



# **The Mu2e experiment Current status and future prospects**

#### **Gonzalo Díaz**

*on behalf of the Mu2e Collaboration*

**57th Fermilab Users Meeting**

July 11th, 2024

# **PART I: Physics motivation**

# **Notions of lepton number and flavor violation**



Flavor transitions have been observed in nature:

- In the quark sector, transitions are characterized by the mixing elements of the CKM matrix.
- In the lepton sector, neutrino oscillations are constrained by the mixing parameters of the PMNS matrix:  $\theta_{ij}$ ,  $\Delta m_{ij}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{CP}}$ .

Lepton family number  $(L_e, L_\mu, L_\tau)$  is a quantum number conserved under the Standard Model (SM).

In the **charged** lepton sector, all observed transitions conserve lepton family number (*e.g.*, muon decay):



But neutrino oscillations prove it is not conserved in the **neutral** lepton sector:

$$
P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) \geq 0, \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta
$$





# **Charged lepton flavor violation**





$$
\Gamma(\mu \to e\gamma) \propto \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \left| \frac{\Delta m_{13}^2}{M_W^2} \right|^2 < 10^{-50}
$$

Charged lepton flavor violation (CLFV) is a transition among electrons, muons, or taus that does not conserve lepton family number.

For instance, SM muon decay is not a CLFV process.

Transitions like  $\mu^- \to e^- \gamma$  or  $\mu^- N \to e^- N$  can occur through neutrino oscillations in loop diagrams.

Using those loops, models predict CLFV branching ratios (B.R.) smaller than 10-50.

CLFV in the Standard Model does not occur at all:

- It can be modeled introducing neutrino oscillations.
- It is essentially unmeasurable.



**Measurable CLFV would be evidence of beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics!** 



# **CLFV searches in BSM rare decays**



Current BSM studies aim to explain the possibility of CLFV processes with B.R. much higher than the SM prediction, up the order of ~10-15 (*e.g.*, leptoquarks, supersymmetry, heavy neutrinos).



*Adapted from W. Marciano*

*Adapted from Y. Wu (Original from E. C. Dukes)*



One of those process include *rare decays* of muons, taus, kaons and B mesons. Muons in particular are of high interest:

- Prominence of high-intensity muon sources.
- Minimal irreducible backgrounds.
- Smaller B.R. limits.

Three "golden channels" have the highest potential sensitivity:

1.  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ 2.  $\mu^+ \to e^+e^-e^+$ 3. *μ*−*N* → *e*−*N*

Determining evidence of CLFV requires investigating all of these "golden channels."



Searches are not exclusive,<br>but complementary.







CLFV searches with the "golden channels" of muon rare decay have been studied by dedicated experiments.

The current upper limits up to a 90% confidence level of their B.R. are:



The next generation of muon CLFV experiments aim to improve these limits up to four orders of magnitude: Mu2e, COMET, Mu3e.

> In particular, Mu2e focuses on measuring the B.R. of **muon-to-electron conversion** in the presence of a nuclear field.



# **PART II: Experimental concept**



Mu2e will look for a neutrinoless, coherent muon-to-electron conversion in the field of an atomic nucleus:

 $\mu^- + N(Z, A) \to e^- + N(Z, A)$ 

The chosen nucleus is **aluminum** ( $Z$  = 13), different from the SINDRUM II experiment which opted for gold ( $Z$  = 79).







The experimental concept is begins with a pulsed proton beam of 8 GeV from the Booster hitting a tungsten **production target** inside the **production solenoid**, and generating pions that decay into muons.







Some of the those pions are driven into the **transport solenoid**, a S-curved structure that selects them based on their charge and momentum.

The selected pions decay into low-momentum muons inside the transport solenoid and are directed into the **muon stopping target** located at the front of the **detector solenoid**.







The **stopping target** is formed of 37 thin aluminum foils.

Some of the low-momentum muons are captured by the atomic orbit of the aluminum, forming a muonic atom that cascades to the 1s orbit state.

The muon in the muonic atom state converts into an electron and is directed to the **tracker**.







The converted electron travels through the **tracker**, a gas drift chamber, leaving a recognizable, helical signature track. Afterward, it stops at the **calorimeter**, which performs particle identification and can seed the electron track pattern. The structure of the tracker and calorimeter allows additional identification of background muons.





# *μ*<sup> $-$ </sup> → *e*<sup>−</sup> conversion signal



줖 Fermilab

The muon in the 1s orbit state interacts with the aluminum nucleus and converts into an electron:

$$
\mu^- + \text{Al} \rightarrow e^- + \text{Al}
$$

Since this is a coherent two-body state, the electron is **mono-energetic** and flies away from the nucleus:

$$
E_e = m_\mu c^2 - B_{\text{Al},1\text{s}} - E_{\text{recoil}}
$$

- $\,\cdot\,$  Aluminum binding energy in 1s orbit:  $B_{\sf Al,1s}$
- Coherent nuclear recoil energy: *E*recoil



The current limit is  $R_{\mu e}$  < 7  $\times$  10<sup>-13</sup> by SINDRUM II using gold.

Mu2e aims to increase sensitivity:

- $R_{\mu e}$  < 8  $\times$  10<sup>-17</sup> at a 90% confidence level.
- $R_{\mu e}$  < 2 × 10<sup>-16</sup> for discovery sensitivity (5σ).

The total exposure of the entire experiment should be  $\mathcal{O}(10^{18})$ muons with  $\ll$  1 background event.



$$
R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + N(A, Z) \to e^{-} + N(A, Z))}{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + N(A, Z) \to \nu_{\mu} + N(A, Z - 1))}
$$

# **Decay-in-orbit background**





#### *A. Edmonds (Adapted from Phys. Rev. D 94, 051301(R), 2016)*

In the 1s muonic atom state in aluminum  $(\tau_{\mu,1\,s} \sim 864 \text{ ns})$ , the muon could undergo three scenarios:

- Nuclear capture  $(\sim 61\%)$ .
- Decay-in-orbit (~39%).
- Conversion.

Free muon decay:  $\mu^- \to e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e$ 

- Characterized by the Michel spectrum with an endpoint of ~52.8 MeV.
- Mu2e will be insensitive at that energy.

However, the energy of the electrons from the **decay-inorbit** (DIO) of muons bound to atoms is distorted by the nucleus pass the Michel endpoint up to the energy point of conversion (~105 MeV).

DIO represents one a significant background. Minimizing it **drives the structure of the active detector**.

A suppression of DIO background demands a momentum resolution of ~180 keV/c with small tails of Gaussian characterization.



# **Decay-in-orbit background**







In the 1s muonic atom state in aluminum  $(\tau_{\mu,1\,s} \sim 864 \text{ ns})$ , the muon could undergo three scenarios:

- Nuclear capture  $(\sim 61\%)$ .
- Decay-in-orbit (~39%).
- Conversion.

Free muon decay:  $\mu^- \to e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e$ 

- Characterized by the Michel spectrum with an endpoint of ~52.8 MeV.
- Mu2e will be insensitive at that energy.

However, the energy of the electrons from the **decay-inorbit** (DIO) of muons bound to atoms is distorted by the nucleus pass the Michel endpoint up to the energy point of conversion (~105 MeV).

DIO represents a significant background. Minimizing it **drives the structure of the active detector**.

A suppression of DIO background demands a momentum resolution of ~180 keV/c with small tails of Gaussian characterization.



# **Prompt backgrounds and radiative pion capture**



Prompt backgrounds are particles produced from the proton pulse that interact with the detector.

The most important prompt background is the **radiative pion capture** (RPC):

 $\pi$ <sup>−</sup> $N$   $\rightarrow$   $\gamma$  $N'$  (with  $N'$  being a nuclear excited state)

Pions from the production target can interact with the aluminum in the stopping target, producing photons with energy peaking at 120 MeV. These photons can pair-produce an electron that can fake signal.

Internal conversion ( $\pi$ <sup>−</sup> $N$  →  $e$ <sup>+</sup> $e$ <sup>−</sup> $N'$ ) can also produce electrons close to the conversion energy.

Minimizing RPC background **drives the structure of the beam pulse**.



- Narrow proton pulses: width < 250 ns
- $\cdot$  Live window of  $\sim 900$  ns wide separated ~700 ns from proton pulse.
- Enough separation between pulses: 2 ×  $τ_{μ,1s}$  ≈ 1695 ns
- Extinction: process of keeping protons out of life window. Fraction of protons out < 10-10



# **Cosmic rays background**



**Muons from cosmic rays** is the largest source of background.

It is expected approximately one cosmicproduced electron per day in the detector.

A **cosmic ray veto** (CRV) formed by four overlapping layers of polystyrene bars will cover the entire detector solenoid and part of the transport solenoid.

It is highly-efficient (99.99%), and will reduce cosmic background to ~0.5 events during the entire experiment run.











# **PART III: Status and future plans**

# **Beamline and target station**



Resonant extraction based on two electrostatic septa:

- First septum already fabricated.
- Second septum fabrication ongoing.









Heat and radiation shield of the production target constructed and ready for installation.



# **Extinction system**



Extinction is the suppression of protons out of the detection window. The technique works in two steps:

- High-oscillating magnetic fields that deflects any beam outside the pulse.
- Monitors that will detect protons that are scattered off the target.

Mu2e requires an **extinction level of 10-10 or better**.



Fabrication of the first AC dipole magnet of the external beamline is complete.

Two more magnets in process.



Extinction monitor:

- Pre-installation of the entrance collimator complete.
- Assembly of the exit collimator complete.







### **Production solenoid**





Cold mass completed.

July 11th, 2024



## **Transport solenoid**







## **Transport solenoid**







### **Detector solenoid**





Coil fabrication and testing completed.

Cold mass assembled.







Cryostat system components under assembly.

## **Tracker**





Minimum element is a **straw**: 25 μm goldplated tungsten wire at the center of a 15 μm thick Mylar and aluminum tube of 5 mm radius.

96 straws form a **panel**. 6 panels form a **plane**. 2 planes form a **station**. Tracker is formed by 18 stations **20736 channels**. ⇒

Each straw is a drift tube filled with ionizing gas: 80% Ar, 20% CO2.



plane x 2 PlaneStation x 18 full tracker

Tracker structure is designed to capture and recognize conversion electrons while minimizing DIO background.

Low-mass detector in vacuum

- ⇒ Minimal multiple scattering
- ⇒ Improved resolution (< 180 keV/c)



### **Tracker**







33 out of 36 planes assembled (92%). Remaining 3 planes in progress, expected by this fall.

"Training station" made with test panels to evaluate assembly and metrology procedures.







Front-end electronics installed in 10 planes (28%). Expected to be completed by end of year.

July 11th, 2024

### **Calorimeter**



#### *S. Giovannella*



Formed by two annular disks: "disk-0" and "disk-1."

Each disk contains 674 CsI crystals coupled to silicon photomultipliers.

Disks are separated by 70 cm: 1/4 of the helix trajectory of a 105 MeV electron

Main functions:

- Particle identification.
- Timing.
- Seeding for track reconstruction.







### **Calorimeter**



#### *S. Miscetti*





# **Short- and mid-term plans**



#### **Short-term**

- Mu2e will complete detector assembly by the end of this year.
- Simultaneously, the detector hall is being conditioned.
- Once all detectors are assembled, installation is expected to be done early 2025.
- DAQ progress at 90%, it is expected to be complete next year.
- Cosmic muon **commissioning run** is planed for **spring 2025**.







#### **Mid-term**

- Full operations for the **Physics Run I**, expected to begin **January 2027**.
- Mu2e will take one year of data until the PIP-II long shutdown scheduled for the beginning of 2028.
	- $\cdot$  The plan is to improve from SINDRUM II limits by an order of  $\sim$ 1000.



# **Long-term plans and PIP-II possibilities**



- After the PIP-II long shutdown, Mu2e plans to resume operations until mid-2030s with Phase II.
- Full dataset aims to improve current SINDRUM II limits by an order of  $~10^4$ :
	- $\cdot$  *R*<sub>*ue*</sub> < 8 × 10<sup>-17</sup> at 90% C.L.
- The proposed **Mu2e-II** experiment aims to enhance the capabilities of Mu2e using the PIP-II beamline.
- Depending if  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$  conversion signal is seen in Mu2e:
	- ‣ Yes: Measure other target nuclei with higher precision.
	- $\cdot$  No: Improve sensitivity by  $\sim$ 10<sup>5</sup> over the current limits.
- **•** Another proposal for an **Advanced Muon Facility** (AMF) is in discussion.
	- ‣ Possibility of exploring simultaneously all three muon "golden channels."





# **Summary and conclusions**



- Mu2e looks to improve the current limits of the CLFV search with muon-to-electron conversion in a nuclear field by up to four orders of magnitude.
- Introduces detection techniques that aims to minimize primarily cosmic, prompt and DIO backgrounds.
- Detector construction and infrastructure is in a mature stage and the plans are to start a commissioning run early next year.
- An estimated of one year of physics run is planned before the PIP-II long shutdown.
- After the shutdown, operations will restart until the next decade.
- Plans for future CLFV searches with muons beyond Mu2e have been proposed and are under consideration.





# **Complementary material**

### **Production target**









# **Stopping target**









# **Estimated backgrounds**





*Y. Wu*



# **TDAQ system**





