DNNROI for ProtoDUNE-HD

Sergey Martynenko for WireCell team



Introduction

- We have working Wire-Cell signal processing (SP) for ProtoDUNE-HD, inherited from **ProtoDUNE-SP**
- Want to improve ROI finder by integrating DNNROI described in Yu, Haiwang W., et al. network." Journal of Instrumentation 16.01 (2021): P01036.
- Today:
 - Briefly describe signal processing and DNNROI model
 - Initial training of DNNROI model
 - First look at performance and future work

"Augmented signal processing in Liquid Argon Time Projection Chambers with a deep neural



LArTPC signal processing



- Wire-Cell signal processing contains "ROI finding" algorithm
 - the low frequency noise is amplified in the deconvolution process
 - limit the deconvolution to a small time window that contains signal waveform
 - limit the low frequency noise in induction planes
 - reduce the data size and speed up the decon. procedure



ROI finding in Wire-Cell signal processing

- Traditional ROI finding has its limitation
 - distorted waveform
 - weak signal/broken track for "prolonged track" events
 - noisy dots

Can solve with DNN ROI?



True MIP PDHD sim.

After SP



DNN ROI input

- the current DNN ROI for PD-HD has 3 training inputs:
 - loose low frequency filter (loose_lf)
 - 2-planes matched (MP2)
 - 3-planes matched (MP3)





DNN ROI network

Uses Deep Neural Network – UNet

• arXiv:1505.04597

UNet: auto encoder-decoder + skip connections

- Output is sparsely connected components
- Input and output are similar at leading order

ROI finding as image segmentation

• Utilizing domain knowledge



DNN ROI Training

- 400 cosmic events in PDHD (80/20 %training/validation split)
- Loss: cross entropy \bullet
- Optimizer: Stochastic Gradient Descent with momentum \bullet
- ullet



Platform: I9-9900K, 32 GB memory, Nvidia GTX 2080 Ti 11GB, Samsung 970 500GB NVMe SSD [~5h]





Pixel Efficiency/Purity

- Test for ideal tracks (1 per event)
- Evaluate network performance using :

- Similar performance for lower angled tracks between DNN and reference approach
- DNN performs better for higher angled tracks

pixel based evaluation: eff = (0 + 3 + 0)/(0+3+4) = 3/7purity = (0 + 3 + 0)/(0+4+1) = 3/5





Charge bias

- Bias is 50% quantile of distribution : $100 * (\frac{q_{true} - q_{reco}}{q_{true}})$ per channel q_{true}
- Thresholding in ROI finding is major source of charge bias: signal loss can occur due to its exclusion from the final ROI window
- Larger bias in large angle tracks (as expected)





Charge Resolution

- Resolution also drawn from distribution $100*(\frac{q_{true}-q_{reco}}{per channel based})$ *q*_{true} on difference between 50% 16%, and 84% quantiles
- electronics noise is the main contributor to the charge resolution
- worse resolution in large angle tracks (as expected)



Summary

- DNNROI is implemented as part of SP for ProtoDUNE-HD \bullet
- Trained with small sample of simulated cosmic events
- Shows improvement over reference WC ROI-finding algorithm for high-angle tracks

- Future Work:
 - Current tests only show small phase-space of track angles
 - Need test on EM-showers (probably with separate training)
 - Work on possible use of DNNROI in production chain (memory/speed evaluation)



