Experimental verification of integrability in a Danilov-Nagaitsev lattice using machine learning

## Applications of AI/ML in accelerators

#### Present uses of AI/ML methods in particle accelerators:

Surrogate models, optimization, control, virtual diagnostics, and anomaly detection.

## These aim to improve design and operations <u>based on well-known</u> <u>physics</u>.

Can AI/ML be used for discovering new physics? High-energy and astrophysics fields are making progress.

Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Fundamental Interactions (IAIFI), https://aiinstitutes.org/institute-iaifi/



## Synergies with Non-linear Integrable Optics

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 126, 180604 (2021)
Editors' Suggestion
Machine Learning Conservation Laws from Trajectories
Ziming Liu <sup>*</sup> and Max Tegmark <sup>®</sup> Department of Physics, Institute for AI and Fundamental Interactions, and Center for Brains, Minds and Machines, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
(Received 9 November 2020; revised 20 January 2021; accepted 15 April 2021; published 6 May 2021)
We present AI Poincaré, a machine learning algorithm for autodiscovering conserved quantities using trajectory data from unknown dynamical systems. We test it on five Hamiltonian systems, including the gravitational three-body problem, and find that it discovers not only all exactly conserved quantities, but also periodic orbits, phase transitions, and breakdown timescales for approximate conservation laws.
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.180604

#### Many more published after this one...

#### Possible applications in accelerators:

- 1. Analyze integrability in realistic accelerator systems, in the presence of non-ideal effects such as noise, parasitic non-linearities, decoherence, etc.
- 2. Maximize dynamic aperture by using integrability as an analogous metric.
- 3. Discover new NIO systems.

## Auto-discovering invariants using Al Poincaré

#### Intuition: Total number of phase-space dimensions = Number of invariants + Dimensionality of manifold



#### Performance with data from simulations

Al Poincaré can detect invariant quantities in accelerator optics.



Linear lattice with thin sextupole kick. max{n<sub>eff</sub>} ~ 1.85 Local dimensionality is 2 in certain locations of the manifold.

Linear lattice with thin McMillan kick.  $max\{n_{eff}\} \sim 1.99$ Dimensionality is 2 throughout the manifold.

## Experimental data from the DN system

#### Procedure

- Inject 150 MeV electrons into IOTA and capture into 1 bucket. (1 bunch)
- Let the electron beam orbit reach equilibrium.
- Apply transverse momentum kicks using electrostatic kickers.
- Measure turn-by-turn <u>centroid</u> <u>position data</u> on many BPMs distributed around the ring.
- Use PCA to compute turn-by-turn phase-space trajectory (x, x', y, y') at a virtual BPM.



## Applying AI Poincaré to experimental data

Applying the algorithm to data from all 200 turns.

Results depend on dataset.

Manifold traced by beam centroid is not a perfect torus due to decoherence.



Discovering invariants in beam data using AI Poincaré

## Applying Al Poincaré to first 50 turns

New data: t=-0.238, 10/23/23

Applying the algorithm to the first 50 turns, yields two invariants.

We verify that the manifold learnt by the pull network in AI Poincaré is of the correct structure by asking it to pull the experimental data back to the manifold and computing the theoretical invariants.



The inferred manifold approximately conserves both theoretical invariants.

# Training a neural network to model the invariants for a fixed DN magnet strength

Using a modified version of AI Poincaré 2.0.

Ziming Liu, Varun Madhavan, and Max Tegmark, Phys. Rev. E 106, 045307, 2022.

Constant value on the manifold

Gradients of invariants must be orthogonal to tangent (flow) vectors. [Used in Al Poincaré 2.0]

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} |U_i(\vec{Z}_p) - \overline{U}_i|^2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{M(N-M)} \sum_{i=1,k=0}^{i=M,k=N-M} |\widehat{\nabla}U_i(\vec{Z}_p) \cdot \hat{t}_k(\vec{Z}_p)|^2 \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\{ \frac{2\alpha_3}{M(M-1)} \sum_{i=1,j=2}^{i=M-1,j=M} |\widehat{\nabla}U_i(\vec{Z}_q) \cdot \widehat{\nabla}U_j(\vec{Z}_q)|^2 + \alpha_4 ||\widehat{\nabla}U_1(\vec{Z}_q) - \widehat{\nabla}J(\vec{Z}_q)||_2^2 \right\} + \frac{\alpha_5}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} |U_i(\vec{O})|^2$$

Gradients of invariants must be orthogonal – Independence requirement [Used in AI Poincaré 2.0] First invariant similar to the Courant-Snyder invariant.

0 at origin

I(A)

#### An example solution

The model converges to approximately the same solution for multiple training runs.





#### Does not work uniformly on new data sets!

 $\delta U_1/U_1$ 

 $\delta U_1/U_1$ 

#### Conclusion

- Al Poincaré can verify the presence of invariants in experimental data.
- The structure of the manifold inferred from the data is consistent with theory.
- We can reconstruct approximate invariant functions from the data, but the process doesn't work on all datasets and only qualitative agreement can be seen within the sampled domain.



11

#### Next Steps

- Finish writing proceeding and draft poster.
- Determine which datasets are more amenable to this analysis and why?
- Develop statistics and quantitative predictions.
- Generalize the invariant network to multiple DN magnet strengths.
- Consider publication?