

# Top Working Group Report Summary of Seattle Meeting

Kaustubh Agashe, Robin Erbacher, Cecilia Gerber,  
Kirill Melnikov, Reinhard Schwienhorst  
July 3, 2013

# Fully Understanding the Top Quark

Organized around 6 subgroups:

- Mass
- Couplings
- Kinematics
- Rare Decays
- BSM w/top in final state
- Detectors for Top

Organization of the top sessions

- 1) Summary presentations from conveners of each subgroup allowing for plenty discussion/vetting of the conclusions/assessing progress
- 2) “lunch” presentations from individual studies, including detailed ILC & CLIC studies

# Top Quark Subgroups

- **Mass**, High priority item, unique to this group
- **Kinematics (including AFB)**
- **Couplings** overlap with Higgs (ttH)
- **Rare Decays**
- **BSM w/top in final state**, overlap with NP group
- **Detectors for Top (including algorithms and boosted tops)**, also connected with BSM via extrapolation of capabilities to HE/High PU.

Crucial to have discussions across groups

# Documentation Update

## 1

---

### Top quark working group report

Conveners: K. Agashe, R. Erbacher, C. Gerber, K. Melnikov, R. Schwienhorst

A. Mitov, M. Vos, S. Wimpenny, M. Schulze, etc.

#### 1.1 Introduction

This is the introductory Section for the report on top quark snowmass 2013 studies. The top quark was discovered in 1995 [1, 2].

#### 1.2 The top quark mass

This is the top quark mass Section.

A summary of top quark mass measurements from the Tevatron is shown in Fig. 1-1.

#### 1.3 Top quark couplings

This is the top quark couplings Section.

#### 1.4 Kinematics of top-like final states

This is Section on the kinematic studies with top-like final states.

#### 1.5 Rare decays

Observation of rare top quark decays will most certainly imply physics beyond the Standard Model.

- Plan is to produce a white paper from each subgroup which will be summarized in the top group 30-pages report.
- In some cases, several different white papers on particular topics will also be available.
- In some cases, overlap with other groups will exist (desire to minimize duplication in the interest of time)

# Top Quark Mass

- High Priority, in pretty good shape
- Based on two contributions
- Includes projections for 14 TeV LHC, 33 and 100 TeV pp collider with traditional and new ( $J/\Psi$ ) methods.
- Highest precision can be achieved with dedicated  $t\bar{t}$  threshold scans at TLEP, ILC & CLIC

## Discussions/Ideas that will require more thought

- top mass precision and vacuum stability of the SM: evaluate and discuss the veracity of the calculation and the plausibility of the scenario

# Kinematics of final states

- Focusing on theoretical studies and experimental projections.
- Theoretical studies reveal that soft gluon resummation is mandatory in boosted regime.
- Ongoing studies of AFB (Forward/Central) at LHC 14
  - Effect is reduced compared to 7/8TeV, control of systematic errors crucial
  - Looking for input on error scaling from CMS/ATLAS, expect to have result by Minneapolis.
- Ongoing studies at LHCb
  - Theoretical idea being implemented by the collaboration.
  - Results need approval from LHCb, but authors are optimistic it will be ready for Minneapolis.
- Other new ideas, define observables in  $t\bar{t}$ +jets, spin correlations in dileptons a good way to look for NP.

# Top Quark Couplings

- Electroweak-scale mass makes top a prime candidate to manifest BSM physics: measure the gauge and Yukawa couplings predicted by SM to look for deviations.
- Work ongoing on various fronts, some more advanced than other
  - Single top,  $V_{tb}$ , anomalous couplings
  - $t\bar{t}$ +Photon,  $t\bar{t}$ +Z
  - $t\bar{t}$  + W
  - $t\bar{t}$  + Higgs
    - Challenging, overlap with the Higgs group.
- Will need a concentrated effort to have concrete summaries/tables with projections for the report.

# Rare Top Decays

- LHC and ILC reach comparable sensitivities to top quark rare decays (top to  $Zq$ ,  $\gamma q$ ,  $gq$ ,  $Hq$ )
- However, they are complementary
  - LHC can do more channels but is not good for understanding the Lorentz structure of couplings
  - ILC can not study flavor-changing couplings of tops to gluons
- Various tables/extrapolations available for the report.
- Overall pretty good shape.
- Wish list:

If additional resources are available before the Minneapolis meeting, dedicated study of  $t \rightarrow Zq$ ,  $t \rightarrow \gamma q$  at 250 GeV ILC and dedicated study of  $t \rightarrow hq$ ,  $t \rightarrow gq$  at 14 TeV LHC would be extremely useful.



# NP decaying to top

- Common session with NP group
- Ongoing studies on searches for stop, ttbar resonances and top partners likely included in the top writeup with individual white papers as supporting documentation.
- Some overlap/consistency with detectors and algorithm subgroups will need to be resolved by Minnesota.
  - Need to apply some of the findings from the detectors/algorithms groups to get projections at high boost (high energy) and perhaps at high pileup.
- Will need a concentrated effort to have concrete summaries/tables with projections for the report.

# Top and Detectors

- White paper based on Snowmass Delphes MC simulation that allowed different PU and detector configurations. Done for threshold, boosted and extremely boosted configurations
- Threshold: High luminosity runs unfavorable for high precision inclusive SM top studies based on reconstruction of low pT jets due to pile-up corrections leading to large uncertainty in jet-energy (see <https://indico.fnal.gov/getFile.py/access?contribId=118&sessionId=5&resId=6&materialId=slides&confId=6969>)  
Need to make sure these conclusions are consistent with assumptions made in extrapolations throughout the report.
- Boosted: Top tagging still viable at high PU with some degradation of performance, some variables more than others.
  - can be mitigated with algorithms and finer segmentation in the future.
- LC & CLIC full simulation studies presented and will be included in a dedicated white paper.

# Conclusions

- Productive workshop, many interesting discussions!
- Thank you to everybody that participated in person, remotely, or by submitting contributions, and for skipping lunch.
- Need to ensure consistency between top and detector studies findings and extrapolations done in other studies & complete missing items in tables as good as we can.
- Lots of good material, we are well on track for the Minnesota timescale!

