



The search for CLFV with the Mu2e Experiment

Kevin Lynch, AD/BD/TSD and Mu2e
FNAL Summer 2024 Lecture Series
13 June 2024

What we'll cover...

- Let's start at the beginning
- What is CLFV?
- What is Mu2e and how does it work?
- Summary

What exactly to physicists think we already now?

- Example: Gravity, or Why Things Fall

What exactly to physicists think we already now?

- Example: Gravity, or Why Things Fall




What exactly do physicists think we already know?

- Example: Gravity, or Why Things Fall




What exactly do physicists think we already know?

- Example: Gravity, or Why Things Fall




What exactly do physicists think we already know?

- Example: Gravity



What else?

What else?



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
 Chemical Symbol
 CARBON
 Chemical Name
 Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons

NON-METALS


METALS

1	2																	10	11												
3	4																	12	13	14	15	16	17	18							
9	10																	19	20											35	36
11	12																	37	38											53	54
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36														
37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54														
55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71															
87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103															
117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133															

KEY

- ☐ Solid at room temperature
- ☉ Liquid at room temperature
- ☁ Gas at room temperature
- ☛ Radioactive
- ☛ Artificially Made

This periodic table is based on the Table of Elements that were included in the periodic table. As a result, this table actually displays the mass number of a specific isotope for each element. An element's complete, unabbreviated name, weight can be found on the IUPAC website: <http://iupac-atom.org/periodic-table/index.html>



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
Chemical Symbol
Chemical Name
Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons

NON-METALS


METALS

1	2																	10	11																
H	He																	Ne	Ar																
Li	Be											B	C	N	O	F	Ne	Na	Mg	Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar										
Na	Mg											Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar	K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe	Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn	Fr	Ra	Ac	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt	Ds	Rg	Cn	Uut	Uuq	Uup			
Fr	Ra	Ac	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt	Ds	Rg	Cn	Uut	Uuq	Uup																					

KEY

- Solid at room temperature
- Liquid at room temperature
- Gas at room temperature
- Radioactive
- Artificially Made

This periodic table is based on the Table of Elements from the National Institute of Standards and Technology. As a result, this table actually displays the mass number of a specific isotope for each element. A weight can be found on the IUPAC website: <http://education.jlab.org/elemental/index.html>



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
 Chemical Symbol
 Chemical Name
 Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons

NON-METALS


METALS

1	2																	10	11																																												
1	H																	He	2																																												
3	Li	4	Be																	10	Ne																																										
11	Na	12	Mg																	18	Ar																																										
19	K	20	Ca	21	Sc	22	Ti	23	V	24	Cr	25	Mn	26	Fe	27	Co	28	Ni	29	Cu	30	Zn	31	Ga	32	Ge	33	As	34	Se	35	Br	36	Kr																												
37	Rb	38	Sr	39	Y	40	Zr	41	Nb	42	Mo	43	Tc	44	Ru	45	Rh	46	Pd	47	Ag	48	Cd	49	In	50	Sn	51	Sb	52	Te	53	I	54	Xe																												
55	Cs	56	Ba	57	La	58	Ce	59	Pr	60	Nd	61	Pm	62	Sm	63	Eu	64	Gd	65	Tb	66	Dy	67	Ho	68	Er	69	Tm	70	Yb	71	Lu	72	Hf	73	Ta	74	W	75	Re	76	Os	77	Ir	78	Pt	79	Au	80	Hg	81	Tl	82	Pb	83	Bi	84	Po	85	At	86	Rn
87	Fr	88	Ra	89	Ac	90	Th	91	Pa	92	U	93	Np	94	Pu	95	Am	96	Cm	97	Bk	98	Cf	99	Es	100	Fm	101	Mendelevium	102	Nobelium	103	Livermorium	104	Tennessine	105	Oganesson																										

KEY

- ☐ = Solid at room temperature
- ◐ = Liquid at room temperature
- ◑ = Gas at room temperature
- ☼ = Radioactive
- ⚡ = Artificially Made

This periodic table is based on the Table of Elements here: <http://www.chemeddl.org/chemeddl/periodic/index.html>



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
Chemical Symbol
Chemical Name
Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons


NON-METALS

METALS

KEY

- Solid at room temperature
- Liquid at room temperature
- Gas at room temperature
- Radioactive
- Artificially Made

This periodic table is based on the Table of Elements from the National Institute of Standards and Technology. As a result, this table actually displays the mass number of a specific isotope for each element. A weight can be found on the IUPAC Periodic Table of Elements website: <http://education.jlab.org/periodic/table/index.html>



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
Chemical Symbol
Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons



NON-METALS

METALS

KEY

- Solid at room temperature
- Liquid at room temperature
- Gas at room temperature
- Radioactive
- Activity Mark

The atomic weights listed on this table can be found on the IUPAC website. © 2010 American Chemical Society



What else?

The Periodic Table of Elements

Atomic Number = Number of Protons = Number of Electrons
Chemical Symbol
Chemical Name
Atomic Weight = Number of Protons + Number of Neutrons

6 ←
C
←
CARBON
←
12

NON-METALS




METALS

1	2											3	4				
H	He											Li	Be				
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
Li	Be	B	C	N	O	F	Ne	Na	Mg	Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar		
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe
55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72
Cs	Ba	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf
87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104
Fr	Ra	Ac	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102
Fr	Ra	Ac	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118
Uuq	Uub	Uut	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq
119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136
Uue	Uub	Uut	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq	Uuq

KEY

- Solid at room temperature
- Liquid at room temperature
- Gas at room temperature
- ☼ Radioactive
- ☼ Artificially Made

The atomic weights listed on this table can be found on the IUPAC website.



But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?
- How do we design better materials?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?
- How do we design better materials?
- Why does the neutron weigh more than the proton?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?
- How do we design better materials?
- Why does the neutron weigh more than the proton?
- Why are there multiple generations of particles?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?
- How do we design better materials?
- Why does the neutron weigh more than the proton?
- Why are there multiple generations of particles?
- Why are there so many forces?

But don't get the idea that we understand everything ... or even very much at all!

- Why is the Universe 14Gyr old?
- Why is there dark energy?
- Why is there dark matter?
- Why is there life?
- How do proteins fold?
- How do we design better materials?
- Why does the neutron weigh more than the proton?
- Why are there multiple generations of particles?
- Why are there so many forces?

We have theories and models that we think can describe most of these - but we don't really understand all the “whys” and “whats” and “hows” – and there are things we know we don't know!

Quantum Field Theory and the *Standard Model* quantify what we know

Quantum Field Theory and the *Standard Model* quantify what we know

Matter

Quantum Field Theory and the *Standard Model* quantify what we know

Matter

Forces

Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know

Matter

$2,4 \text{ MeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	$1,27 \text{ GeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	$171,2 \text{ GeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
$4,8 \text{ MeV}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	$4,2 \text{ GeV}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
$<2,2 \text{ eV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	$<0,17 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	$<15,5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	$91,2 \text{ GeV}$ 0 1 Z^0 weak force
$0,511 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	$105,7 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	$1,777 \text{ GeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	$80,4 \text{ GeV}$ ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force

Forces

Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know

Matter

2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 1 Z^0 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force

Forces

Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know

Matter

2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 1 Z^0 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force

Forces

Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know

Quarks
Matter

2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 γ 1 photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 g 1 gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 0 Z⁰ 1 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ±1 1 W[±] weak force

Forces


Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know

Quarks
Matter
Leptons


2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 γ 1 photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 g 1 gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 0 Z⁰ 1 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ±1 1 W[±] weak force

Forces


Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know




Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know




Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know



Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know



Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model quantify what we know




And there's a mirror world of "antimatter"

2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 1 Z⁰ weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ± 1 1 W[±] weak force


It is the *Standard Model* that quantifies what we know

But we don't see all this complexity in our everyday existence!



There are no experiments in conflict* with the Standard Model ...


There are no experiments in conflict* with the Standard Model ...



From the very largest known structures...





There are no experiments in conflict* with the Standard Model ...



From the very largest known structures...




... to the very smallest!



This picture were and electrons size and the km across.

There are no experiments in conflict* with the Standard Model ...





From the very largest known

This is extremely frustrating, annoying, and difficult to understand!




very smallest!




This picture were and electrons size and the km across.

But all is not well: The flavor problem – Or, why are things heavy?


But all is not well: The flavor problem – Or, why are things heavy?



But all is not well: The flavor problem – Or, why are things heavy?



These are relative masses not size – they have no measurable size



We have a descriptive – but not explanatory! - solution: the Higgs Boson


Some other minor issues: what's the rest of the universe?

Some other minor issues: what's the rest of the universe?

96% of the universe is *not* the stuff I've told you about! And we have no idea what that other stuff is!



Some other minor issues: what's the rest of the universe?

96% of the universe is *not* the stuff I've told you about! And we have no idea what that other stuff is!



Some other minor issues: what's the rest of the universe?

96% of the universe is *not* the stuff I've told you about! And we have no idea what that other stuff is!



There are many similar challenges, they're all exciting, and lots of explanations have been proposed to address them

There are many similar challenges, they're all exciting, and lots of explanations have been proposed to address them

- Modified gravity
- Extra dimensions to the universe
 - Both small and large varieties
- Add new particles
 - Supersymmetry
- Add new forces
 - Strongly and weakly interacting
- And lots of others!


There are many similar challenges, they're all exciting, and lots of explanations have been proposed to address them

- Modified gravity
- Extra dimensions to the universe
 - Both small and large varieties
- Add new particles
 - Supersymmetry
- Add new forces
 - Strongly and weakly interacting
- And lots of others!



None of these scenarios (yet) provide a complete, consistent solution ... and they never will, in the absence of guidance from *experiments*.

How do we think about potential *high energy physics** experiments?



How do we think about potential *high energy physics** experiments?





How do we think about potential *high energy physics** experiments?



How do we think about potential *high energy physics** experiments?



How do we think about potential *high energy physics** experiments?



Mu2e lives here!




Intensity Frontier experiments are further split into two classes


- Precision Measurements
 - Try to measure specific parameters with ridiculously high precision
 - Eg: Muon $g-2$
- Rare and Forbidden Process Searches
 - We look in huge piles of data for
 - Events the Standard Model predicts are extremely rare, in the hopes they occur more/less often, or
 - Events the Standard Model predicts don't happen at all, in the hopes that they occur more often than that
 - Eg: $\text{Mu}2e$

Precision Measurements: How far to Two Brothers?

Precision Measurements: How far to Two Brothers?



Precision Measurements: How far to Two Brothers?



Rare Searches: what is the natural frequency of people with blue hair?

Rare Searches: what is the natural frequency of people with blue hair?



Rare Searches: what is the natural frequency of people with blue hair?



Oh, and, make sure you aren't fooled by people who *dye* their hair blue ... and then lie about it!


As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome



As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome


Systematic Uncertainties



As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

Systematic Uncertainties

Statistical Uncertainties




As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

Systematic Uncertainties

Statistical Uncertainties

Systematics is about removing or accounting for effects that shift or scale your measurements from underlying physics.




As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

Systematic Uncertainties

Statistical Uncertainties


Systematics is about removing or accounting for effects that shift or scale your measurements from underlying physics.



As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

Systematic Uncertainties

Systematics is about removing or accounting for effects that shift or scale your measurements from underlying physics.



Statistical Uncertainties


Statistics is about making *lots* of measurements to overcome Poisson.

$$\frac{\delta\tau}{\tau} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$

As I've hinted, these measurements have two problems to overcome

Systematic Uncertainties

Systematics is about removing or accounting for effects that shift or scale your measurements from underlying physics.



Statistical Uncertainties

Statistics is about making *lots* of measurements to overcome Poisson.

$$\frac{\delta\tau}{\tau} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Oops! I forgot about one other minor issue...

Oops! I forgot about one other minor issue...



Oops! I forgot about one other minor issue...

Let's turn next to CLFV in the muon sector; first, what's a muon?

Let's turn next to CLFV in the muon sector; first, what's a muon?

$2,4 \text{ MeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	$1,27 \text{ GeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	$171,2 \text{ GeV}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
$4,8 \text{ MeV}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	$4,2 \text{ GeV}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
$<2,2 \text{ eV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	$<0,17 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	$<15,5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	$91,2 \text{ GeV}$ 0 1 Z^0 weak force
$0,511 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	$105,7 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	$1,777 \text{ GeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	$80,4 \text{ GeV}$ ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force

Let's turn next to CLFV in the muon sector; first, what's a muon?

2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 1 Z^0 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force


Let's turn next to CLFV in the muon sector; first, what's a muon?

I.I.Rabi: "Who ordered that?"


2,4 MeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ u up	1,27 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ c charm	171,2 GeV $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ t top	0 0 1 γ photon
4,8 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ d down	104 MeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ s strange	4,2 GeV $-\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ b bottom	0 0 1 g gluon
<2,2 eV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	<0,17 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	<15,5 MeV 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino	91,2 GeV 0 1 Z^0 weak force
0,511 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	105,7 MeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	1,777 GeV -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau	80,4 GeV ± 1 1 W^\pm weak force

But we do know lots of things *about* muons!


But we do know lots of things *about* muons!



But we do know lots of things *about* muons!




Ordinary
Muon
Decay




Muon
Nuclear
Capture

But we do know lots of things *about* muons!




Ordinary
Muon
Decay



Muon
Nuclear
Capture


Collisions : $\mu^- + H_2 \rightarrow [\mu, p^+]$ ($n=14$)



Making muons is easy!

You bathe in cosmic ray muons every second of your life!

- ~1 per square centimeter per minute at sea level
- 10,000 will pass through you during this talk!




Making muons is easy!


You bathe in cosmic ray muons every second of your life!

- ~1 per square centimeter per minute at sea level
- 10,000 will pass through you during this talk!

Protons + nucleii \rightarrow “junk” + pions



99.99%



In the Standard Model*, once a muon always a muon

- That is, lepton flavor (and number!) are *conserved*

In the Standard Model*, once a muon always a muon


- That is, lepton flavor (and number!) are *conserved*

$< 2,2 \text{ eV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_e electron neutrino	$< 0,17 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_μ muon neutrino	$< 15,5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ ν_τ tau neutrino
$0,511 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ e electron	$105,7 \text{ MeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ μ muon	$1,777 \text{ GeV}$ -1 $\frac{1}{2}$ τ Tau

In the Standard Model*, once a muon always a muon

- That is, lepton flavor (and number!) are *conserved*

<p><2,2 eV</p> <p>0 ν_e</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>electron neutrino</p>	<p><0,17 MeV</p> <p>0 ν_μ</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>muon neutrino</p>	<p><15,5 MeV</p> <p>0 ν_τ</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>tau neutrino</p>
<p>0,511 MeV</p> <p>-1 e</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>electron</p>	<p>105,7 MeV</p> <p>-1 μ</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>muon</p>	<p>1,777 GeV</p> <p>-1 τ</p> <p>$\frac{1}{2}$</p> <p>Tau</p>




This is *allowed*


In the Standard Model*, once a muon always a muon

- That is, lepton flavor (and number!) are *conserved*

$< 2,2 \text{ eV}$ 0 ν_e $1/2$ electron neutrino	$< 0,17 \text{ MeV}$ 0 ν_μ $1/2$ muon neutrino	$< 15,5 \text{ MeV}$ 0 ν_τ $1/2$ tau neutrino
$0,511 \text{ MeV}$ -1 e $1/2$ electron	$105,7 \text{ MeV}$ -1 μ $1/2$ muon	$1,777 \text{ GeV}$ -1 τ $1/2$ Tau



This is *allowed*




This is *forbidden*

Mu2e (and our competitor COMET) are searches for charged lepton flavor violation with discovery potential


Mu2e (and our competitor COMET) are searches for charged lepton flavor violation with discovery potential

Although it has never been observed, we know that CLFV **must** occur, *even in the Standard Model*, through neutrino loop effects.



Mu2e (and our competitor COMET) are searches for charged lepton flavor violation with discovery potential


Although it has never been observed, we know that CLFV **must** occur, *even in the Standard Model*, through neutrino loop effects.




However, the predicted SM rates are unobservably small:

$$\text{Br}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \left| \sum_{k=2,3} U_{\mu k}^* U_{e k} \frac{\Delta m_{1k}^2}{M_W^2} \right|^2 < 10^{-54}$$

This is a good news/bad news story




This is a good news/bad news story




This is a good news/bad news story

First, the bad news: we'll never observe this!



This is a good news/bad news story


First, the bad news: we'll never observe this!



Now, the good news: we'll never observe this!

This is a good news/bad news story

First, the bad news: we'll never observe this!



Now, the good news: we'll never observe this!

Any signal of CLFV is unambiguous evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model!

There are many potential signatures of CLFV physics in the muon sector

Surface muon beams

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$$

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$$

$$\mu^+ e^- \leftrightarrow \mu^- e^+$$

Double CLFV!

“High” energy beams

$$\mu^- A(Z, N) \rightarrow e^- A(Z, N)$$


$$\mu^- A(Z, N) \rightarrow e^+ A(Z - 2, N)$$

CLFV and LNV!

There are a large number of experiments proposed to further address these channels; I apologize for only mentioning those I'm involved with.


The most powerful signatures are being actively pursued

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$$



MEG/MEG-II at PSI


$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$$




Mu3e at PSI

Coherent neutrinoless conversion is the Mu2e program


Mu2e and COMET will search for Coherent Conversion




$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- A \rightarrow e^- A)}{\Gamma(\mu^- A \rightarrow \nu_\mu A')}$$




We're extending a long line of experiments designed to understand the mystery of muon flavor




We're extending a long line of experiments designed to understand the mystery of muon flavor




We're extending a long line of experiments designed to understand the mystery of muon flavor



We're extending a long line of experiments designed to understand the mystery of muon flavor




We're extending a long line of experiments designed to understand the mystery of muon flavor




Our key advantage: conversion is kinematically distinct from the background muon decay spectrum


Our key advantage: conversion is kinematically distinct from the background muon decay spectrum



Our key advantage: conversion is kinematically distinct from the background muon decay spectrum




Our key advantage: conversion is kinematically distinct from the background muon decay spectrum




Our signal is a mono-energetic electron at 105 MeV, above the background tail!

Beam induced backgrounds can be reduced by using a pulsed beam source ... which we can generate at Fermilab



Let's first explore how Mu2e will tackle this challenge




Where do our protons come from? Keep this constraint in mind:


Where do our protons come from? Keep this constraint in mind:

Since the end of Tevatron running, *neutrino physics* has driven the proton economics at Fermilab, and that *will* remain the key driver for the next 30+ years!


Cartoon of the current accelerator complex



Cartoon of the current accelerator complex



Reminder that these cartoons hide a wealth of complex and interesting science and engineering



Reminder that these cartoons hide a wealth of complex and interesting science and engineering


Recycler Ring

NuMI Extraction Line

Main Injector



They also hide a vast hierarchy of scales!




They also hide a vast hierarchy of scales!




They also hide a vast hierarchy of scales!

- Linac (400MeV)
- Booster (8GeV)
- RR/MI (8GeV/120GeV)
- Muon Campus (3.094GeV/8GeV)
- BNB (8GeV)
- NuMI (120GeV)




The accelerator timeline is organized around the NuMI program

- H⁻ linac (1970, 1993, 2012)
 - 400 MeV linac ~20mA
- Booster synchrotron (1970)
 - H⁻ stripping injection (1978)
 - 16 turns to $\sim 4.7 \times 10^{12}$ p per pulse
 - Resonant Ramp from 0.4 to 8 GeV at 15 Hz
- Recycler (1998)
 - 3.3 km permanent magnet 8 GeV ring
 - Slip-stacking 12 Booster batches, $\sim 56 \times 10^{12}$ p
 - Also re-bunches beam for Muon Campus
- Main Injector (1998, but!)
 - 8 to 120 GeV ramp, cycle time 1.133*-1.4 s



Stacking beam in the Recycler is the key timeline constraint

- Slip stacking is a method of injecting multiple beams at different momenta into the same circular machine.
 - We combine slip stacking with boxcar stacking to stuff beam into the Recycler



7x as many
53MHz RF
buckets in RR/MI
as in Booster
(588/84) ... 81
filled buckets per
transfer

- These manipulations require 13 ticks of the Booster clock
 - 12 for injection, one for extraction


We want to take those spare protons and move them to Mu2e

- Each Booster batch is rebunched from $81 \times 53\text{MHz}$ to $4 \times 2.5\text{MHz}$
- The rebunched beam pulses are extracted one at a time from the RR
- These pulses are injected into the 2.36MHz DR
- Those protons are then slowly extracted to the experiment



We want to take those spare protons and move them to Mu2e

- Each Booster batch is rebunched from $81 \times 53\text{MHz}$ to $4 \times 2.5\text{MHz}$
- The rebunched beam pulses are extracted one at a time from the RR
- These pulses are injected into the 2.36MHz DR
- Those protons are then slowly extracted to the experiment




We want to take those spare protons and move them to Mu2e

- Each Booster batch is rebunched from $81 \times 53\text{MHz}$ to $4 \times 2.5\text{MHz}$
- The rebunched beam pulses are extracted one at a time from the RR
- These pulses are injected into the 2.36MHz DR
- Those protons are then slowly extracted to the experiment



M4/M5


All our machine timelines are built around moving 12 successive Booster batches to the MI – how does this impact muons?




■ Mu2e Batch ■ NOvA Batch

1 tick = 1/15 sec

All our machine timelines are built around moving 12 successive Booster batches to the MI – how does this impact muons?



All our machine timelines are built around moving 12 successive Booster batches to the MI – how does this impact muons?




■ Mu2e Batch ■ NOvA Batch

1 tick = 1/15 sec


One tick for RR → MI transfer

All our machine timelines are built around moving 12 successive Booster batches to the MI – how does this impact muons?



1 tick = 1/15 sec

All our machine timelines are built around moving 12 successive Booster batches to the MI – how does this impact muons?




■ Mu2e Batch
 ■ NOvA Batch

1 tick = 1/15 sec


→ Rebunching and transfer to DR
 81x2 53MHz → 1x8 2.5MHz

Beam to Mu2e is resonantly extracted from the DR over 8 ticks




Beam to Mu2e is resonantly extracted from the DR over 8 ticks

Two batches from Booster




Beam to Mu2e is resonantly extracted from the DR over 8 ticks

Rebatching takes >1 15Hz tick!!!




Beam to Mu2e is resonantly extracted from the DR over 8 ticks



Move one of the new batches to DR
2.5MHz \rightarrow 2.36MHz!



Beam to Mu2e is resonantly extracted from the DR over 8 ticks



Resonant extraction ~25-30k pulses to Mu2e


Mu2e resonantly extracts from the delivery ring

- Quadrupoles intentionally drive a $1/3$ integer resonance in the horizontal tune.
- Sextupoles induce a controlled beam instability.
- Septum foils peel off a bunch each turn.
- Dynamic spill regulation control is accomplished by tune corrections and RFKO.
- Full extraction occurs over $\sim 25\text{-}30\text{k}$ turns.
- Remaining beam is dumped, and the cycle starts again.




The delivery ring orbital period – 1695ns – drives the interpulse spacing in Mu2e, and is a nearly ideal match to the muonic aluminum lifetime of 864ns .

Twenty slides ago, I showed you this picture




The production target is mounted inside a high field Production Solenoid, and we capture and transport backward muons




The production target is a radiatively cooled tungsten structure


- Bicycle wheel support
- LaO₂-doped Tungsten, core is EDMed from single rod
- Longitudinally segmented cylinder (**stress management**):
 - 3.15 mm radius, 160+60 mm length
- Longitudinal fins (**structure and thermal management**)
- 1mm tungsten spokes
- ~ 700 W power absorption
- ~ 1500 K temperature





The Transport Solenoid sign-selects with a collimator



The Detector Solenoid is the heart of the experiment





The Detector Solenoid is the heart of the experiment



The stopping target is 17 Al foils to intercept and stop the secondary beam



The Detector Solenoid is the heart of the experiment



The electron tracker is a low mass straw tube design with 18 stations of tubes transverse to the secondary beam, with 21,000 straws in total.

It provides precision momentum measurement.

The Detector Solenoid is the heart of the experiment




The calorimeter is a two layer, annular, undoped CsI crystal calorimeter.

It provides precision timing and particle ID

Detectors are uninstrumented along the axis of the solenoid

The vast majority of remnant beam, brehmstrahlung, and muon decay products escape down this central hole and are captured in a muon beam stop designed to prevent “back splash”



Mu2e running will be split by the LBNF shutdown

Construction should complete in 2025, with commissioning and Run 1 physics data in 2026-2027.


Recently completed a sensitivity estimate for Run 1:

- 5σ discovery $R = 1.1 \times 10^{-15}$
- 90% CL $R < 5.9 \times 10^{-16}$
- 1000x better than SINDRUM-II
- Paper to be submitted to Universe

Run 2 will commence in 2029 with a goal to improve the measurement to 10000x better than SINDRUM-II.

For the full dataset, our expected sensitivity

- 90% CL $R < \text{few} \times 10^{-17}$



Long DIO tail due to nuclear recoil

To summarize...

- Fundamental muon physics today is focused on CLFV searches
 - $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$
 - $\mu \rightarrow eee$
 - $\mu N \rightarrow e N$
- Mu2e and COMET are friendly competition in the conversion search with much shared DNA
 - Both aim for a 10,000x improvement over SINDRUM-II
- We're either going to discover new physics in the next few years, or we'll provide a nearly unprecedented improvement in sensitivity, either of which beg for a next generation experiment
 - Although I couldn't talk about them today, there are ideas to gain an additional 2-3 orders of magnitude with future searches in these and other channels

Thanks for your interest and attention!

