OVERVIEW OF THE EXPERIMENT

LAWRENCE LEE



7 Aug 2024 - Inaugural US Muon Collider Meeting

LHC collides protons at ~13-14 TeV, but the fundamental interactions scales are much lower. ~1 TeV

LHC collides protons at ~13-14 TeV, but the fundamental interactions scales are much lower. ~1 TeV

A μμ collider is a perfect way to do it!

Get to super high energy because:

200x more massive than electron → Not limited by synchrotron radiation like e+e- machines

 µ's are not composite
 → More of the beam energy goes into the hard scatter than for hadrons

LHC collides protons at ~13-14 TeV, but the fundamental interactions scales are much lower. ~1 TeV

A μμ collider is a perfect way to do it!

Get to super high energy because:

200x more massive than electron
 → Not limited by synchrotron radiation like e⁺e⁻ machines

 µ's are not composite
 → More of the beam energy goes into the hard scatter than for hadrons

Accelerator colleagues tell us it might be possible!

(With a lot of work...)

Success requires HEP to value and support accelerator research and training.

Maybe even dip out of "our lane" and help out where we can!

SAY YOU HAVE A 10 TEV µµ Collider...

10 x E

Annihilation processes with potential radiation effects

 σ

SAY YOU HAVE A 10 TEV µµ COLLIDER...

10

Annihilation processes with potential radiation effects

Or µ's radiate vector bosons which then interact

A virtual cloud of bosons interacting.

"VDF" Vector Boson Distribution Function gives a spread of hard scatter energies

 σ

Muon Beams



Muon Beams Decay



Muon Beams Decay

 u_{μ}

μ

4

Resulting e's create beam-induced detector background

 $ar{
u}_e$

 W^{-}

Beam Induced Background (BIB)

5 TeV muons will decay to TeV scale electrons entering into the experimental area!

Which can shower and make a mess

First Order BIB Mitigation

Enormous number of particles in detector region from decaying muons and their byproducts

- 1. Work closely w/ accelerator design to minimize
- 2. Shield ourselves
 - Reduces BIB in detector by many orders of magnitude
 - Interactions with shielding → Bleed secondary energy into the detector
 - Turns highly localized incident energy into diffuse energy in detector



Shielding changes character of BIB s.t. it can be rejected through measurement







Particle production from single muon decay 25m away. Nicely absorbed by nozzle.

Now imagine ~<u>10M</u> of these decays...



0.0003% of BIB shown

Enormous contribution into detector region from glowing nozzles

> Neutrons Photons Electrons Positrons

Luckily total ionizing dose/year is comparable to HL-LHC And orders of magnitude less than FCC-hh

Much more about the Machine-Detector Interface (MDI) this afternoon from Kiley Kennedy and Daniele Calzolari

7

D. Calzolari

Central Challenges

Build a detector robust against residual BIB

In detector region, dominated by MeV-scale neutrals

Luckily not particularly in time, and not projective from collision point



- Broad timing cuts @ [-1, 15] ns
 - Reduce BIB effects by orders of magnitude
 - Especially low energy, diffuse contributions
- But large contributions remain!
- High precision timing measurements O(10-100) ps necessary to get physics out of a muon collider



Central Challenges

Build a detector robust against
 residual BIB

2. At 10 TeV, annihilation processes will always give multi-TeV objects!

Very high momentum will be common and not just in the tails of steeply falling distributions

- Making TeV objects the norm
- Objects live longer in lab frame
- Need more interaction lengths to stop calo showers
- Interaction cross sections look
 different!
 - Fraction of muons that shower in calo



Decays happening well into tracker! A lot more precision silicon tracking required.

Today's "exotic" signatures will become Bread and Butter

• Making TeV objects the norm

- Objects live longer in lab frame
- Need more interaction lengths to stop showers in calo
- Interaction cross sections look different!
 - Fraction of muons that shower in calo



Central Challenges

Build a detector robust against
 residual BIB

2. At 10 TeV, annihilation processes will always give multi-TeV objects!

Challenging environment for particle physics. Let's try to build an experiment...

Muon Accelerator Instrumented Apparatus (Work in Progress)

MIT

CERN: D. Calzolari Chicago: K. DiPetrillo, B. Rosser, L. Rozanov, I. Hirsch, N. Virani DESY: F. Meloni, T. Madlener, P. Pani FNAL: S. Jindariani, K. Pedro LBNL: S. Pagan Griso Tennessee: T. Holmes, LL, B. Johnson, M. Hillman, A. Vendrasco, A. Tuna Princeton: I. Ojalvo, K. Kennedy, J. Zhang, E. Sledge Yale: S. Demers, R. Powers

Muon Accelerator Instrumented Apparatus (Work in Progress)

Maia

Article Talk

Maia

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For other uses, see Maia (disambiguation).

Maia (/<u>'mer.e</u>, <u>'mar.e</u>/; Ancient Greek: Μαΐα; also spelled Maie, Μαίη; Latin: *Maia*),^[1] in ancient Greek religion and mythology, is one of the Pleiades and the mother of Hermes, one of the major Greek gods, by Zeus, the king of Olympus. [2]

$Family_{[edit]}$

Maia is the daughter of Atlas^{[3][4]} and Pleione the Oceanid, and is the oldest of the seven Pleiades.^[5] They were born on Mount Cyllene in Arcadia,^[4] and are sometimes called mountain nymphs, *oreads*; Simonid "mountain Maia" (*Maiados oureias*) ^[5] Because they were daughters of called the Atlantides.^[6]



Mythology [edit]

Maia is the daughter of Atlas^{[3][4]}

文 44 1

Read Edit

Maia

The Arcadian Pleiad Nymph

Member of the Pleiades

CERN: D. Calzolari Chicago: K. DiPetrillo, B. Rosser, L. Rozanov, I. Hirsch, N. Virani DESY: F. Meloni, T. Madlener, P. Pani FNAL: S. Jindariani, K. Pedro LBNL: S. Pagan Griso Tennessee: T. Holmes, LL, B. Johnson, M. Hillman, A. Vendrasco, A. Tuna Princeton: I. Ojalvo, K. Kennedy, J. Zhang, E. Sledge Yale: S. Demers, R. Powers



MAIA concept recently featured on the cover of Science BCG vaccination for cattle DD, 1410 & 1433

Science.

particle accelerator concept emerges. Call it physicists' **MUOON SHOO** p. 1405

MAIA Detector Concept: Overall Design

- Scale up detector!
 - But making the magnet bigger has unique challenges...
- Key feature of MAIA: Solenoid before calorimeters
 - 1.7m radius; 5T, 1T return
 - Allows for bigger calorimeters and high field
 - Before ECal: Reduces e/y precision but...
 - Easier magnet to build/operate
 - And shields the calos from BIB!



F. Meloni via slides by K. Kennedy



MAIA Detector Concept: Tracker

- ~10 measurements on track in barrel
 - Vertex detector of pixel sensors w/ one doublet layer.
 - Macro-pixel, strips in Inner, Outer Tracker
- **Prioritize timing resolution** to reject BIB at readout and/or offline
- Timing requirements reduce occupancy by 10x in most affected layers

	Vertex Detector	Inner Tracker	Outer Tracker
Sensor type	pixels	macropixels	microstrips
Barrel Layers	4	3	3
Endcap Layers (per side)	4	7	4
Cell Size	$25\mu\mathrm{m} imes25\mu\mathrm{m}$	$50\mu\mathrm{m} imes1\mathrm{mm}$	$50\mu\mathrm{m} imes10\mathrm{mm}$
Sensor Thickness	$50\mu{ m m}$	100 µm	$100\mu{ m m}$
Time Resolution	$30\mathrm{ps}$	$60\mathrm{ps}$	$60\mathrm{ps}$





MAIA Detector Concept: Tracking

- Using ACTS, experiment-independent tracking toolkit
- Tracking performance reasonable despite large BIB occupancy
 - High reco efficiency
 - 1 TeV tracks w/ p_T resolution as low as 2%!
- Full workflow now enables further optimization of detector layout





MAIA Detector Concept: EM Calorimeter

2000

1000

0 ·

y_mm

- Using W+Si design
- Very sensitive to large photon BIB contribution **in first few layers**
- Longitudinal segmentation is key to rejecting BIB





MAIA Detector Concept Photon Reconstruction



• But the solenoid in the way makes for worse resolution, complicated calibration



MAIA Detector Concept: Hadronic Calorimeter

- Initial calibrations give nice performance for single neutron reconstruction in HCal
- Building up to **full hadronic jet** performance studies





MUSIC Detector Concept

Muon Smasher for Interesting Collisions



- Another detector concept
- Shared genealogy with MAIA in earlier 3 TeV concept, so many assumptions are shared
- Scale up tracker with new design
- Let the solenoid grow and place it between ECal and HCal

M. Casarsa, C. Giraldin, D. Lucchesi, L. Palombini, L. Sestini, D. Zuliani INFN-Trieste, University of Padova, INFN-Padova

MUSIC Detector Concept: Solenoid



- Much larger volume. Large lever arm for high p_T measurements
- 2.4m radius 4-5 T solenoid between ECal and HCal
- Focus on capturing **return field** at 1.9T (a la CMS)
- Solenoid is 3x thicker than MAIA's to handle high current

MUSIC Detector Concept: EM Calorimeter



[2206.05838, I. Sarra Talk at IMCC]



- MUSIC ECal centered on CRILIN concept
- CRILIN: CRYstal calorimeter with Longitudinal InformatioN
 - EM calo of lead fluoride crystals
 - Lots of longitudinal information
 - Critical for separating out BIB in first few layers
 - Time resolution down to 15 ps
- Instead of shielding the ECal and reducing signal resolution, use longitudinal info to actively reject BIB
Experimental studies are maturing quickly

Full sim studies with BIB are becoming the norm

Adding **physics BG effects** like incoherent pair production

Studies for **forward muon tagging** to distinguish ZZ vs WW VBF

Calibration workflows are developing

Modern Software Workflows Spack package management, CI/CD workflows, and containerization

. . .

Experimental studies are maturing quickly

Full sim studies with BIB are becoming the norm

Adding **physics BG effects** like incoherent pair production

Studies for **forward muon tagging** to distinguish ZZ vs WW VBF

Calibration workflows are developing

Modern Software Workflows Spack package management, CI/CD workflows, and containerization

. . .

Still so much work to do!

Lots of opportunities to contribute



Experimental studies are maturing quickly



Still so much work to do!

Lots of opportunities to contribute







Fermi's Globatron (1954)

This one's been brought up a bunch recently...



Fermi's Globatron (1954)

40 Years in the future (1994) 40,000 km



Fermi's Globatron (1954)

40 Years in the future (1994) 40,000 km ~3 TeV CoM proton collisions (Fixed target!!!)



Moral:

Fermi's Globatron (1954)

40 Years in the future (1994) 40,000 km ~3 TeV CoM proton collisions (Fixed target!!!)

- Not great at projecting 40 years in the future!
 - We did reach TeV hadron collisions in the 90s (at his namesake lab) w/ the Tevatron
 - But we didn't need 40,000 km to do it!

Be smart, open-minded, and take advantage of new opportunities to achieve physics goals

Realize seemingly impossible physics w/ technology, engineering, ingenuity, elbow grease I was always told:

"Discovery of new particles is for hadron machines" I was always told:

"Discovery of new particles is for hadron machines"

Historically true!
 Could keep going with this!

	20th Century	21 st Century	22 nd Century
"Disco	very of new particles is for fixed target"		

	20 th Century		21 st Cei	ntury		22 nd	Century
"Disco	very of new particles is for fixed target"						
		SppS Tevatron	LHC		FCC-hh 90 km		Can't just make them bigger for forever







Ask ourselves:

Do we want to work on the last chapter of a 20th c story? Or do we start a new discovery program that will continue into the 22nd c? Thanks for your attention





"About Discovery" Energy spread, High energy



"Clean and Precise" Clean events, known initial state



Often hear: μμ has discovery power of pp & precision of ee.^[1] [1] Except w/ the BIB it looks nothing like ee. Don't worry about it...

"About Discovery" Energy spread, High energy



"Clean and Precise" Clean events, known initial state



Often hear: μμ has discovery power of pp & precision of ee.^[1] [1] Except w/ the BIB it looks nothing like ee. Don't worry about it...

 $\mu\mu$ is **very messy** and does **not** give the level of cleanliness of ee.

These are not easy experiments. Have large instrumental BGs like at pp!

"Clean and Precise" Clean events, known initial state

"About Discovery" Energy spread, High energy



But... the physics processes are clean!

μμ is not swamped in the QCD gunk that hadron colliders have...

We're lucky to be dominated by instrumental BGs!

pp

ee

"Clean and Precise" Clean events, known initial state

"About Discovery" Energy spread, High energy



But... the physics processes are clean!

μμ is not swamped in the QCD gunk that hadron colliders have...

We're lucky to be dominated by instrumental BGs!

Without caveat, it's true that we get some of the best features of both worlds!

μμ has discovery power of pp & precision of ee.^[1]

[1] Except w/ the BIB it looks nothing like ee. Don't worry about it...

ee

pp

E.G. TRACKER

- Closest to the beam most affected by BIB
- BIB hits plague readout and offline tracking algorithms
- Build trackers with more information to reject BIB hits on-/off-detector
- Instead of a point in 3-space:
 - Every hit should be an event in space-time with precision timing
- Precision timing is central to any muon collider detector design

$$t_{\Delta} = t - t_{exp}(\beta = 1)$$



∃ information on ~10 ps scale to differentiate **BIB** from **signal**

0.003% of BIB, dominated by stray photons





D. Calzolari via Slides by <u>T Holmes</u>

-40

-30

-20

-10 0

10, 20

30

40

50 60 72

-90-70 -- 20 -- 90



Detector concept for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV

hadronic calorimeter

INFN



Detector-1 quick configuration overview - IMCC Detector and MDI Workshop - June 26, 2024 10

tracking system

M. Casarsa



Detecting Forward Muons

- Two main candidates:
 - Nozzle: Small detector, high dose for BIB
 - Cavern: Large detector, clean environment
- Detectors can tag only muons not captured in the beam pipe



Reading out the detector...

much slower event rate than what we're accustomed to

 $t = 33 \ \mu s \times \left(\frac{L}{10 \ \text{km}}\right)$

plenty of time to process a given event

but reading out all BIB hits requires increased cabling, cooling

pushes the challenge from trigger to on-detector processing

	Readout Window	E Threshold	Hit Size	Total Rate		
Tracker	1 ns	n/a	32 bits	~30 Tb/s	same as the CMS	
ECAL	15 ns	0.2 MeV	20 bits	~30 Tb/s		
HCAL	15 ns	0.2 MeV	20 bits	~3 Tb/s	HL-LHC max HLI	
Total				60 Tb/s	input rate	

<u>CMS DAQ and HLTTDR</u> <u>Technology and R&D for a Muon Collider Detector</u> 29

Making TeV objects the norm

- Objects live longer in lab frame
- Need more interaction lengths to stop calo showers
- Interaction cross sections look
 different!
 - Fraction of muons that shower in calo

- Above a few 100 GeV, radiative energy loss dominates for muons
- Radiation leads to significant showers in calorimeters
- These muons are far from MIP-like!
- To be accounted for in reconstruction and design of calo and muon detectors



Subsystem	region	R dimensions [cm]		Material
Vertex Detector	Barrel	3.0 - 10.4	65.0	Si
	Endcap	2.5 - 11.2	8.0 - 28.2	Si
Inner Tracker	Barrel	12.7 - 55.4	48.2 - 69.2	Si
	Endcap	40.5 - 55.5	52.4 - 219.0	Si
Outer Tracker	Barrel	81.9 - 148.6	124.9	Si
	Endcap	61.8 - 143.0	131.0 - 219.0	Si
Solenoid	Barrel	150.0 - 185.7	230.7	Al
ECAL	Barrel	185.7 - 212.5	230.7	W + Si
	Endcap	31.0 - 212.5	230.7 - 257.5	W + Si
HCAL	Barrel	212.5 - 411.3	257.5	Fe + PS
	Endcap	30.7 - 411.3	257.5 - 456.2	Fe + PS
Muon Detector	Barrel	415.0 - 715.0	456.5	Air + RPC
	Endcap	44.6 - 715.0	456.5 - 602.5	Air + RPC

Subsystem Region R dimensions [cm] |Z| dimensions [cm] Material

Backup | Radiation Damage

→ Radiation at 10 TeV comparable to HL-LHC and previous 3 TeV muon collider studies; much lower than FCC-hh (1018 1 MeV-neq/cm2) (2209.01318, 2105.09116)



10 TeV Detector Concept | IMCC MDI Workshop, June 26, 2024

B. Rosser

24

K. Kennedy

MUSIC Detector Concept: Tracker



- Reoptimized tracker, all single layers
- Areas of vertex detector closest to nozzles still full of BIB
- ACTS newly implemented in MUSIC and should provide offline robustness to BIB



CRILIN PERFORMANCE STUDIES







• Nozzle Computational Problem. See poster!

Remember: The Higgs Boson is the massive radial degree of freedom about the minimum of the Higgs potential

Higgs discovery only confirms there's a minimum of the Higgs potential

 (ϕ)

(f)

Remember: The Higgs Boson is the massive radial degree of freedom about the minimum of the Higgs potential

Higgs discovery only confirms there's a minimum of the Higgs potential

 (ϕ)

Current knowledge consistent with a wide range of Higgs potential shapes



N Craig & R Petrossian-Byrne

We've only confirmed the Harmonic Oscillator term of Taylor expansion around minimum

To measure full shape of the Higgs potential,

must measure higher order terms we need multi-Higgs production



$O(H^2) + O(H^3) + O(H^4)$


To understand the shape of the Higgs potential, we need multi-Higgs production

BSM Contributions?

$O(H^2) + O(H^3) + O(H^4) + O(H^5) + \dots$

Higgs self coupling→ HH production

(HL-LHC can make first measurement, but need more precision)

Quartic coupling → HHH production

SAY YOU HAVE A 10 TEV µµ COLLIDER...

 \sqrt{s}

E



Annihilation processes with potential radiation effects

SAY YOU HAVE A 10 TEV µµ COLLIDER...

 \sqrt{s}



Annihilation processes with potential radiation effects

Or µ's radiate Vector bosons which then interact

A virtual cloud of bosons interacting. "VDF" Vector Boson Distribution Function gives a spread of hard scatter energies

SAY YOU HAVE A 10 TEV µµ COLLIDER...

/s





Annihilation processes with potential radiation effects

Or µ's radiate Vector bosons which then interact

A virtual cloud of bosons interacting. "VDF" Vector Boson Distribution Function gives a spread of hard scatter energies

LEP also benefitted from this effect (in $\gamma\gamma$)

@10 TeV, you get massive vector boson radiation!

To map out Higgs potential, need to measure multi-Higgs processes. To produce enough events, need high-luminosity 10-TeV scale colliders



- SM predicts wine bottle potential; we usually just assume it's right
 - But we only know there's a minimum...
 - What if it's only a local minimum? Is the universe waiting to tunnel to a global minimum?



- SM predicts wine bottle potential; we usually just assume it's right
 - But we only know there's a minimum...
 - What if it's only a local minimum? Is the universe waiting to tunnel to a global minimum?
- Currently only know *that* EWSB happens! Not how or why!
 - Probe the potential well above EW-scale →
 See EW symmetry restoration



- SM predicts wine bottle potential; we usually just assume it's right
 - But we only know there's a minimum...
 - What if it's only a local minimum? Is the universe waiting to tunnel to a global minimum?
- Currently only know that EWSB happens! Not how or why!
 - Probe the potential well above EW-scale →
 See EW symmetry restoration
- This is about the birth and eventual fate of the universe
 - And *requires* the 10 TeV scale



WIMP Dark Matter: Still Miraculous

If DM couples to SM Weak Force and has TeV-scale mass, Early-universe production gets correct relic density!

• Turns out: Simplest relic WIMP models are still far from excluded

• The loss in excitement over WIMPs does not come from the loss of their viability!

ALPs Q-Balls PBH WIMPs **SuperWIMPs** WIMP Zillas Axions 10⁻¹¹ 10⁻¹⁴ 10¹⁶ 10^{-2} 10¹⁰ 10¹³ 10^{-8} 10^{-5} 10⁴ 10^{7} 10¹⁹ 10 Dark Matter Mass [GeV/c²]

[1903.03026]

WIMP Dark Matter: Still Miraculous

If DM couples to SM Weak Force and has TeV-scale mass, Early-universe production gets correct relic density!

• Turns out: Simplest relic WIMP models are still far from excluded

• The loss in excitement over WIMPs does not come from the loss of their viability!



[1903.03026]

e.g. Thermally-produced Higgsino-like DM should have ~1 TeV masses. We've never had sensitivity this!

This is one of the simplest, most motivated DM models possible!





[1912.07795] 10-44 Sketch depicting qualitative projections, which are further quantified by the references provided PandaX-II LUX 10-45 XENONIT 10^{-25} -XENONnT(projected)-DEAP(projected) 10-46 $\langle \sigma v \rangle \; [{\rm cm}^3/{\rm s}]$ Z(projected) PandaX-30T(projected) c² 10⁻⁴⁷ μ² μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ Neutrino Floor 10⁻⁴⁹ 10^{-27} . 10-50 $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.1g_{2}^{2} + c_{H}$ $\widetilde{c}_H = \widetilde{c}_H^0$ $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.01 g_{2}^{2} + \tilde{c}_{H}^{0}$ Central value 10^{-51} 1.0 10.0 M (TeV) [2211.07027] Pure Higgsino DM **Direct Detection** is under

Pure Higgsino DM Indirect Detection not yet sensitive

Wino

Higgsino

medium term collider ≏⁺≏⁻ machine

1 TeV

muon or hadron

near term collider

indirect, current

indirect, medium term,

 $100 {
m TeV}$

dark matter mass

indirect, long term



[1912.07795] 10-44 PandaX-II LUX 10-45 XENONIT XENONnT(projected)-DEAP(projected) 10-46 Z(projected) FLOOR PandaX 30T (projected) c² 10⁻⁴⁷ μ² μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ Neutrino Floor 10⁻⁴⁹ 10-50 $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.1g_{2}^{2} + c_{H}$ $\widetilde{c}_H = \widetilde{c}_H^0$ $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.01 g_{2}^{2} + \tilde{c}_{H}^{0}$ Central value 10^{-51} 1.0 10.0 M (TeV) Pure Higgsino DM **Direct Detection** is under neutrino floor!

Pure Higgsino DM **Indirect Detection** not yet sensitive



[1912.07795] 10-44 PandaX-II LUX 10-45 XENONIT XENONnT(projected)-DEAP(projected) 10-46 Z(projected) PandaX-30T(projected) c² 10⁻⁴⁷ μ² μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ Neutrino Floor 10⁻⁴⁹ 10-50 $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.1g_{2}^{2} + c_{H}$ $\widetilde{c}_H = \widetilde{c}_H^0$ $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.01 g_{2}^{2} + \tilde{c}_{H}^{0}$ Central value 10^{-51} 1.0 10.0 M (TeV) Pure Higgsino DM **Direct Detection** is under neutrino floor!

Pure Higgsino DM **Indirect Detection** not yet sensitive



[1912.07795] 10-44 PandaX-II LUX 10-45 KENONIT XENONnT(projected)-DEAP(projected) 10-46 Z(projected) Floor Panda X-30T(projected) c² 10⁻⁴⁷ μ² μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ³ μ⁴⁷ μ Neutrino Floor 10⁻⁴⁹ 10⁻⁵⁰ $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.1 g_{2}^{2} + c_{H}$ $\widetilde{c}_H = \widetilde{c}_H^0$ $\tilde{c}_{H} = 0.01 g_{2}^{2} + \tilde{c}_{H}^{0}$ Central value 10^{-51} 1.010.0 M (TeV) Pure Higgsino DM **Direct Detection** is under neutrino floor!

Pure Higgsino DM **Indirect Detection** not yet sensitive



A multi-TeV-scale collider could see Higgsino thermal relics for the first time

Why?

1) What does the Higgs potential look like + why

2) Are the simplest WIMP DM models are true?

Only the multi-TeV scale will tell us this!

Motivations for going as high as possible?

Why?

- 1) What does the Higgs potential look like + why
- 2) Are the simplest WIMP DM models are true?
- 3) Naturalness

Only the multi-TeV scale will tell us this!

Motivations for going as high as possible?

Why?

- 1) What does the Higgs potential look like + why
- 2) Are the simplest WIMP DM models are true?
- 3) Naturalness
 3) The humility to know that there must be something more to discover.

Only the multi-TeV scale will tell us this!

Motivations for going as high as possible?