



The Mu2e experiment Current status and future prospects

Gonzalo Díaz

on behalf of the Mu2e Collaboration

57th Fermilab Users Meeting July 11th, 2024

PART I: Physics motivation

Notions of lepton number and flavor violation



Flavor transitions have been observed in nature:

- In the quark sector, transitions are characterized by the mixing elements of the CKM matrix.
- In the lepton sector, neutrino oscillations are constrained by the mixing parameters of the PMNS matrix: θ_{ij} , Δm_{ij} , δ_{CP} .

Lepton family number (L_e , L_μ , L_τ) is a quantum number conserved under the Standard Model (SM).

In the **charged** lepton sector, all observed transitions conserve lepton family number (*e.g.*, muon decay):

	μ^-	\rightarrow	e^{-}	$ u_{\mu}$	$\bar{\nu}_e$
L_e	0	\rightarrow	1	0	-1
L_{μ}	1	\rightarrow	0	1	0

But neutrino oscillations prove it is not conserved in the **neutral** lepton sector:

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) \geq 0$$
, for $\alpha \neq \beta$





Charged lepton flavor violation





$$\Gamma(\mu \to e\gamma) \propto \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \left| \frac{\Delta m_{13}^2}{M_W^2} \right|^2 < 10^{-50}$$

CLFV in the Standard Model does not occur at all:

- · It can be modeled introducing neutrino oscillations.
- It is essentially unmeasurable.

Charged lepton flavor violation (CLFV) is a transition among electrons, muons, or taus that does not conserve lepton family number.

For instance, SM muon decay is <u>not</u> a CLFV process.

Transitions like $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma$ or $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$ can occur through neutrino oscillations in loop diagrams.

Using those loops, models predict CLFV branching ratios (B.R.) smaller than 10⁻⁵⁰.



Measurable CLFV would be evidence of beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics!



CLFV searches in BSM rare decays



Current BSM studies aim to explain the possibility of CLFV processes with B.R. much higher than the SM prediction, up the order of ~ 10^{-15} (*e.g.*, leptoquarks, supersymmetry, heavy neutrinos).



Adapted from W. Marciano

Adapted from Y. Wu (Original from E. C. Dukes)



One of those process include *rare decays* of muons, taus, kaons and B mesons. Muons in particular are of high interest:

- · Prominence of high-intensity muon sources.
- Minimal irreducible backgrounds.
- Smaller B.R. limits.

Three "golden channels" have the highest potential sensitivity:

1. $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ 2. $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+$ 3. $\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$

Determining evidence of CLFV requires investigating all of these "golden channels."



Searches are not exclusive, but complementary.







CLFV searches with the "golden channels" of muon rare decay have been studied by dedicated experiments.

The current upper limits up to a 90% confidence level of their B.R. are:

Channel	B.R. upper limit (90% C.L.)	Experiment
$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ \gamma$	Less than 3.1 × 10 ⁻¹³	MEG and MEG II
$\mu^+ \to e^+ e^- e^+$	Less than 10 ⁻¹²	SINDRUM
$\mu^- N \rightarrow e^- N$	Less than 7 × 10 ⁻¹³	SINDRUM II

The next generation of muon CLFV experiments aim to improve these limits up to <u>four orders of magnitude</u>: Mu2e, COMET, Mu3e.

In particular, Mu2e focuses on measuring the B.R. of **muon-to-electron conversion** in the presence of a nuclear field.



PART II: Experimental concept



Mu2e will look for a neutrinoless, coherent muon-to-electron conversion in the field of an atomic nucleus:

 $\mu^- + N(Z, A) \rightarrow e^- + N(Z, A)$

The chosen nucleus is **aluminum** (Z = 13), different from the SINDRUM II experiment which opted for gold (Z = 79).







The experimental concept is begins with a pulsed proton beam of 8 GeV from the Booster hitting a tungsten **production target** inside the **production solenoid**, and generating pions that decay into muons.







Some of the those pions are driven into the **transport solenoid**, a S-curved structure that selects them based on their charge and momentum.

The selected pions decay into low-momentum muons inside the transport solenoid and are directed into the **muon stopping target** located at the front of the **detector solenoid**.







The **stopping target** is formed of 37 thin aluminum foils.

Some of the low-momentum muons are captured by the atomic orbit of the aluminum, forming a muonic atom that cascades to the 1s orbit state.

The muon in the muonic atom state converts into an electron and is directed to the tracker.







The converted electron travels through the **tracker**, a gas drift chamber, leaving a recognizable, helical signature track. Afterward, it stops at the **calorimeter**, which performs particle identification and can seed the electron track pattern. The structure of the tracker and calorimeter allows additional identification of background muons.





$\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion signal



🛠 Fermilab

The muon in the 1s orbit state interacts with the aluminum nucleus and converts into an electron:

$$\mu^- + AI \rightarrow e^- + AI$$

Since this is a coherent two-body state, the electron is **mono-energetic** and flies away from the nucleus:

$$E_e = m_\mu c^2 - B_{\text{Al,1s}} - E_{\text{recoil}}$$

- Aluminum binding energy in 1s orbit: $B_{AI,1s}$
- Coherent nuclear recoil energy: Erecoil



The current limit is $R_{\mu e} < 7 \times 10^{-13}$ by SINDRUM II using gold.

Mu2e aims to increase sensitivity:

- $R_{\mu e}$ < 8 × 10⁻¹⁷ at a 90% confidence level.
- + $R_{\mu e}$ < 2 × 10⁻¹⁶ for discovery sensitivity (5 σ).

The total exposure of the entire experiment should be $\mathcal{O}(10^{18})$ muons with $\ll 1$ background event.

The motivation of the experiment is to calculate the branching ratio of conversions normalized to all muon nuclear captures:

$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\mu^- + N(A, Z) \rightarrow e^- + N(A, Z)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu^- + N(A, Z) \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + N(A, Z - 1)\right)}$$

Decay-in-orbit background





A. Edmonds (Adapted from Phys. Rev. D 94, 051301(R), 2016) In the 1s muonic atom state in aluminum ($\tau_{\mu,1s} \sim 864$ ns), the muon could undergo three scenarios:

- Nuclear capture (~61%).
- Decay-in-orbit (~39%).
- · Conversion.

Free muon decay: $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e$

- Characterized by the Michel spectrum with an endpoint of ~52.8 MeV.
- Mu2e will be insensitive at that energy.

However, the energy of the electrons from the **decay-in-orbit** (DIO) of muons bound to atoms is distorted by the nucleus pass the Michel endpoint up to the energy point of conversion (~105 MeV).

DIO represents one a significant background. Minimizing it **drives the structure of the active detector**.

A suppression of DIO background demands a <u>momentum</u> resolution of ~180 keV/c with small tails of Gaussian characterization.



Decay-in-orbit background







In the 1s muonic atom state in aluminum ($\tau_{\mu,1S} \sim 864$ ns), the muon could undergo three scenarios:

- Nuclear capture (~61%).
- Decay-in-orbit (~39%).
- Conversion.

Free muon decay: $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e$

- Characterized by the Michel spectrum with an endpoint of ~52.8 MeV.
- Mu2e will be insensitive at that energy.

However, the energy of the electrons from the **decay-in-orbit** (DIO) of muons bound to atoms is distorted by the nucleus pass the Michel endpoint up to the energy point of conversion (~105 MeV).

DIO represents a significant background. Minimizing it **drives the structure of the active detector**.

A suppression of DIO background demands a <u>momentum</u> <u>resolution of ~180 keV/c</u> with small tails of Gaussian characterization.



Prompt backgrounds and radiative pion capture



Prompt backgrounds are particles produced from the proton pulse that interact with the detector.

The most important prompt background is the radiative pion capture (RPC):

 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \gamma N'$ (with N' being a nuclear excited state)

Pions from the production target can interact with the aluminum in the stopping target, producing photons with energy peaking at 120 MeV. These photons can pair-produce an electron that can fake signal.

Internal conversion ($\pi^- N \rightarrow e^+ e^- N'$) can also produce electrons close to the conversion energy.

Minimizing RPC background drives the structure of the beam pulse.



- Narrow proton pulses: width < 250 ns
- Live window of ~900 ns wide separated ~700 ns from proton pulse.
- Enough separation between pulses: $2 \times \tau_{\mu 1S} \approx 1695 \text{ ns}$
- Extinction: process of keeping protons out of life window.
 Fraction of protons out < 10⁻¹⁰



Cosmic rays background



Muons from cosmic rays is the largest source of background.

It is expected approximately one cosmicproduced electron per day in the detector.

A **cosmic ray veto** (CRV) formed by four overlapping layers of polystyrene bars will cover the entire detector solenoid and part of the transport solenoid.

It is highly-efficient (99.99%), and will reduce cosmic background to ~0.5 events during the entire experiment run.











PART III: Status and future plans

Beamline and target station



Resonant extraction based on two electrostatic septa:

- First septum already fabricated.
- Second septum fabrication ongoing.









Heat and radiation shield of the production target constructed and ready for installation.



Extinction system



Extinction is the suppression of protons out of the detection window. The technique works in two steps:

- High-oscillating magnetic fields that deflects any beam outside the pulse.
- · Monitors that will detect protons that are scattered off the target.

Mu2e requires an extinction level of 10-10 or better.



Fabrication of the first AC dipole magnet of the external beamline is complete.

Two more magnets in process.



Extinction monitor:

- · Pre-installation of the entrance collimator complete.
- · Assembly of the exit collimator complete.







Production solenoid





Cryostat welded up.

Cold mass filled with inner bore and inserted into cryostat.



Transport solenoid







Transport solenoid







Detector solenoid





Coil fabrication and testing completed.

Cold mass assembled.







Cryostat system components under assembly.

Tracker





Minimum element is a **straw**: 25 μ m goldplated tungsten wire at the center of a 15 μ m thick Mylar and aluminum tube of 5 mm radius.

96 straws form a **panel**. 6 panels form a **plane**. 2 planes form a **station**. Tracker is formed by 18 stations \Rightarrow **20736 channels**.

Each straw is a drift tube filled with ionizing gas: 80% Ar, 20% CO_2 .



Plane x 2 Station x 18 full tracker

Tracker structure is designed to capture and recognize conversion electrons while minimizing DIO background.

Low-mass detector in vacuum

- \Rightarrow Minimal multiple scattering
- \Rightarrow Improved resolution (< 180 keV/c)



Tracker







33 out of 36 planes assembled (92%). Remaining 3 planes in progress, expected by this fall.

"Training station" made with test panels to evaluate assembly and metrology procedures.







Front-end electronics installed in 10 planes (28%).

Expected to be completed by end of year.

Calorimeter



S. Giovannella



Formed by two annular disks: "disk-0" and "disk-1."

Each disk contains 674 CsI crystals coupled to silicon photomultipliers.

Disks are separated by 70 cm: 1/4 of the helix trajectory of a 105 MeV electron

Main functions:

- Particle identification.
- Timing.
- Seeding for track reconstruction.





Calorimeter



S. Miscetti





For both disks, mechanics, analog electronics and power distribution is completed. Cable routing completed for Disk-1 and 2/3 for Disk-0 → It will be completed beginning of September At Mu2e Hall:

- ✓ LV/HV power supplies installed
- ✓ Service cables in the south-side done
- ✓ Service cables in the north side in progress now



Disk-0/Disk-1 - May 2024





Short- and mid-term plans



Short-term

- Mu2e will complete detector assembly by the end of this year.
- Simultaneously, the detector hall is being conditioned.
- Once all detectors are assembled, installation is expected to be done early 2025.
- DAQ progress at 90%, it is expected to be complete next year.
- Cosmic muon commissioning run is planed for spring 2025.







Mid-term

- Full operations for the Physics Run I, expected to begin January 2027.
- Mu2e will take one year of data until the PIP-II long shutdown scheduled for the beginning of 2028.
 - ▶ The plan is to improve from SINDRUM II limits by an order of ~1000.



Long-term plans and PIP-II possibilities



- After the PIP-II long shutdown, Mu2e plans to resume operations until mid-2030s with Phase II.
- Full dataset aims to improve current SINDRUM II limits by an order of ~10⁴:
 - $R_{\mu e}$ < 8 × 10⁻¹⁷ at 90% C.L.

- The proposed **Mu2e-II** experiment aims to enhance the capabilities of Mu2e using the PIP-II beamline.
- Depending if $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion signal is seen in Mu2e:
 - Yes: Measure other target nuclei with higher precision.
 - No: Improve sensitivity by ~10⁵ over the current limits.

- Another proposal for an **Advanced Muon Facility** (AMF) is in discussion.
 - Possibility of exploring simultaneously all three muon "golden channels."





Summary and conclusions



- Mu2e looks to improve the current limits of the CLFV search with muon-to-electron conversion in a nuclear field by up to four orders of magnitude.
- Introduces detection techniques that aims to minimize primarily cosmic, prompt and DIO backgrounds.
- Detector construction and infrastructure is in a mature stage and the plans are to start a commissioning run early next year.
- An estimated of one year of physics run is planned before the PIP-II long shutdown.
- After the shutdown, operations will restart until the next decade.
- Plans for future CLFV searches with muons beyond Mu2e have been proposed and are under consideration.





Complementary material

Production target









Stopping target









Estimated backgrounds



* Simulation results for 3.6×10 ²⁰ POT				
Process	Expected event yield			
Cosmic rays	$0.209 \pm 0.022(\text{stat}) \pm 0.055(\text{syst})$			
DIO	$0.144 \pm 0.028(\text{stat}) \pm 0.11(\text{syst})$			
Antiprotons	$0.040 \pm 0.001(\text{stat}) \pm 0.020(\text{syst})$			
Pion capture	$0.021 \pm 0.001(\text{stat}) \pm 0.002(\text{syst})$			
Muon DIF	< 0.003			
Pion DIF	$0.001 \pm < 0.001$			
Beam electrons	$(2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$			
RMC	$0.000\substack{+0.004\\-0.000}$			
Total	$0.41 \pm 0.13 (\mathrm{stat+syst})$			

Y. Wu



TDAQ system





