FSI Plans
Generator workshop

Steve Dytman
University of Pittsburgh

14 July, 2024

- overall – what we wanted now
- what we can do this week?
- what we can do in next 6? Months
- will take concerted effort

Why FSI matters

- The great confuser – hadron mfp ~ fm means 'large' (A dep) changes in both topology and kinematic distributions
  - when only muon detected (Pion production followed by pion absorption mimics quasielastic included in CC0π signal)
  - Hadrons change energy/angle through scattering (+additional p,n..)
  - Charged→neutral through charge exchange (+additional p,n..)
- Too few studies with ν or e beams – initial vs. final state
  - LAr detectors important for low thresholds
- Most data from other facilities
  - Pion, proton beams from 1970's, 1980's
  - More recent work coming from ProtoDUNE
- Theorists tend to avoid the subject due to the complexity

Overview

- Leaders – SD, Callum Wilkinson (LBL)
- Codes and young people/advice
  - NuWro – Ben Bogart (UMich) Jan Sobczyk offline/Callum
  - NEUT – Richie Diurba (Bern) Callum/Patrick Stowell offline
  - GENIE/INCL – Liang Liu (FNAL) SD, SG
  - GENIE/hA/hN – Mohamed Ismail (Pitt) SD
  - GENIE/GEANT – Marc Vololoniaina (Madagascar) offline SD
  - Achilles – no one available
- Desires (N=nucleon (p or n), A=nucleus, pi= $\pi^{+/-}$ or π^{0})
  - Extract NN, piN code/algorithm for each program
  - Be able to run piA, NA code – get cross section output
  - Be able to run nuA and look at hadrons

Model Overview

- Empirical
  - GENIE hA (much better agreement with data than expected)
  - True impulse approx. (IA) – nucleon as free – good for KE>~500 MeV
- Semi-empirical
  - Oset πA, Pandharipande/Pieper NN – adds medium corrections
  - Both are in GENIE hN and NuWro, Oset in NEUT
  - NEUT has new πN tuning (Pinzon et al.)
  - GEANT – has many processes, major recent improvements
- Semi-quantum
  - ACHILLES – Green's Function Monte Carlo for NN
  - Fluka – not available
  - GiBUU – strong, consistent medium effects
  - INCL++ - solid theory basis (Cugnon), has evaporation, coalescence

FSU strategy

- Link hN to hA to nuA, all for same hadron KE
- Look at π^+p, π^0p, and π^- cex and pp, pn, and nn for all codes
  - What is raw, what is added in nucleus?
  - Reexamine for struck nucleon with nuclear corrections?
- Look at π^+p, π^0p, pA, and nA total reaction xs (σ_{reac}) vs. underlying hadron-nucleon (ratio at same KE)
  - Interchange, e.g. NuWro π^0p in NEUT
- Look at νA (QE for p, RES of pions) for same preFSI KE hadron
- Emphasize charged hadrons if time becomes short

Later goals

- More emphasis on neutrals (n and π^0)
- Look at components of σ_{reac} – e.g. inel, cex, abs/ko, pi prod
- Work harder to understand nuclear corrections (does Pauli blocking, nucleon BE and momentum, NN correlations matter?)
- Look at hadron transparency

GENIE FSI strategy

- For better comparisons, goal always for 2 codes which are compatible with neutrino and electron beam codes.
  - hN is Intranuclear Cascade (INC, common in generators) and hA is data driven/simplified version (unique)
  - hA is fully reweightable, very fast
  - Both are *somewhat* fit to hadron-nucleus data.
- Advances slow, come when manpower available (Pitt undergrads, Tomek Golan, Madagascar PhD students)
- As of now, includes pions, K^+, p, and n
- INCL++, GEANT4 introduced in v3.2 (external packages)
  - All 4 FSI models in GENIE use same interface
  - See Eur. Phys. J. ST **230**, 4449-4467 (2021) for v3.2

GENIE comparison tools (hadrons)

- Large database of data with π, p, n, K^+ beams
  - Major source is BNL ENDL repository
- Comparisons
  - Gevgen_hadron is GENIE version for hadron-nucleus
    - Uses any of the 4 GENIE models
  - Code to start simulations for any probe, nucleus – can be based on data, e.g. π^+ Ni to match McKeown data.
  - Code to make a plot comparing simulation with data



Some validation plots

- Mainly total reaction cross section
  - NEUT has best agreement by fitting πN cross section to these data
- GENIE also uses double differential cross sections
  - Minimal tuning, mainly use a model





Problems I - neutrons

Top: fraction of energy in final state from neutrals
Bottom: fraction of energy in FS due to neutron





Plots from S. Gardiner

M. B. Avanzini, et al. ``Comparisons and challenges of modern neutrino-scattering experiments (TENSIONS 2019 report)," *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (2022) 9, 092004

M. Elkins [MINERvA] et al., Phys. Rev. D**100**, 052002 (2019)



Problems II – low energy particles

- Called vertex activity in some experiments
- Nucleons, nucleon clusters, photons
- None are in old standard
- Although GENIE v3 FSI was better than v2, not optimal





INCL – new standard?

- Cugnon, David, Mancusi… Phys Rev
  - Better nuclear model (nucleons in local potential)
    - Plot below, similar to LFG w/o correlations
  - Emission of γ, ^2H, ^4He…
  - Handles π, N (p and n), not K
  - Implemented in GENIE Eur. Phys. J. ST **230**, 4449-4467 (2021) and NuWro [arXiv:2202.10402 [hep-ph]]



GENIE study for 2 GeV ν_μ Ar (mostly π production)

- PhD thesis of Narisoa Vololonaina (Madagascar)
- Test FSI models – hA , hN, INCL++, and Geant4







Plots - quantities very sensitive to FSI

- All comparisons with only FSI changing (new)
- $\delta\alpha_T$ from NuWro compared to T2K data (left)
- low energy p & n from 2 GeV ν_μ Ar in GENIE (right)





Transparency – new validation method?

- Transparency measures probability of escape
  - Direct measure of what we need for FSI in ν or e interactions
  - In fact, that is the way transparency is measured
- All validation done now with hadron-nucleus interactions
  - If mean free path (MFP) is small, this is dominated by surface





Jan Sobczyk

Transparency theory vs. experiment - protons

- Many experiments with electrons for proton and pion transparency, mostly at high energies.
- Recent theory studies aimed at needs of neutrino community
- All proton transparency here

Niewczas, Sobczyk
Phys. Rev. C100, 015505 (2019)
NuWro compare



Isaacson et al.
Phys. Rev. C103, 015502 (2021)
NN correlations



Dytman, et al.
Phys. Rev. D104, 053006 (2021)
Model dependen



Pion transparency

- No data for pion transparency at T_π<~1 GeV
- Significant model dependence
- Focus on Isaacson vs. us?



σ_{reac} vs. transparency

- σ_{reac} most common
- Transparency has new sensitivities (NN corr, formation zone…)
- Best practice is to use both pieces of data
- Better data needed





Summary+outlook

- Significant progress recently
  - More models in GENIE – INCL++, GEANT4
  - More comparisons, e.g. transparency
  - Low energy hadrons, pions show strong model dependence (INCL best)
- No data for pion transparency at T_π<~1 GeV, proton transparency data not sufficient; σ_{reac} improvement needed
  - New e4ν data will have important impact
- Significant model dependence remains
- FSI would be good candidate for theory interface
- Next frontier – Sato-Lee-Nakamura (DCC)
  - Unified model with ~complete hN and NN (no medium corrections)
  - New Madagascar student implementing πN, ηN, KΛ, and KΣ

FSI has different meanings (unfortunate)



- Inclusive
- What theorists often do
- Empirical shift in ω
- Double counting?

- Semi-inclusive (e.g. Udias)
- Good theory solution
- Mainly attenuation due to proton 'abs'

- Complete final state! (this talk)
- What experiments demand!
- Cascade does it all with approximations (free xs with corrections)

Problems III – pion production

- This is related to FSI because this is major source of hadrons at DUNE.
- Much attention to QE, much less to pion production
  - Commonly no medium effects (studied with pion data)
  - Models in US derived in 1980s (Rein Sehgal uses constituent quarks)
  - MAID advances in form factors not implemented except GiBUU
  - Imperfect nonresonant processes (often scaled DIS model – BY)
  - No nonresonant/resonance interference (Kabirnizhad 1pi in NEUT)

IEAE study detail – double different xs

- p+Fe→n+X
  - 800 MeV
  - Many angles
- GEANT4 and INCL+Abla07





Focus on transparency (pC)

- Isaacson et al. vs. Dytman et al. (plot from Jan Sobczyk)
- Core of standard cascade vs. their full result (cyl QMC)
  - Treatment of NN corr
  - difference in stepping
  - NN cross sections
- Very interesting to disentangle dependences