First Tests with Sandbox Data and Mx2

Mx2+Flow Track Matching

Int. with Muon Candidate Matched to MINERvA

• Based on matching information between two external tracks, like with a CRT or TMS.



Noë Roy, Anna Fedorova, and myself working on CAF-integration of this matching.

I will only use files in reference to the sandbox times, regardless if more files are allowed.

Rock Muon Flow vs. MLReco CAFs Through-going

- I corrected for the offsets observed
 - ~-10 cm in X and ~5 cm in Y.
- Same cuts used for flow and CAFs:
 - <0.9s between Mx2 and LAr events.
 - Beam reports a spill.
 - The FW is 30 cm in X and Y.
 - Mx2+TPC tracks must agree in XZ and YZ directions within 0.06 radians.
 - The best angular agreement determines which track is selected (never needed).
- Algorithm in CAFs has a purity of 99.3% of selecting the same muon.



Number of candidate Mx2 tracks for DeMario's rock muons could select. The best candidate has the lowest angular displacement. Rock Muon Flow vs. MLReco CAFs Through-going

- Displacement of TPC track extrapolated to the downstream end of the MINERvA track.
- Flow (560 matched tracks) and MLReco+Mx2 (427 matched tracks)

 \circ Possibly a 1-2 cm timing offset between the two.



Rock Muon Flow vs. MLReco CAFs Through-going

- Displacement of TPC track extrapolated to the downstream end of the MINERvA track.
- Flow (560 matched tracks) and MLReco+Mx2 (427 matched tracks)



Mx2+Flow Track Matching

Issues with LHS of 2x2

The starting position location of all through-going muons as reconstructed by MLReco (>120 cm in Z), no additional selection with Mx2 is applied. 621 tracks in total.



This is not observed at <u>flow level</u>. Likely MLReco fighting Module 2?

Event Selection from MiniRun5 (Old Slide)

- Total of ~5k true CC muon (anti)neutrino events (~90 per hour, ~3% of spills).
- Purity of reconstructing those neutrino interactions is 0.8% without additional event selection.
- Therefore, an event selection was developed both with and without MINERvA (efficiency, purity)



- A CC muon (anti)neutrino interaction with a vertex 10 cm away from a detector wall (incl. walls between modules).
 - We will change this to 5 cm for this study because of the low statistics.
 - This requires muon to have energy>1 GeV and cosine of >0.9

Value of fid. vol. cut w.r.t. walls set from vertex purity studies (see backup)

Neutrino Interaction Event Selection (CAF-only)

- Using back-of-the-envelope calculations, we can expect 180 CC muon neutrino interactions with perfect reco.
 - Given the efficiencies, we expect only 35 of those to be reconstructed and identified.

Neutrino Interaction Event Selection (CAF-only)

- Using back-of-the-envelope calculations, we can expect 180 CC muon neutrino interactions with perfect reco.
 - Given the efficiencies, we expect only 35 of those to be reconstructed and identified with pure reco.
 - The sandbox data with Mx2 found 34 neutrino interactions that have a muon candidate matched to Mx2.
 - However, that includes the 10% impurity so with background subtraction it is closer to 30 interactions.
 - Simulation reports 1.3% of spills to have a selected interaction, data has 0.8% (remember low statistics in data)
 - Of these, 16 had one or more hadronic tracks. Simulation had 54% of events with one or more hadrons.

Vertex Distribution

Distribution with full selection

• Only on two modules?

Distribution of Vertices from MLReco Interactions with no Selection Applied



X (cm)

Neutrino Interaction Event Selection (CAF-only)



Conclusion

- Slight offset and low normalization when comparing Mx2 with CAF MLReco vs. Flow.
- There are simply not enough events to investigate further.
 - I would request more hours to be opened to this group for neutrino analyses.
 In the short term, focus explicitly on Mx2 through-going as a sideband.
- Distribution close to prediction.

Backup Slide (Nominal Result in Simulation)

