#### *The Instrumentation Division at BNL has been testing SiPMs from various vendors in the past years; primary targeted to operate SiPMs in cryogenic temperature and in noble liquids.*

- Packaging and bond bare SiPM chips to various carriers
- Current-voltage (IV) characterization: at room temperature,  $165K$ ,  $85K$  in vacuum,  $LN_2$ , and in purified LAr, LXe, and LKr
- Charge gain,  $\mu$ cell and terminal capacitance, quench resistance,  $V_{\text{breakdown}}$ ,  $I_{\text{dark}}$
- time correlated and time uncorrelated avalanche noise measurements: optical cross-talk (CT), after-pulse (AP), and thermally activated dark count (DCR), respectively.
- photodetection efficiency (PDE) from VUV to NIR wavelength range and photon number resolving (PNR) capability.



**DUNE S13360-6075-HS-HRQ**  high  $R_q$ , normal  $V_{bd}$ 







**DUNE FBK triple-trench 50µm**   $\log V_{bd}$ 















#### *lower V-breakdown → higher capacitance → higher power to drive readout electronics*

**DUNE S13360-6075-HS-HRQ**  high  $R_q$ , normal  $V_{bd}$ 

*large OV span*

K

 $LN<sub>2</sub>$  IV dark and light

 $~1$  41 V

**RT IV DARK** 

 $V_{bd}$ ~ 50.6 V

 $1e-03$ 

 $1e-04$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  le-05

 $1e-07$ 

 $1e-08$ 

 $1e-09$ 

 $1e-03$ 

 $1e-04$ 

 $1e-05$ 

 $1e-06$ 

 $1e-07$ 

 $1e-08$ 

 $1e-09$ 

 $1e-1$ 

 $1e-11$ 

 $1e-12$ 

 $1e-13$ 

current  $(A)$ 

 $\mathbf{0}$ 

*large OV span* 

mandang

\$13,60-6075-HS-HRQ - six devices

10

S13360-6075-HS-HRQ - six devices

15

valom

15

10

over-voltage (Volt)

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### $1e-02$ RT IV dark  $1e-03$  $1e-04$  $\frac{1}{2}$  1e-05  $~10.5 \text{ V}$  $1e-07$  $1e-08$ FBK triple trench - six devices  $1e-09$  $\Omega$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 10 over-voltage (Volt)  $1e-03$ LN<sub>2</sub> IV dark and light  $1e-04$  $1e-05$  $1e-06$  $V_{tot} \sim 26.8 \text{ V}$ 5333  $1e-07$  $\text{current}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)$ والمستقطع والمتواطئ بعصيتين  $1e-08$  $1e-09$  $1e-10$  $1e-11$ **AMARAMAYAY** Saaka  $1e-12$ FBK triple trench - six devices  $1e-13$  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ 10  $\Omega$ over-voltage (Volt) FBK direct SiPM pulse shape: ch3  $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{amplitude (mV)}\\ \mbox{${\scriptstyle \odot}$}\\ \mbox{${\scriptstyle \Xi}$} \end{array}$  $R_q$ ~33 $/2$ VWW charge signal  $RT$ LN<sub>2</sub>  $0.00$

 $time (µs)$ 

15

15

#### **Broadcom**

![](_page_2_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### IV Room Temp.

IV  $LN<sub>2</sub>$ 

#### direct pulse shape

![](_page_2_Figure_8.jpeg)

PDE, correlated noise, etc…

#### PDE is calculated by fitting a Poisson distribution to the photoelectron spectrum

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

n = Poisson fitted mean number of photoelectrons

#### relative PDE in LN<sub>2</sub> (Broadcom, DUNE FBK, DUNE HPK)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Number of incidence photons is determined from the measured photocurrent at the selected wavelength from a NIST calibrated photodiode

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$
\# \ of \ 405 \ nm \ photons = \frac{0.65 \ fA}{(1 \ kHz)(0.19 \frac{A}{W})(1.6 \times 10^{-19} J/eV) (3.06 \ eV)} (0.86) = \frac{6.01 \ photons}{10000 \ m/s} \text{pulse}
$$

#### **PDE in LN<sub>2</sub>** (Broadcom, DUNE FBK, DUNE HPK)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

PDE (Broadcom, 405 nm, 5V, LN<sub>2</sub>)  $=$  $\frac{\# \textit{photoelectrons out}}{\# \textit{photons in}} = \frac{3.8}{6.01}$ 6.01  $= 0.63$ 

![](_page_7_Picture_167.jpeg)

**DUNE HPK: PDE spectral response** – use white light source and calibrate against NIST photodiode

$$
PDE_{\lambda} = [spectral \text{ reproduse}]_{\lambda} \times \frac{[PDE_{pulse}]_{405nm}}{[spectral \text{reponse}]_{405nm}}
$$

noted: CT & AP – photoelectron effect, wavelength independent

PDE (QE) = photon effect, depends on wavelength

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

Spectral PDE response generally agrees with HPK (peak at ~460 nm) – also has a slight blue shift behavior in LN<sub>2</sub>

**DUNE FBK: PDE spectral response** – use white light source and calibrate against NIST photodiode

$$
PDE_{\lambda} = [spectral \text{ reproduse}]_{\lambda} \times \frac{[PDE_{pulse}]_{405nm}}{[spectral \text{reponse}]_{405nm}}
$$

noted: CT & AP – photoelectron effect, wavelength independent

PDE (QE) = photon effect, depends on wavelength

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

N/C

Can't compare to FBK data (peak at  $\sim$ 400 nm) – may have a very slight blue shift behavior in LN<sub>2</sub>

**Broadcom: PDE spectral response –** use white light source and calibrate against NIST photodiode

$$
PDE_{\lambda} = [spectral \text{ reproduse}]_{\lambda} \times \frac{[PDE_{pulse}]_{405nm}}{[spectral \text{reponse}]_{405nm}}
$$

noted: CT & AP – photoelectron effect, wavelength independent

PDE (QE) = photon effect, depends on wavelength

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **SiPM array readout concept**

## DUNE FD-2: ARAPUCA (Argon R&D Advanced Program at UniCAmp).

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Optical area** 

 $\cdot$  600 mm x 600 mm=3600 cm^2

**SiPM** area

 $\cdot$  160 x 0.36 cm^2  $\approx$  60 cm<sup> $\lambda$ </sup>2

 $\cdot$   $\approx$  1.7 % of opt. area

SiPM array capacitance  $\approx$  200 nF for  $V_{\rm bd}$ ~45 V;  $\approx$  260 nF for

M.C. Queiroga Bazetto, V.L. Pimentel, A.A. Machado and E. Segreto, in Campinas, Brazil

## **nEXO SiPM Light Detector Readout**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

SNR>10 for single photo electrons & radio-pure components are essential for nEXO.

2021 IEEE NSS/MIC

## **Demonstration of readout concept: weak coupling to amplifier,**  $C_b \ll C_d$

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **LArASIC P2:**

16 **independent** ASIC input channels

peaking time: **1 µs** (programmable 0.5, 1, 2, 3 µs)  
ASIC gain: **4.7 mV/fC** (programmable 7.8, 14, 25 mV/fC)  

$$
C_{cal} = 185 \text{ fF}
$$
  
ADC *sampling rate: 2 MS/s* (0.5 us/time tick)

ADC *sampling rate:* 2 MS/s (0.5 µs/time tick) 10 MHz ref. clock lock

Reference: channel 0 Minitile 8P2S: channel 1 Minitile 8P2S: channel 13 *only 2 ASIC channels are used.*

LArASIC readout by ADC and FPGA shown in the photo Data streaming mode, 45sec/data Data collection: LabView Data analysis: Python 2021 IEEE NSS/MIC

 $time (ns)$ 

**UV** 

**fiber**

To know the number of detected photons, the charge of the signal must be measured. two most common approaches: 0.0003

Charge integration

*direct SiPM pulse shape*0.0002  $\sum_{\substack{m=1 \ n \text{sgn} \ (0.0001)}}$ 165K 80K 0.0000 2000 3000 1000 4000  $time (ns)$ 

• Amplitude measurement

Both methods have their advantages and disadvantages. or a combination of both

## **Charge Readout concept: weak coupling to amplifier**

2021 IEEE/MIC

## *SiPM parameters*

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## *SiPM parameters*

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **HPK SiPM Minitile arrays** S13775-9121 [4x4x(0.6 cm)2]

## HPK minitile board SG/WjA 06/29/2020 D16 D12 D8 D4 4 cm D15 D11 D7 D3 D14 D10 D6 D2  $D13$  D9 D5 D1  $\bigcirc$

6.8 cm

active area=5.76 cm2  $N_{cell} = 16x13923 = 222768$  pixels  $C_{\text{pcell}}(RT)$  =86 fF  $C_{\text{Terminal}} (RT) = 1.2 \text{ nF } (3.3 \text{ nF/cm}^2)$  $C_{total}(16P)$  SiPM tile ~ 20 nF  $C_{total}(8P2S)$  *SiPM tile = 4.8 nF* 

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

in  $LN_2$   $\omega$  4.2 V OV 8P2S 4.8 nF: subset of raw signal trace  $(1 \text{ second})$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

*S/N = 189.6/2.32 ≈ 82*

2021 IEEE NSS/MIC

## in LN<sub>2</sub>: single-photoelectron charge histogram

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Avalanche gain, S/N, resolution (8P2S, 4.8 nF), in LN<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

2021 IEEE NSS/MIC

## **Single-Photoelectron Timing and Coincidence Resolution**

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

sinc-interpolation + peak finding led to  $\sim$ 10 ns timing resolution

## **time coincidence detection: minitiles #27 & #28 – 10 MHz lock ON**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **time coincidence detection: minitiles #27 & #28 – 10 MHz lock ON**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

*after-pulse longer than µs: release of trapped charges after a characteristic time that depends on the type of the trapping centers and its occurrence probability increase in cryogenic temperature.*

# *Mass testing of SiPMs*

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

Mass production test of Hamamatsu MPPC for T2K neutrino

T. Murakami b, T. Nakadaira b, M. Tanaka b

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan <sup>b</sup> IPNS, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

#### INFN - Milano

A. Falcone<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Andreani<sup>c</sup>, S. Bertolucci<sup>b</sup>, C. Brizzolari<sup>a</sup>, N. Buckanam<sup>d</sup>, M. Capasso<sup>f</sup>, C. Cattadori<sup>a</sup>, P. Carniti<sup>a</sup>, M. Citterio<sup>c</sup>, K. Francis<sup>e</sup>, N. Gallice<sup>c</sup>, A. Gola<sup>f</sup>, C. Gotti<sup>a</sup>, I. Lax<sup>b</sup>, P. Litrico <sup>g</sup>, A. Mazzi <sup>f</sup>, M. Mellinato <sup>a</sup>, A. Montanari <sup>b</sup>, L. Patrizii <sup>b</sup>, L. Pasqualini <sup>b</sup>, G. Pessina <sup>a</sup>, M. Pozzato<sup>b</sup>, S. Riboldi<sup>c</sup>, P. Sala<sup>c</sup>, G. Sirri<sup>b</sup>, M. Tenti<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Terranova<sup>a</sup>, M. Torti<sup>a</sup>, R. Travaglini <sup>b</sup>, D. Warner <sup>d</sup>, R. Wilson <sup>d</sup>, V. Zutshi <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> INFN Milano Bicocca and University of Milano Bicocca, Department of Physics, Milano, Italy <sup>b</sup> INFN Bologna and University of Bologna, Department of Physics, Bologna, Italy <sup>c</sup> INFN Milano and University of Milano, Department of Physics, Milano, Italy <sup>d</sup> Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA <sup>e</sup> Northern Illinois University, Department of Physics, DeKalb, IL, USA Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Trento, Italy 8 INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS), Catania, Italy

NIM A610 (2009)  $TX - Japan$  For quality assurance of the  $T2K$  neutrino detectors  $\sim$  60,000 SiPMs (HPK) were tested:

M. Yokoyama<sup>a.\*</sup>, T. Nakaya<sup>a</sup>, S. Gomi<sup>a</sup>, A. Minamino<sup>a</sup>, N. Nagai<sup>a</sup>, K. Nitta<sup>a</sup>, D. Orme<sup>a</sup>, M. Otani<sup>a</sup>, M. Otani<sup>a</sup>, g. Otani<sup>a</sup>, a. Otani<sup>a</sup>, a. Nitta<sup>a</sup>, D. Orme<sup>a</sup>, M. Otani<sup>a</sup>, M. Otani<sup>a</sup>, g. breakdown voltage detection efficiency (PDE), and cross-talk (CT) and afterpulse(AP) rate are measured as functions of the bias voltage  $(V<sub>b</sub>)$  and temp. (T)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

NIM A985 (2021) **INFN** - Milano<br>For quality assurance of the <u>DUNE photon detection system</u><br> $\frac{1}{200}$  For quality assurance of the <u>DUNE photon detection system</u> 100-1000 SiPMs (FBK & HPK) were tested: cryo-reliability: electric and mechanical stability vs. thermal cycle,

I–V curve, Dark Count Rate (DCR) and correlated noise CN(OV). Single photoelectron sensitivity as a function of the total number of sensors connected.

![](_page_25_Picture_16.jpeg)

BNL ?

![](_page_25_Picture_18.jpeg)

For quality assurance of the FD3-4 photon detection system test of  $x \#$  SiPMs (FBK & HPK) are being discussed: I-V, G,  $V_{bd}$ , DCR, CN: CT, AP (OV), relative PDE ...

![](_page_25_Picture_20.jpeg)