





# Hit Finders for Pileup Recognition in 2x2 Data

#### **Tom Sonius**









- 97% efficiency for detecting pileup greater than 100 ns
- T0 resolution < 10 ns
- LCM noise threshold allowing 10 PE signal
- ACL noise threshold allowing 20 PE signal

- 97% efficiency for detecting pileup greater than 100 ns
- T0 resolution < 10 ns</p>
- LCM noise threshold allowing 10 PE signal
- ACL noise threshold allowing 20 PE signal





- Short overview of the hit finders and their parameters
- Data preprocessing + visualizations
- Fprompt parameter and selecting of clean events
- Waveform overlaying
- Results
- Conclusion

#### Important point



Peak: Cannot distinguish between peaks belonging to the same interaction or a new interaction

 Uses scipy's find\_peaks function (Note: params are stated in order in which the function evaluates it)

- Noise threshold: Sets a floor at  $3\sigma$  of the noise
- Height: Threshold of  $3\sigma$  of the noise
- Distance: 6 ticks (96 ns)
- **Prominence**: Waveform value with a 0.1% false positive rate (assumes Gaussian noise)
- SNR: Peaks must at least be 3x the noise level
- Rising edge: Strictly increasing

- Groups consecutive bins (hits) that exceed a noise adjusted threshold (Time-Over-Threshold)
  - Noise threshold: Sets a floor at  $3\sigma$  of the noise
  - Rolling average: Over 3 bins
  - Start time: First bin above either threshold
  - End time: First bin below threshold after start time
  - Save largest hit within a ToT region
- Applies Scipy's find\_peaks on hits with height > dynamic threshold
  - Dynamic Threshold: Rolling average + sqrt(rolling average)
  - Distance: Ignores peaks within 2 ticks (32 ns) of each other



- Noise threshold: Sets a floor at 3σ of the noise
- Rolling average: Over 3 bins
- Start time: First bin above either threshold
- End time: First bin below threshold after start time
- Save largest hit within a ToT region
- Find the rising edges
  - Rising edge: Waveform exceeds dynamic threshold and noise threshold
  - Dynamic Threshold: rolling average + sqrt(rolling average)
  - Distance: Ignores peaks within 2 ticks (32 ns) of each other



mpd\_run\_hvramp\_rctl\_104\_p130.FLOW.hdf5

- Active channels only
- Waveforms are calibrated on a channel basis using the calibration values from July 2x2 run
- Waveforms are summed per TPC
- Waveforms are baseline corrected





× × ×

#### ToT + find\_peaks : Visualization



DUNE

× × ×

# ToT + Rising Edge : Visualization



DUNE

#### Data delta t histograms





#### 18-12-2024

Data assessment meeting

### fprompt Parameter





- I (t): Scintillation intensity
- *t*<sub>0</sub>: Start time of the signal.
- *t<sub>cut</sub>*: Time defining the prompt window.
- *t<sub>total</sub>: Total integration time.*
- Baseline correction:
  - Subtract median waveform value
- Fprompt calculation:
  - Prompt window: 200 ns
  - Total window: 3200 ns



#### Fprompt parameter scatter plot



E

DUA



# How Artificial Pileup is Created



#### Select events from data

- Choose two events with single peaks
  - One from region 1 (waveform 1) and the other from region 2 (waveform 2)
- Extract peak information
  - Find the index of the peak in waveform 1 and waveform 2
  - Calculate the ratio of peak 2 to peak 1
- Generate a random offset between the peaks (2 ticks maximum\_offset)
- Calculate the shift
  - See what shift is needed to align waveform 2 to 1 such that its peak is offset by the random amount generated
- Overlay waveforms
  - Generate an empty array of the length of waveform 2 + its shift
  - Add waveform 1 to the array starting at 0 ticks
  - Add waveform 2 to the array starting at the shift position
- True time difference
  - The "true" delta t between the two peaks is then the randomly generated offset



# **Artificial Pileup Visualization**









# "True" delta T histogram





#### Found delta t histogram





#### Current issue: Visualization



#### 18-12-2024

DUNE

#### ToT + find\_peaks performance



### ToT + Rising Edge performance

Ratio of Artificial  $\Delta t$  to True  $\Delta t$  for ToT + Rising Edge





- Hit finders are sensitive to the ratio of the peaks
  - Great at detecting peaks (even in 100 ns) of similar size
  - Not so great if they're very different



Ň



#### Conclusion

• Both ToT hit finders are able to distinguish peaks -> not yet reaching the 100 ns threshold that we want

#### • Next steps

- Show sensitivity of hit finders to peak ratio
- Go to MC where true amount of interactions are known
- Fix bugs (32 ns) and play around with parameters
- Go to data and separate LCMs vs ACLs determine if we can meet noise threshold requirements
- Make steps towards determining the t0 resolution
- More randomizations, more pileup, multiple data files





- Explain how waveforms are overlayed
- Explain how I defined pass or fail and why for accepting a delta t compared to true delta t
- Next steps in terms of determing to resolution
- Doing it for separated LCMs for ACLs
- Explain how I randomly select two waveforms and why I choose those specific regions
- Explain how this can be extended
- Think about every step you do and every parameter you've set and explicitly state these and try to reason them
- Next steps go to MC where we will know true amount of interactions
- Improve current steps -> stronger motivation behind parameter selections
- Select more than the region I do now, increase statistics
- Go to data and separate LCMs vs ACLs -> determine if noise threshold requirement can be met
- Make steps towards determining the t0 resolution
- Go to multiple data files

### Backup Slides

× × ×



#### Data Pre-Processing



TPC 1 Event 32





#### **Data Pre-Processing**







#### Data Pre-Processing





# Pulse Shape Components



#### Experiment:

- DEAP-3600 (Ar-39)
- Pulse shape characteristics

Fits:

- geometric effect + detector response
- intermediate (later recomb.)
- TPB late emission
- Afterpulsing (residual charge effects)

