision of interest

Electron – Positron Events (LEP) versus LHC events

Example Possible FCC-ee detector

Micro-Rwell detectors

The detector architecture

High mechanical & chemical resistant material

How they work

Principle of operation

Applying a suitable voltage between top copper layer and DLC the "WELL" acts as multiplication channel for the ionization.

The charge induced on the resistive foil is dispersed with a *time constant*, $r = \rho C$, determined by

the surface resistivity, ρ $^{\circ}$

- the capacitance per unit area, which depends on the distance between the resistive foil and the pad readout plane, t
- the **dielectric constant** of the insulating medium, ε_r [M.S. Dixit et al., NIMA 566 (2006) 281] $^{\circ}$
- $^{\circ}$ The main effect of the introduction of the resistive stage is the suppression of the transition from streamer to spark
- As a drawback, the capability to stand high particle fluxes is reduced, but an appropriate grounding of the resistive layer with a suitable pitch solves this problem (see High Rate scheme)

Single-layer performance

Already prototypes

Muon chambers R&D

uRwell:

- Concept proved/Synergy with LHC **If Focus on Single resistive layer for high rate** G. Bencivenni et al., 2019 JINST 14 P05014
- \triangleright R&D for large area 50x50 cm²
	- Industrialization
	- Cost reduction
	- \blacksquare DLC+Cu sputtering
	- 12D readout

CMS GE2/1 with 2 μ Rwell 2 m x 1.2 m

FCC-hh environment

- The HL-LHC is expecting something on the order of an average of 140 pp vertices per event
- FCC-hh is envisioned to run an environment an **order of magnitude more** pileup events ~1000 pp verticies per event

FCC-hh Reference detector

Comparison to CMS and ATLAS

More forward overall … (in particular SM physics including W/Z/Higgs is very forward)

L" OT FLL 4UM.

90% of 'heavy' physics will take place in n<2.5.

Increase of acceptance for precision spectroscopy and calorimetry from 2.5 at LHC to 3.8-4 for SM physics.

More magnetic spectrometers!

What resolution is needed?

Pileup, Timing, Tagging

Average distance between vertices at z=0:

1mm for HL-LHC (140 pileup) 125um for FCC-hh (1000 pileup)

As for HL-LHC, timing can help for vertex identification:

Effective pileup:

Number of vertices that a track of a given p_T is compatible with at 95% CL.

For a time resolution of 25ps, CMS can get to an effective pileup of 1 for 1 GeV/c tracks at η = 4.

For an FCC detector the time resolution has to be at a level of 5ps to get to similar numbers.

The impact of pileup on a given physics analysis depends very much on the specific channels.

B-tagging studies:

B-Mesons with very high p_T travel far into the tracker and have a highly collimated decay tracks.

Traditional taggers have difficulty in identifying the decay vertex.

Using the 'multiplicity jump' between tracking layers due to a b decay can significantly improve the tagging performance.

To reject pileup with timing need on the order of 5 ps timing!!

What limits the timing resolution

- Ionizations occur in different positions along the \bullet particle's trajectory $\rightarrow \sim$ ns time jitter for a 3-6 mm conversion region
- **Diffusion effects**

Using the drift velocity (V) , we express the probability that the first electrons will reach the anode at time t as $A_{\text{first}}^n(t) = n(V/L)e^{-nVt/L}$

$$
D_j^k(x) = \frac{k!}{(k-j)!(j-1)!} (1-x)^{k-j} x^{j-1}
$$

where $x = z/L$ describes the probability that a pair is produced in the region 0-z

The probability that the jth pair has been produced at $Z = z$ for any total number of e-ion nair

$$
P^{(n)} \quad A_j^n(x) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} P_k^n b_j^k(x) = \frac{x^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} n^j e^{-nx}
$$

The probability that the last pair (i.e. the closest to the cannot edge 0) has been produced at $Z = z$ is given by (jreach timing resolution at the level $1=0$: $A_{last}^n(x) = ne^{-nx}$
 $A_{last}^n(z) = ne^{-nz/L}$ of tenths of ps Time of First Arriving e (ns)

Ionization statistics place limits on the timing resolution of order ~5ns

The Physics of Ionization offers the means for precise spatial measurements (high spatial resolution) but inhibits precise timing measurements

ORGANISATION EUROPÉENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLÉAIRE **CERN EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH**

> PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION OF MULTIVIRE PROPORTIONAL AND DRIFT CHAMBERS

> > F. Sauli

Lectures given in the Academic Training Programme of CERN 1975-1976

> GENEVA 1977

which is represented in Fig. 8, for $n = 34$, as a function of the coordinate across a 10 mm thick detector. If the time of detection is the time of arrival of the closest electron at one end of the gap, as is often the case, the statistics of ion-pair production set an obvious limit to the time resolution of the detector. A scale of time is also given in the figure, for a collection velocity of 5 cm/usec typical of many gases; the FWHM of the distribution is about 5 nsec. There is no hope of improving this time resolution in a gas counter, unless some averaging over the time of arrival of all clectrons is realized.

lonzation detectors limited to about 5 ns!

The PICOSEC concept

Cherenkov radiator + Photocathode

- ← Particle produce Cherenkov light
- ← Photo-electrons emerge from photocathode
- \checkmark Electrons amplified by a two-stage Micromegas

- \checkmark Pre-amplification possible
- Limited direct ionization \checkmark
- \checkmark Reduced diffusion impact

Cherenkov radiator:

✔ Photo-electrons emerging the photocathode simultaneously (fixed distance from the mesh)

Result: improved timing resolution

Anode = $250 V$ $-$ Anode = 275 V

Anode = $300V$

Anode = $325V$

Anode = $350V$

Anode = 375 V

 χ^2 / ndf = 73.26 / 45

 σ ₁ = 20.9 + 0.3 ps

 σ ₂ = 38.9 + 1.1 ps

 σ_{tot} = 24.0 + 0.3 ps

 $\mu = 2.7451 + 0.0004$ ns

360 380 400 420 440 460 480 500 520 540 Drift voltage (V)

Beam tests: time resolution for MIPs

Same detector as for Laser tests:

- MgF₂ radiator 3 mm thick
- 18 nm CsI on 5.5 nm Cr
- **Bulk MicroMegas**
- "COMPASS gas"

Optimum operation point: V_{drift}/V_{anode}: -475V/+275V

Best result: 24 ± 0.3 ps

 $N_{\text{p.e.}} = 10.1 \pm 0.7$

Mean number of p.e. per muon produced in the CsI photocathode

 $60₅$

 55

 $50⁵$

45

 $40⁵$

 $35⁵$

 $30⁵$

 $25⁵$ $^{20}_{340}$

Time resolution (ps)

450_F

400E

350

250 200 Number

150

100

of events $300E$

Muon detection at Muon Collider?

As noted by talk from Karri, large beam induced background In forward region necessitates large forward sheilding

Large number of electrons, photons, neutrons from decay in flight and subsequent shower

Silicon in the far forward region?

Work in progress: Forward Muon Tagging

 5.0

4.8

 5.2

 η_{max}

 5.4

5.6

5.8

 6.0

B-field & path-length for momentum measurement? Effects of scattering/energy loss from \sim 2000 X_0 of Tungsten? What technology can withstand BIB?

 0.1

Summary

- Future muon detection will for the foreseeable future require instrumenting very large volumes of space
	- Difficult to get around using gas detectors for reason of cost
- Trending toward continued minimization of structures to minimize the distance between channels and improve the spatial resolution and novel new techniques

