Heavy Quarks

Meenakshi Narain

summary of work by A. Avetisyan, T. Andeen, C. Bernard, S. Bhattacharya, K. Black, T. Childers, U. Heintz, M. Narain, T. Speer, C. Su, E. Varnes June 30-July 3, 2013 Snowmass meeting, Seattle

I: Chiral sequential 4G ?



- An obvious extension to the SM.
- Disfavoured scenario:
 - EWK precision tests and the observation of a Higgs-like particle @125 GeV with approximately standard-model rate.
 - Would enhance gluon-fusion production rate by a factor of 9!
- However, these searches remain useful to constrain theories which give rise to similar final states.

Search Signatures of New Heavy Quarks



Main decay signatures for direct searches:

- $\mathbf{t'} \rightarrow \mathbf{bW}, \mathbf{t'} \rightarrow \mathbf{qW}$: not really different from a <u>heavy top</u>.
- **b'** \rightarrow **tW**(\rightarrow **bWW**) : <u>complex signature</u>, **b'** \rightarrow **qW** : <u>heavy top</u>.
- **t'** \rightarrow **b' W & b'** \rightarrow **t' W** : should be seen after the above two.

II: Vector-like quarks



Decay signatures for direct searches:

T/B \rightarrow **bW, tW** :

not really different from the sequential 4G quark searches.

• $T \rightarrow tH, tZ / B \rightarrow bH, bZ$: vector-like quark with enhanced branching fractions.

III: Exotic Partners

- Heavy T with $Q_e = 5/3$ and B with $Q_e = -1/3$
 - decays to tW
 - B generally heavier than T



5

Contino and Servant; Mrazek & Wulzer

Shrihari Gopalakrishna, on bottom partners: http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1107.4306

Examples of mass spectra for different sets of parameters (Y*, $\sin arphi_L$, $\sin arphi_R$)

Agashe, Contino & Pomarol '05 Kaplan, '80s [slide from Servant, Anjou' 12]

Naturalness: light top partners

At least one top partner below 1 TeV

Pomarol & Rivam 1206.6424 Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol',06 [material from Servant, Anjou' 12]

7/1/13

Vector Like quarks

- have pure vector couplings to W boson
- different from 4th generation quarks
 - not chiral
 - not excluded by observed Higgs cross section
- predicted in many models:
 - top see-saw, KK partner, GUT, SUSY, holographic
 Higgs, little Higgs

^{8/1}/13 help solve hierarchy problem:

T Pair Production Processes

S. Bhattacharya, U. Heintz, M. Narain, T. Speer

Signal cross-sections at \sqrt{s} =14 TeV(HATHOR)

| t' Mass (GeV) | σ (in pb) |
|---------------|------------------|
| 500 | 4.10091 |
| 600 | 1.44649 |
| 700 | 0.57883 |
| 800 | 0.25400 |
| 900 | 0.11961 |
| 1000 | 0.05948 |
| 1100 | 0.03089 |
| 1200 | 0.01662 |
| 1300 | 0.00920 |
| 1400 | 0.00521 |
| 1500 | 0.00301 |
| 1600 | 0.00177 |
| 1700 | 0.00105 |
| 1800 | 0.00063 |
| 1900 | 0.00038 |
| 2000 | 0.00024 |

Signal cross-sections at \sqrt{s} =33 TeV(HATHOR)

| t' Mass (GeV) | σ (in pb) |
|---------------|------------------|
| 500 | 44.4263 |
| 600 | 18.1717 |
| 700 | 8.35889 |
| 800 | 4.19501 |
| 900 | 2.25365 |
| 1000 | 1.27784 |
| 1100 | 0.75733 |
| 1200 | 0.46586 |
| 1300 | 0.29547 |
| 1400 | 0.19250 |
| 1500 | 0.12836 |
| 1600 | 0.08733 |
| 1700 | 0.06046 |
| 1800 | 0.04253 |
| 1900 | 0.03032 |
| 2000 | 0.02189 |
| 2100 | 0.01598 |
| 2200 | 0.01178 |
| 2300 | 0.00876 |
| 2400 | 0.00657 |
| 2500 | 0.00497 |
| 2600 | 0.00378 |
| 2700 | 0.00290 |
| 2800 | 0.00223 |
| 2900 | 0.00173 |
| 3000 | 0.00134 |

signatures

| | | 0 | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--|---|-------|
| | Channel | Multi-leptons | Lepton+jets | H->bb |
| | | | | |
| | tHWb | 2l(OS)+MET+4b | l+ MET+2 j +4 b | |
| | tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow jj(bb)$) | 2l+MET+2j+4b | l+MET+ 4 j +4 b | |
| | tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$) | 2l+MET+4b | <i>l</i> +2 <i>j</i> + MET +4 <i>b</i> | |
| | tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow ll$) | 4l+MET+ $4b$ or $3l$ +MET+ $2j$ + $4b$ | | |
| | tZ tZ (Z $Z \rightarrow jj(bb)$) | 2l(OS)+MET+2j+2b | l+MET+ 4 j +2 b z | |
| | tZ tZ (Z $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$) | 2l(OS)+MET+2b | l+2j+MET+2b | |
| | tZ tZ (Z $Z \rightarrow ll$) | 4l+MET+2b or 3l+2j+MET+2b | | |
| | tHtH ($H \rightarrow bb$) | 2l(OS)+MET+ 6b | l+MET+2 j + 6 b | |
| | WbWb | 2l(OS)+MET+2b | l+MET+2 j +2 b | |
| | WbtZ ($Z \rightarrow jj(bb)$) | 2l+MET+2j+2b | l+MET+4 j +2 b | |
| | WbtZ ($Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$) | 2l+MET+2b | l+MET+2 j +2 b | |
| 7/ | WbtZ ($Z \rightarrow ll$) | 4l+MET+2b or $3l+MET+2j+2b$ | | 10 |

7/

signatures

H->WW

| • | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Channel | Multi-leptons | Lepton+jets | |
| | | | |
| tHWb | 4 <i>l</i> +MET+2 <i>b</i> or 2 <i>l</i> (SS)+MET+4 <i>j</i> +2 <i>b</i> | l+MET+6 j +2 b | |
| tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow jj(bb)$) | 4l+MET+2j+2b or $2l(SS)+MET+6j+2b$ | <i>l</i> +MET+8 <i>j</i> +2 <i>b</i> | |
| tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$) | 4l+MET+2b or 2l(SS)+MET+4j+2b | <i>l</i> +MET+6 <i>j</i> +2 <i>b</i> | |
| tH tZ ($Z \rightarrow ll$) | 6l+MET+2b or 3l+MET+6j+2b | | |
| tHtH ($H \rightarrow W^+W^-$) | 6l+MET+2b or $3l+MET+6j+2b$ | l+MET+10 j + 2 b | |
| tHtH ($H \rightarrow W^+W^-$, $b\bar{b}$) | 4l+MET+4b or $2l(SS)+MET+4j+4b$ | l+MET+6 j + 4 b | |

-

Vector Like Quarks: Peskin @ SEARCH

2. Exclude Triangles not Points

Basic Analysis Plan

- Look for pair produced Tquark (dominant production at low T masses)
- Look at events with at least one isolated lepton
- We scan across the entire BR phase space of final states -- model independent

Recasting to a Triangle

Combined limits

CMS PAS B2G-12-015

extrapolation to 14 TeV (CMS)

| process | 8 TeV | 14 TeV | ratio |
|------------|---------|---------|-------|
| W+jets | | | 1.8 |
| ttbar | 249 pb | 966 pb | 3.9 |
| ttW | 0.23 pb | 0.77 pb | 3.3 |
| ttZ | 0.21 pb | 1.00 pb | 4.8 |
| all others | | | 3 |

- scale all yields
- multileptons
 - assume efficiencies stay the same as at 8 TeV
 - can be improved by optimizing cuts
- lepton+jets
 - choose cut on BDT discriminant to optimize significance in each channel

extrapolating to 14 TeV (CMS)

- expected significance of T quark search for 300/fb @ 14 TeV
- multilepton channels \rightarrow event counts in 4 categories
- single lepton channels \rightarrow optimize cut on BDT discriminant

7/1/13

VLQ Top Partner Single Production

Tim Andeen, Clare Bernard (BU), Clover Su (BU), Kevin Black (BU), Taylor Childers (CERN)

VLQ Top Partner Single-EW Production

m_{KK}[TeV]

Why VLQ single production?

Simplest new colored fermions allowed by experimental data.

Predominant mixing with third generation SM quarks.

Theoretically motivated in composite Higgs and warped ED models.

Lightest resonances should be ~TeV.

Single production has a favorable cross section and is sensitive to masses/BRs with the least stringent experimental limits.

VLQ Top Partner Single-EW Production

Model based on PRD 86, 075017 (2012), arXiv: 1207.0830 and implemented in MG5 processed through Snowmass detector simulation.

T_s masses 0.5 - 3.0 TeV. Currently using no pileup samples.

Select for single lepton (e/μ) top final state, with h->bb.

Use jet mass to select higgs decay, study jet mass to veto additional tops.

To come:

Waiting for HT-binned 33 TeV sample for additional tuning and limit setting (this week).

ZØ1/13 Generate signal samples at 14 TeV, for HL-LHC analysis.

before analysis selection

T Mass [GeV]

Exotic T with $Q_e = 5/3$

A. Avetisyan (BU)

CMS B2G-12-012

-**Contino & Servant**, JHEP 0806:026 (2008)

-*Mrazek & Wulzer*, Phys. Rev. D 81, 075006 (2010)

More recently: CERN-PH-TH/2012-323
 (arXiv:1211.5663)

Model

- T_{5/3} with Q_e = 5/3 and B with Q_e = -1/3 decay into W and top
 - Per Mrazek & Wulzer, B is typically more massive than T_{5/3}
 - $\text{ Focus on } T_{5/3}$ $l^{\pm}l^{\pm} + 2b + 2W$
- Most striking signature: same-sign dileptons

T_{5/3} Selection

- Two same-sign leptons:
 - Leading $p_T > 80$ GeV, the other $p_T > 30$ GeV
 - Trilepton Z-veto
- Jets:
 - Leading jet $p_T > 150$ GeV, second $p_T > 50$ GeV
 - Minimum of 5 constituents
 - Top-tagged jet = 3 constituents
 - W-tagged jet = 2 constituents
 - Jets and leptons (other than the 2 same-sign ones) with $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV} = 1 \text{ constituent each}$
- H_T = Sum of all selected lepton and jet p_T > 1400 GeV
- Missing $E_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- **PRELIMINARY!**

Lepton p_T

 Note: Backgrounds are 13 TeV inclusive samples with cross-sections scaled to 14 TeV
 7/1/13 – Will switch to binned 14 TeV samples soon

$H_{\rm T}$ and Missing $E_{\rm T}$

All plots are after the full selection

 – 50 pileup

• With 300 fb⁻¹ at 14 TeV:

- 5 sigma if $T_{5/3}$ mass is less than ~1.15 TeV _{7/1/13}- 3 sigma if $T_{5/3}$ mass is less than ~1.25 TeV

Summary of B pair production status

Erich Varnes University of Arizona June 28, 2013

Introduction

- Though chiral (i.e. SM-like) additional quark generations are disfavored by the measured Higgs cross section, "vector-like" heavy quark models remain viable
 - left- and right-handed "vector-like" quark components transform identically under SU(2)
 - can write gauge-invariant mass term without coupling to Higgs
 - many BSM models that address the hierarchy problem posit the existence of such quarks
- I am investigating sensitivity of future accelerators to pairproduction of vector-like B (i.e. charge -1/3) quarks
 - using same-sign dilepton signature
 - two reference mass points (1000 and 1500 GeV)
 - considering all possible branching ratios to *Wt*, *Zb*, and *Hb*

Samples and selection

- For now considering only the SM backgrounds:
 - ZZ, WZ, ttW, same-sign WW
- Have generated signal samples using Madgraph 5/ Pythia 8/Delphes 3.0.9
- Preselection:
 - jets, leptons, must have pt > 25 GeV and $|\eta| < 3.0$ (2.5)
 - require one same-sign lepton pair
 - ≥ 2 jets, ≥ 1 of which has loose b-tag
 - veto leptons pairs in Z mass window
- Optimization
 - scan cuts in # of b-tagged jets, Ht, and MET to optimize significance, defined as

$$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B+\sigma^2}}$$
 systematic uncertainty

Early results

- Potential for 33 TeV accelerator, 3000 fb⁻¹, 50 pileup
 - *ttW* is most problematic background (relatively large cross section, similar signature to signal)
- stat-only:

Early results

- Potential for 33 TeV accelerator, 3000 fb⁻¹, 140 pileup ullet
- stat-only: ullet33TeV_pileup140_1000GeV 33TeV_pileup140_1500GeV 33TeV pileup140 1000GeV Entries 0 Mean x 0 0.9 0.9 Mean y 0 RMS x 0 0.8 0.8 RMS y 0 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2E 0. 0.1 ٥Ē 0 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.1 0.1
- svstematics = 10% of background estimate: _{33TeV_pileup140_1000GeV} ullet

0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

33TeV pileup140 1500GeV

0

0

0

0

Entries

Mean x

Mean y

RMS x

RMS y

Conclusion

- Investigate
 - sqrt(s) = 14 and 33 TeV and corresponding PU scenarios and lums.
 - Work has started, and hopefully have the results in a few weeks.
- What if we see something? (need to work on this too!)
 - How could we identify this is heavy quark, not contributions of something else (SUSY, etc...)
 - Mass reconstruction, property (charge, spin, etc?)