Continued Study: 2x2 Hot Channels Zhongyi Wu



DEEP UNDERGROUND NEUTRINO EXPERIMENT

Checklist

- Channel threshold
- Pedestal dataword
- Channel mask

- Dataword per hit
- Expanded searching range
- Hot channel filter

Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 Channel 18



Channel Threshold

Channel threshold approximation: 1800/256 * threshold_global (chip level) + 465 + 2.34 * pixel_trim_dac [mV] Constant zero channel threshold \rightarrow Chip was overlooked when setting thresholds



Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38



Unix Time (s)

Run 50017 (~ 2 days)

packet-0050015-2024_07_08_13_ 37_49_CDT.FLOW.hdf5 to packet-0050017-2024_07_10_09_ 18_05_CDT.FLOW.hdf5

- Threshold of channel 18 didn't change during the constant chip rate period.
- During the constant chip rate \bullet period, the dataword mean is ~ 30.



Pedestal

Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 Channel 18



https://portal.nersc.gov/project/dune/ data/2x2/CRS/commission/June2024/ global_dac_06_06/reference-coldpedestal-2024_06_05_08_28_19_CDT.h5

Consistent with the channel 18 dataword mean during the constant chip rate period.





Channel Mask



packet-0050015-2024_07_08_13_37_49_CDT.FLOW.hdf5 to packet-0050017-2024_07_10_09_18_05_CDT.FLOW.hdf5

Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 Channel 18

channel_mask == 0 during runtime, but channel 18 no longer sent signals after the constant chip rate period.



Module 3 TPC2 Tile 6 Chip 13



Run 50017 (~ 2 days)

packet-0050015-2024_07_08_13_ 37_49_CDT.FLOW.hdf5 to packet-0050017-2024_07_10_09_ 18_05_CDT.FLOW.hdf5

- Thresholds of channel 10 and 48 didn't change during the constant chip rate period.
- Most entries of channel dataword mean is ~ 40 .

Dataword Per Hit



Module 3 TPC2 Tile 6 Chip 13 Channel 48 Log Scale 250 · 10³ 200 10² Connts Log-scaled Counts Dataword 150 100 50 500000 560000 480000 520000 540000 580000 600000 620000 Unix Time (s) +1.72e9



Not only the channel dataword mean over spill, most hits also have the dataword of ~40 except for the constant chip rate period.



Module 0







Module 2









Module 1



- 1000

- 800

Counts

400

- 200

Module 3



Run 50018 (~ 43 hours)

packet-0050018-2024_07_10_09_ 36_12_CDT.FLOW.hdf5 to packet-0050018-2024_07_12_04_ 00_44_CDT.FLOW.hdf5

- Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48
- Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86





Chip Rate vs. Time



Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48

Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48



Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48







Dataword: Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48 Channel 33







Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86



Channel ID







Dataword: Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86



Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86 Channel 5

14

Better Method to Locate Hot Channels?

packet-0050017-2024_07_08_16_23_43 evt125



Distribution of the number of hits per chip per spill doesn't have a single pattern.

packet-0050017-2024_07_09_01_04_39 evt125

Conclusions

Run 50017 (Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 & Module 3 TPC2 Tile 6 Chip 13)

- No channel threshold change is observed during the constant chip rate periods.
- Channel mask of Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 shows the was permitted to trigger during the runtime, but it no longer sent signals after the constant chip rate period.
- Dataword mean of Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 38 is consistent with the mean of pedestal dataword.
- Most hits in hot channels have a dataword of ~ 40 except for the constant chip rate period.

Run 50018 (Module 3 TPC1 Tile 5 Chip 48 & Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86)

- Two hot chips have the same constant chip rate period.
- Hot channels in Module 3 TPC1 Tile 7 Chip 86 do not have constant dataword mean during the constant chip rate period.
- Current filter to find hot chips do not give uniform distributions. Better method?