

# Accelerator & Underground Capabilities for High Energy Physics

William A. Barletta

Director, US Particle Accelerator School

Dept. of Physics, MIT & UCLA

Economics Faculty, University of Ljubljana

# Accelerator Capabilities



## What are long term “big questions” regarding accelerator-based HEP capabilities

- ❖ How can one build a collider at the 10 - 30 TeV constituent mass scale?
- ❖ What is the farthest practical energy reach of accelerator-based high-energy physics?
- ❖ How would one generate 10 MW or more of proton beam power?
- ❖ Can multi-megawatt targets survive and if so, for how long?
- ❖ Can plasma-based accelerators achieve energies & luminosities relevant to high-energy physics?
- ❖ Can accelerators be made 10x cheaper per GeV? or per MW?

*These are issues for the long term future*



# Areas of inquiry included specific questions

## Energy Frontier

- ❖ How high a luminosity is possible for the LHC?
  - How high an energy is possible in the LHC tunnel?
  - Could a Higgs factory be built in the LHC tunnel?
  
- ❖ Can ILC and CLIC designs be improved using new technologies?
  
- ❖ Can one design a multi-TeV  $\mu^+\mu^-$  collider?



## Areas of inquiry included specific questions (cont'd)

### **Intensity Frontier:**

- ❖ What secondary beams are needed for Intensity Frontier experiments?
  - What proton beams are needed to generate these secondary beams,
  - Can these be made by existing machines?
- ❖ What accelerator capabilities at heavy flavor factories are required to realize the full range of physics opportunities?
- ❖ What are new physics opportunities using high power electron & positron beams?

### **Accelerator test facilities**

- ❖ What is broad range of test capabilities existing or needed for developing accelerator capabilities



## Summary conclusions – Energy Frontier

- ❖ To *maximize exploration* of the Energy Frontier, *full exploitation of the LHC* is the highest priority of the hadron-collider program
  - With renewed interest in a  $\sim 100$  TeV scale collider, we recommend participation in the CERN-led international study
- ❖ As described in its Technical Design Report (TDR), the ILC is technically ready to proceed to construction
  - An experienced cadre of U.S. accelerator physicists & engineers is capable and ready to work on ILC
- ❖ Vigorous, integrated U.S. research toward demonstrating feasibility of a muon collider is highly desirable.
  - The current funding level is inadequate to assure timely progress.



## Summary conclusions – Intensity Frontier

- ❖ Next generation Intensity Frontier experiments require beam intensities & timing structures beyond capabilities of any existing accelerator
- ❖ Fermilab's proposed, multi-stage Project X would yield a world-leading capability
  - Could serve multiple experiments over an energy range 0.25 – 120 GeV
- ❖ DAEΔALUS / IsoDAR– Decay At Rest short baseline, anti-neutrino experiments based high power cyclotrons
  - Strong industrial & international laboratory connections



## Intensity Frontier using electron beams

- ❖ Relevant technologies of super flavor-factories exploit strong synergy with light sources & damping rings for lepton colliders
  - Continuing U.S. involvement would maximize physics opportunities
  
- ❖ All electron-ion colliders studied recently would be based at an existing accelerator lab with center-of-momentum energies range from 14 GeV to 2000 GeV
  - Recirculating, energy recovery linacs (ERLs) are a key technology





# Cross-cutting frontier-accelerator issues

- ❖ Understanding & controlling beam loss is a major challenge for frontier accelerators
- ❖ Superconducting RF technology – Most modern high power proton facilities rely superconducting radio frequency (SRF) acceleration
  - Needs optimization for medium gradient, CW operation
- ❖ Isochronous ring cyclotrons are also good candidates for high continuous (CW) power at energy  $< 1$  GeV
- ❖ Sustained, focused research into high-power ( $> 1$  MW) target technology is essential to frontier accelerators
  - Conduct R&D in the context of a broad international collaboration of interested laboratories



## Long range accelerator research

- ❖ Innovations in acceleration & beam transport techniques such as plasma and dielectric wakefield acceleration have significant potential to reduce the size of future facilities
- ❖ Long-term research including fundamental accelerator and beam physics theory & simulation will expand the technical options for any future accelerator-based facility
  - Personal option: this area is often underfunded in preference to project related research
- ❖ Focused engineering development is *no substitute* for innovative R&D.

# Underground Detector Capabilities

On behalf of Gil Gilchriese



# Relevance of underground capabilities

- ❖ Underground facilities/capabilities are essential for support of the world-wide experimental program
  - Direct dark matter experiments
  - Neutrinoless, double-beta decay ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) experiments
  - Atmospheric, reactor, solar, supernova neutrino experiments
  - Proton decay
  - Connections to astrophysics, nuclear and earth science, & detectors for non-proliferation
- ❖ Roughly 1,000 US scientists now participate in underground experiments
  - Includes US-led Antarctica effort)
- ❖ May grow by 30 – 50% over next decade



## Existing/Planned Facilities

- ❖ No technical showstoppers to create underground/ice space for planned activities for next 10-20 years
- ❖ World-wide “general purpose” space is expected to about double by end of decade
  - Assumes anticipated expansion in non-US underground capabilities
- ❖ Significant non-US underground capabilities for specific neutrino experiments is planned
- ❖ Plans for expansion of underground facilities in the United States are less developed.
  - Currently, there are no approved plans with federal funding for significant expansion of underground capabilities in the U.S.



## Key goals for the U.S. planning process

- ❖ It is critical that US scientists continue to be supported to take advantage of future international & domestic underground facilities
- ❖ Put LBNE underground to realize its full science potential!
  - Makes it an anchor of possible future domestic underground capabilities at SURF
- ❖ Maintain leading U.S. roles in many of the future dark matter,  $0\Omega\Omega\Omega$  & a large variety of  $\Omega$  experiments.
  - Improved coordination and planning of underground facilities (overseas & domestic) is required to maintain this leading role, including the use of US infrastructure
  - Maintaining an underground facility that can be expanded to house the largest dark matter and  $0\nu\beta\beta$  experiments would guarantee a strong US to role in world-wide program of underground physics

**Thank you**

*Questions?*