

Measurement of astrophysical reaction rates with a bubble chamber and inverse Compton scattering beams * <



Superheated Target for Astrophysics Research (STAR)



Claudio Ugalde, for the **STAR** collaboration.

Argonne, UChicago, JLab, Fermilab





B. DiGiovineD. HendersonR. J. HoltK. E. Rehm



C. Ugalde A. Robinson

Fermilab

A. Sonnenschein



- R. Suleiman A. Freyberger
- J. Grames
- R. Kazimi
- M. Poelker
- R. Mammei
- D. Meekins
- Y. Roblin
- V. Vylet,
- G. Kharashvili
- P. Degtiarenko



Rolfs and Rodney, 1988

¹²C(α , γ)¹⁶O Reaction

Key reaction for nucleosynthesis in massive stars, progenitors of Type Ia Supernovae, White Dwarf ages.



Affects the synthesis of most of the elements of the periodic table



Determines whether for a given initial mass, a star will become a black hole or a neutron star



Sets the C to O ratio in the universe



The variation of the C/O ratio in the progenitor might be a cause of the variation of SNIa brightness



Determines the minimum mass a star requires to become a core collapse supernova



Affects the constraints on the age of stellar populations from White Dwarfs





New approach: Inverse reaction + Liquid target + ICS beam





Monochromatic γ beam from HI γ S ~ $10^{7-8} \gamma/s$ ELI-NP~ $1 \times 10^{13} \gamma/s$, ASTA...

•Extra gain (x100) by measuring time inverse reaction

•Target density up to x10⁶ higher than conventional targets.

- Superheated water will nucleate from α and ^{12}C recoils

•Electromagnetic debris (degraded electrons and gammas, or positrons) that escape the collimator/electron beam do NOT trigger nucleation (The detector is insensitive to γ -rays by at least 1 part in 10¹¹).

•Idea tested at HIγS

Superheating of liquids

Water



Bubble growth and quenching. ¹⁹F(γ,α)¹⁵N in R134a



 $\Delta t = 10 \text{ ms}$







First determination of an astrophysical cross section with a bubble chamber: The ${}^{15}N(\alpha, \gamma){}^{19}F$ reaction

C. Ugalde ^{a,*}, B. DiGiovine ^b, D. Henderson ^b, R.J. Holt ^b, K.E. Rehm ^b, A. Sonnenschein ^c, A. Robinson ^d, R. Raut ^{e,f,1}, G. Rusev ^{e,f,2}, A.P. Tonchev ^{e,f,3}





Outlook 10 years

Kunz 2001

N1= 2×10^{18} Carbon implanted particles N2= 0.5 mA = $3.12 \times 10^{15} \alpha$ -particles/s

in 1 year N1 N2 = 1.97 x 10⁴¹ Yield = 2 events in one year

LUNA-MV (Gran Sasso)

N1= $2x10^{18}$ Carbon implanted particles N2= 0.5 mA = $3.12 \times 10^{15} \alpha$ -particles/s

in 1 year N1 N2 = 1.97 x 10⁴¹ Yield = 2 events in one year

DIANA + JENSA (DUSEL)

N1= 1x10¹⁹ helium particles gas target N2= 10 mA =6.24 x 10¹⁶ carbon part/s

in 1 year N1 N2 = 1.97 x 10⁴³ Yield = 200 events in one year

Bubble + ELI-NP (Phase 1)

N1= 3.35×10^{23} particles in liquid target N2= $1 \times 10^{13} \gamma/s$

in 1 year N1 N2 = 2.11×10^{44} Reciprocity -> $\times 100$ Yield = 200,000 events in one year



Conclusions



We have provided a proof of principle of operation of the bubble chamber as a low rate counter. This is ideal for nuclear astrophysics applications.

Bremsstrahlung from the electrons in the beam line manifests mainly as neutrons. Particle ID would help separating these events from the α -particle + heavy ion signal.

Main challenges:

- Maximize beam intensity
- Minimize beam bandwidth
- Maximize level of depletion of heavy oxygen in liquid
- Need excellent characterization of γ-ray beam properties
- Minimize high energy bremsstrahlung photons



Δ

 N_2O thresholds, Superheat = 3.3 °C, E_Y=8.5 MeV



 N_2O First γ + oxygen -> alpha + carbon bubble April 2013





FIG. 1. Partial energy-level diagram for 16 O (adapted from [4]).

 N_2O count rate contributions, $I\gamma = 1 \times 10^8 \gamma/s$ L = 3.6 cm, x1000 depleted liquid



 N_2O efficiency curve, HI_γS April 2013. E_γ = 9.7MeV



Experimental setup





Astrophysical S-factor for ${}^{12}C(\alpha,\gamma){}^{16}O$

S = E σ exp($2\pi\eta$)

Stellar helium burning at E=300 keV



Author	S(300keV) (keV-b)
Buchmann (2005)	102-198
Caughlan and Fowler (1988)	120-220
Hammer (2005)	162+-39





Non-resonant charged particle reactions (The Gamow peak)

Let's write the cross section as
$$\sigma(E) = \frac{1}{E} \exp(-2\pi\eta)S(E)$$

and substitute in $\langle \sigma v \rangle = \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(E)E\exp(-\frac{E}{kT})dE$
If no resonances are present $S(E) = S(Eo) = \text{constant}$, so
 $\langle \sigma v \rangle = \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}}S(E_0) \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{E}{kT} - \frac{b}{E^{1/2}})dE$ $b = \left(\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2}\pi Z_1 Z_2 e^2$

Gamow peak

- * The rate has a peak shape product of two negative exponentials: Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution at low energy and tunneling through the Coulomb barrier for higher E.
- * It represents the region in energy where reactions are more likely to occur.
- * The concept can be extended to a general S(E)



Neutron event in water.

